arXiv:1509.07643v2 [math.AP] 2 Mar 2016

# ASYMPTOTIC ANALYSIS OF STRATIFIED ELASTIC MEDIA IN THE SPACE OF FUNCTIONS WITH BOUNDED DEFORMATION

MICHEL BELLIEUD AND SHANE COOPER

Abstract. We consider a heterogeneous elastic structure which is stratified in some direction. We derive the limit problem under the assumption that the Lamé coefficients and their inverses weakly* converge to Radon measures. Our method applies also to linear second-order elliptic systems of partial differential equations and in particular, for the case $d = 1$, this addresses the previously open problem of determining the asymptotic behaviour in this context for the general anisotropic heat equation.

## 1. Introduction

The deformation $\boldsymbol{u}$ of an elastic composite subjected to an external force $\boldsymbol{f}$ is described by

$$-\mathbf{div}\big(\boldsymbol{\sigma}_\varepsilon(\boldsymbol{u})\big) = \boldsymbol{f},$$

where the parameter $\varepsilon$ highlights the dependence of the stress $\boldsymbol{\sigma}_\varepsilon$ on the underlying composite. Normally this parameter is small; for example in the case of a composite with a periodic micro-structure, $\varepsilon$ is the period. As such, asymptotic analysis can be employed to determine the effective description of the deformation in the limit of the parameter $\varepsilon$. There are various approaches to such a study and they all essentially can be broken down into the following key steps:

(a) To determine the leading-order asymptotic behaviour of $\boldsymbol{u}_\varepsilon$ and subsequently characterise the relationship of this limit with that of the stress $\boldsymbol{\sigma}_\varepsilon(\boldsymbol{u}_\varepsilon)$.

(b) To identify the limit or "effective" problem that the leading-order limit of $\boldsymbol{u}_\varepsilon$ satisfies.

Naturally, an additional physically important challenge should be addressed after identifying the limit problem:

(c) To establish error estimates between $\boldsymbol{u}_\varepsilon$ and the solution of the limit problem,

but this interesting study is outside the scope of the article, and in full generality the sophistication of the approach needed in establishing c) heavily depends on the regularity of $\boldsymbol{f}$.

A classical study which provides the asymptotic description of deformation for uniformly bounded stress has been known for several decades, see for example [24]. The relationship between such models and the study of traditional composites has been extensively explored. At the turn of the century, increasingly exotic composite materials which pertain to non-local, memory [11, 15, 25], or higher-order effects [5, 6, 10], negative effective density [33] [20], and novel wave effects such as directional propagation and cloaking [1], [26], were studied. These works explore

---

*Key words and phrases.* Elasticity, Functions with bounded deformation.

The first author was supported in part by NSF Grant #000000.

particular examples of 'high-contrast' periodic composites where the ratio between the constituent's material parameters is unbounded in $\varepsilon$. The correct mathematical framework to study such materials requires one to relax the uniformity assumption on $\boldsymbol{\sigma}_\varepsilon$. To highlight the challenges such an undertaking presents, in terms of a) and b), we focus our attention on a particular variational approach adopted herein: the so-called energy method, first introduced by Luc Tartar [30].

With regards to a) the energy method involves establishing that the sequences of solutions $(\boldsymbol{u}_\varepsilon)$ and stresses $(\boldsymbol{\sigma}_\varepsilon(\boldsymbol{u}_\varepsilon))$ are bounded with respect to some norm (usually the $L^2$-norm in linear problems) and thus converge in a suitable topology. The correct choice of topology to use is a subtle affair which relies on (usually formal) a priori information about the leading-order asymptotic behaviour of $\boldsymbol{u}_\varepsilon$. For example in the classical case where $\boldsymbol{\sigma}(\boldsymbol{u}_\varepsilon)$ is uniformly bounded, the correct topology is the standard weak topology. Yet, in high-contrast periodic problems where $\boldsymbol{\sigma}(\boldsymbol{u}_\varepsilon)$ is unbounded, the correct notion of convergence is two-scale convergence, see [4, 23, 32]. Upon identifying the limits of $\boldsymbol{u}_\varepsilon$ and $\boldsymbol{\sigma}(\boldsymbol{u}_\varepsilon)$ with respect to the appropriate topology, the characterisation of the functional relationship $\lim_\varepsilon \boldsymbol{\sigma}_\varepsilon(\boldsymbol{u}_\varepsilon) = \mathcal{F}(\lim_\varepsilon \boldsymbol{u}_\varepsilon)$ is a serious one and is related to the issue of determining the limit for products of weak* convergent sequences. While in general this may not be possible, in certain cases this is attainable; in the classical linear elliptic setting this relies on the "Compensated Compactness Lemma" of Murat-Tatar [21, 22]; in the high-contrast case, and more recent partial high-contrasts, this requires establishing generalised Weyl-type decompositions, see [18].

With regards to b) once establishing $\boldsymbol{u} = \lim_\varepsilon \boldsymbol{u}_\varepsilon$ and identifying $\mathcal{F}$, the energy method aims at determining the effective problem for $\boldsymbol{u}$ by passing to the limit in variational problem for $\boldsymbol{u}_\varepsilon$ using suitable test fields that asymptotically behave like $\boldsymbol{u}_\varepsilon$. This requires establishing a "strong approximability" result about the limit space to which $\boldsymbol{u}$ belongs: every element of the limit space is the strong limit, with respect to underlying convergence established in a), of elements from the space to which $\boldsymbol{u}_\varepsilon$ belongs. In the classical case this result is automatic as the solution $\boldsymbol{u}_\varepsilon$ and limit $\boldsymbol{u}$ are elements of the same function space. In the more general high-contrast setting these spaces may vary and such a statement needs to be established.

The general high-contrast theory of elliptic problems is still lacking, particularly in the case of non-periodic composites where some preliminary work has been done in the case of stratified materials, i.e. scalar elliptic partial differential equations with coefficients that depend only on one variable, see [8], [14], [16] and references therein. This article further develops the theory in the direction of elliptic systems. In particular, we study the asymptotic behaviour of stratified isotropic elastic media, occupying a cylindrical domain $\Omega = (0, L) \times \Omega'$ with clamped boundary (Dirichlet boundary conditions), with high-contrast Lamé coefficients; the stress is of the form

$$\boldsymbol{\sigma}_\varepsilon(\boldsymbol{u}_\varepsilon) = \lambda_\varepsilon(x_1)\mathrm{tr}(\boldsymbol{e}(\boldsymbol{u}_\varepsilon))\boldsymbol{I} + 2\mu_\varepsilon(x_1)\boldsymbol{e}(\boldsymbol{u}_\varepsilon),$$

for $\lambda_\varepsilon$ proportional to $\mu_\varepsilon \in L^\infty(0, L)$ ($\lambda_\varepsilon = l\mu_\varepsilon$, $l \geq 0$). The shear modulus $\mu_\varepsilon$ and its inverse $\mu_\varepsilon^{-1}$ are assumed to be bounded in $L^1(0, L)$ and converging, as $\varepsilon$ tends to zero, to Radon measures $\nu$ and $m$, respectively, which share no common atoms, see (3.3), (3.4) and Remark 3. When investigating the asymptotic behaviour of the deformation $\boldsymbol{u}_\varepsilon$ the first crucial thing to note is that the atoms of $\nu$ are points where discontinuities in $\boldsymbol{u}_\varepsilon$ will appear. This informs us (at least formally) that the infinitesimal strain $\boldsymbol{E}\boldsymbol{u}$ of the limit function $\boldsymbol{u} = \lim_\varepsilon \boldsymbol{u}_\varepsilon$ will necessarily be a measure with a non-vanishing singular part with respect to the Lebesgue measure, that is $\boldsymbol{u}$ should be a function with bounded deformation (i.e. belong to $BD(\Omega)$, see (2.6)), and the jump set of $\boldsymbol{u}$ should coincide with the atoms of $\nu$. As such, we expect $\boldsymbol{Eu}$ to be absolutely continuous with respect to $\nu \otimes \mathcal{L}^2$:

$$\int_B \boldsymbol{Eu} = \int_B \frac{\boldsymbol{Eu}}{\nu \otimes \mathcal{L}^2}\; d\nu \otimes \mathcal{L}^2 \qquad \text{for Borel sets } B,$$

where $\frac{\boldsymbol{Eu}}{\nu\otimes\mathcal{L}^2}$ denotes the Radon-Nikodým derivative of $\boldsymbol{Eu}$ with respect to $\nu \otimes \mathcal{L}^2$. On the other hand, as the medium is stratified we expect deformations transverse to the material layers to remain regular, even within those layers contracting to the atoms of $m$. More precisely, we determine that under the influence of an external body force $\boldsymbol{f} \in L^\infty(\Omega)$, the deformation $\boldsymbol{u}_\varepsilon \in H^1_0(\Omega;\mathbb{R}^3)$ weakly* converges in $BD(\Omega)$ to some $\boldsymbol{u}$ which belongs to the space

$$BD^{\nu,m}_0(\Omega) := \left\{ \boldsymbol{\varphi} \in BD(\Omega) \;\middle|\; \begin{array}{l} \boldsymbol{E\varphi} \ll \nu \otimes \mathcal{L}^2,\ \dfrac{\boldsymbol{E\varphi}}{\nu \otimes \mathcal{L}^2} \in L^2_{\nu\otimes\mathcal{L}^2}(\Omega;\mathbb{S}^3) \\ \varphi^\star_\alpha \in L^2_m(0,L;H^1_0(\Omega';\mathbb{R}^3)) \quad \alpha \in \{2,3\} \\ \boldsymbol{\varphi} = 0 \ \text{ on } \ \partial\Omega \end{array} \right\},$$

which we demonstrate is a Hilbert space when equipped with the norm

$$||\boldsymbol{\varphi}||_{BD^{\nu,m}_0(\Omega)} := \left(\int_\Omega |\frac{\boldsymbol{E\varphi}}{\nu\otimes\mathcal{L}^2}|^2 \; d\nu \otimes \mathcal{L}^2\right)^{\frac{1}{2}} + \left(\int_\Omega |\boldsymbol{e}_{x'}(\boldsymbol{\varphi}^\star)|^2 dm \otimes \mathcal{L}^2\right)^{\frac{1}{2}}.$$

Here $\boldsymbol{u}^\star$ is the precise representative of $\boldsymbol{u}$, see (2.1), which is necessarily introduced as $m$ may have atoms and $\boldsymbol{u}$ is only defined up to a set of Lesbesgue measure zero. For the asymptotics of the stress tensor $\boldsymbol{\sigma}(\boldsymbol{u}_\varepsilon)$, there are two principle types of behaviour exhibited. Roughly, by the continuity of stresses across interfaces we argue that the components of stress $\boldsymbol{\sigma}(\boldsymbol{u}_\varepsilon)\cdot\boldsymbol{e}_1$ must asymptotically behave well, even at atoms of $\nu$ where $\boldsymbol{u}_\varepsilon$ becomes discontinuous. Similarly, as hinted at above, the components of strain $e_{\varepsilon\alpha\beta}(\boldsymbol{u}_\varepsilon)$, for $\alpha,\beta = 2,3$, remain well behaved, even at the atoms of $m$. Indeed, we demonstrate that $\mu_\varepsilon^{-1}\boldsymbol{\sigma}_\varepsilon(\boldsymbol{u}_\varepsilon)\cdot\boldsymbol{e}_1$ weakly* converges in $\mathcal{M}(\overline{\Omega};\mathbb{R}^3)$ (the space of $\mathbb{R}^3$-valued Radon measures on $\overline{\Omega}$) to $\Big(l\,\mathrm{tr}(\frac{\boldsymbol{Eu}}{\nu\otimes\mathcal{L}^2}\boldsymbol{e}_1 + 2(\frac{\boldsymbol{Eu}}{\nu\otimes\mathcal{L}^2})\boldsymbol{e}_1\Big)\nu\otimes\mathcal{L}^2$ while $\mu_\varepsilon e_{\varepsilon\alpha\beta}(\boldsymbol{u}_\varepsilon)$ weakly* converges in $\mathcal{M}(\overline{\Omega})$ to $e_{\alpha\beta}(\boldsymbol{u}^\star)m\otimes\mathcal{L}^2$. To determine the problem which $\boldsymbol{u}$ solves, we pass to the limit in the variational equality

$$\int_\Omega \boldsymbol{\sigma}_\varepsilon(\boldsymbol{u}_\varepsilon)\cdot\boldsymbol{e}(\boldsymbol{\varphi}_\varepsilon)\; dx = \int_\Omega \boldsymbol{f}\cdot\boldsymbol{\varphi}_\varepsilon\; dx,$$

by constructing a suitable test field $\boldsymbol{\varphi}_\varepsilon \in H^1(\Omega;\mathbb{R}^3)$ which asymptotically behaves like $\boldsymbol{u}_\varepsilon$. Here there are two main considerations. First, one must address the apparent issue of the limit of products of terms $\sigma_{\varepsilon 1i}(\boldsymbol{u}_\varepsilon)$ and $e_{\alpha\beta}(\boldsymbol{\varphi}_\varepsilon)$ since a priori results state they converge to elements of different measure spaces, namely $\{g(\nu\otimes\mathcal{L}^2),\ g \in L^2_{\nu\otimes\mathcal{L}^2}\}$ and $\{h(m\otimes\mathcal{L}^2), h \in L^2_{m\otimes\mathcal{L}^2}\}$ respectively. To overcome this, we rearrange $\boldsymbol{\sigma}(\boldsymbol{u}_\varepsilon):\boldsymbol{e}(\boldsymbol{\varphi}_\varepsilon)$ in terms of 'good' products whose factors mutally converge in the same space, i.e. we determine

$$\boldsymbol{\sigma}_\varepsilon(\boldsymbol{u}_\varepsilon):\boldsymbol{e}(\boldsymbol{\varphi}_\varepsilon) = \mu_\varepsilon\boldsymbol{a}^\perp\boldsymbol{e}(\boldsymbol{u}_\varepsilon):\boldsymbol{e}(\boldsymbol{\varphi}_\varepsilon) + \mu_\varepsilon\boldsymbol{a}^\parallel\boldsymbol{e}(\boldsymbol{u}_\varepsilon):\boldsymbol{e}(\boldsymbol{\varphi}_\varepsilon)$$

where the matrices $\boldsymbol{a}^\perp$, $\boldsymbol{a}^\parallel$ are given by (3.9). With $\boldsymbol{\sigma}_\varepsilon(\boldsymbol{u}_\varepsilon):\boldsymbol{e}(\boldsymbol{\varphi}_\varepsilon)$ written in terms of products that converge in the same sense, we must address the issue of identifying these limits. This requires establishing the “strong approximability” of the limit space: each element of $BD_0^{\nu,m}(\Omega)$ has a sequence of fields $\boldsymbol{\varphi}_\varepsilon$, suitably smooth, (belonging to $H^1$) such that $\boldsymbol{\varphi}_\varepsilon$, $\sigma_{\varepsilon 1i}(\boldsymbol{\varphi}_\varepsilon)$ and $e_{\alpha\beta}(\boldsymbol{\varphi}_\varepsilon)$ strongly convergence in an appropriate sense (see Definition 1) to their analogous limits, this result is Proposition 6. The main result of the article is as follows.

**Theorem 1.** *The solution sequence* $(\boldsymbol{u}_\varepsilon)$ *is weak* convergent in* $BD(\Omega)$ *and its limit* $\boldsymbol{u} \in BD_0^{\nu,m}(\Omega)$ *is a solution to*

$$\int_\Omega \boldsymbol{a}^\perp \tfrac{\boldsymbol{E}\boldsymbol{u}}{\nu\otimes\mathcal{L}^2} : \tfrac{\boldsymbol{E}\boldsymbol{\varphi}}{\nu\otimes\mathcal{L}^2} d\nu \otimes \mathcal{L}^2 + \int_\Omega \boldsymbol{a}^\parallel \boldsymbol{e}_{x'}(\boldsymbol{u}^\star) : \boldsymbol{e}_{x'}(\boldsymbol{\varphi}^\star) dm \otimes \mathcal{L}^2 = \int_\Omega \boldsymbol{f}\cdot\boldsymbol{v} \qquad \forall \boldsymbol{v} \in BD_0^{\nu,m}(\Omega),$$

*Furthermore, such a solution is unique.*

In the particular situation where the Radon measures $\nu$, $m$ have no Cantor part the above weak variational form admits a strong PDE form, see Corollary 1.
We conclude the introduction by remarking that our method outlined above is not restricted to the case of isotropic linear elasticity. This result is applicable to linear systems of the form

$$-\mathrm{div}\big(\mu_\varepsilon \mathbf{C}\, \boldsymbol{\nabla}\boldsymbol{u}_\varepsilon\big) = \boldsymbol{f},$$

where $\Omega \subset \mathbb{R}^n$ is a cylindrical domain, $\boldsymbol{u} \in H^1_0(\Omega;\mathbb{R}^n)$, $\mathbf{C}$ is a symmetric definite positive second order tensor on $\mathbb{R}^{n+d}$ such that the $n\times n$ matrix $T_{ij} = \mathrm{C}_{i1j1}$ is invertible, see Remark 4. The limit functions here will be of the, more regular, class of functions with bounded variation. For the case $d = 1$, this addresses the previously open problem of determining the asymptotic behaviour in this context for the general anisotropic heat equation. The key to transferability of the method is that under such assumptions on $\mathbf{C}$ one can perform a rearrangement of $\mathbf{C}\,\boldsymbol{\nabla}\boldsymbol{u} : \boldsymbol{\nabla}\boldsymbol{\varphi}$ similar to that for $\boldsymbol{\sigma}_\varepsilon(\boldsymbol{u}) : \boldsymbol{e}(\boldsymbol{\varphi})$. It is interesting to note that no such rearrangement can be performed for the case of fully anisotropic elasticity and as such our method does not apply.

## 2. NOTATIONS

In this article, $\{\boldsymbol{e}_1, \boldsymbol{e}_2, \boldsymbol{e}_3\}$ stands for the canonical basis of $\mathbb{R}^3$. Points in $\mathbb{R}^3$ and real-valued functions are represented by symbols beginning with a lightface lowercase (example $x, i, \mathrm{tr}\,\boldsymbol{A}, \ldots$) while vectors and vector-valued functions by symbols beginning in boldface lowercase (examples: $\boldsymbol{u}$, $\boldsymbol{f}$, $\mathbf{div}\boldsymbol{\sigma}_\varepsilon$, … ). Matrices and matrix-valued functions are represented by symbols beginning in boldface uppercase with the following exceptions: $\boldsymbol{\nabla}\boldsymbol{u}$ (displacement gradient), $\boldsymbol{e}(\boldsymbol{u})$ (linearized strain tensor). We denote by $u_i$ or $(\boldsymbol{u})_i$ the components of a vector $\boldsymbol{u}$ and by $A_{ij}$ or $(\boldsymbol{A})_{ij}$ those of a matrix $\boldsymbol{A}$ (that is $\boldsymbol{u} = \sum_{i=1}^3 u_i \boldsymbol{e}_i = \sum_{i=1}^3 (\boldsymbol{u})_i \boldsymbol{e}_i$; $\boldsymbol{A} = \sum_{i,j=1}^3 A_{ij} \boldsymbol{e}_i \otimes \boldsymbol{e}_j = \sum_{i,j=1}^3 (\boldsymbol{A})_{ij} \boldsymbol{e}_i \otimes \boldsymbol{e}_j$, where $\otimes$ stands for the tensor product). For any two vectors $\boldsymbol{a}$, $\boldsymbol{b}$ in $\mathbb{R}^3$, the symmetric product $\boldsymbol{a} \odot \boldsymbol{b}$ is the symmetric $3\times 3$ matrix defined by $\boldsymbol{a} \odot \boldsymbol{b} := \frac{1}{2}(\boldsymbol{a}\otimes\boldsymbol{b} + \boldsymbol{b}\otimes\boldsymbol{a})$. We do not employ the usual repeated index convention for summation. We denote by $\boldsymbol{A} : \boldsymbol{B} = \sum_{i,j=1}^3 A_{ij} B_{ij}$ the inner product of two matrices, by $\mathbb{S}^3$ the set of all real symmetric matrices of order 3, by $\boldsymbol{I}$ the $3\times 3$ identity matrix. We denote by $\mathcal{L}^n$ the Lebesgue measure in $\mathbb{R}^n$ and by $\mathcal{H}^k$ the $k$-dimensional Hausdorff measure. The letter $C$ denotes constants whose precise values may vary from line to line. Let $\Omega := (0, L) \times \Omega'$ be a connected cylindrical open Lipschitz subset of $\mathbb{R}^3$. For any $\boldsymbol{\varphi} \in L^1_{loc}(\Omega;\mathbb{R}^3)$, we denote by $\boldsymbol{\varphi}^\star$ its precise representative, that is

$$\boldsymbol{\varphi}^\star(x) = \begin{cases} \lim\limits_{r\to 0} \fint_{B_r(x)} \boldsymbol{\varphi}(y)\ dy & \text{if this limit exists,} \\ 0 & \text{otherwise,} \end{cases} \tag{2.1}$$

where $B_r(x)$ is the open ball of radius $r$ centered at $x$, and $\fint_{B_r(x)} \boldsymbol{\varphi}(y)\ dy := \frac{1}{\mathcal{L}^3(B_r(x))}\int_{B_r(x)} \boldsymbol{\varphi}(y)\ dy$. We also set

$$\boldsymbol{\varphi}^\pm(x) = \begin{cases} \lim\limits_{r\to 0} \fint_{B^\pm_r(x)} \boldsymbol{\varphi}(y)\ dy & \text{if this limit exists,} \\ 0 & \text{otherwise,} \end{cases} \tag{2.2}$$

where

$$B^+_r(x) := B_r(x) \cap \big((x_1, L) \times \Omega'\big), \quad B^-_r(x) := B_r(x) \cap \big((0, x_1) \times \Omega'\big). \tag{2.3}$$

The fields $\boldsymbol{\varphi}^\star$ and $\boldsymbol{\varphi}^\pm$ are Borel-measurable and take the same values on the Lebesgue points of $\boldsymbol{\varphi}$, thus

$$\boldsymbol{\varphi}^\pm = \boldsymbol{\varphi}^\star = \boldsymbol{\varphi} \quad \mathcal{L}^3\text{-a.e. in } \Omega. \tag{2.4}$$

We denote by $\boldsymbol{\varphi}'$ the element of $L^1_{loc}(\Omega;\mathbb{R}^3)$ defined by

$$\varphi'_1 = 0, \qquad \varphi'_\alpha = \varphi_\alpha \quad \forall \alpha \in \{2,3\}, \tag{2.5}$$

and by $\widetilde{\boldsymbol{\varphi}}$ the extension of $\boldsymbol{\varphi}$ by 0 into $\mathbb{R}^3$. If $\varphi_2, \varphi_3$ admit weak derivatives with respect to $x_2, x_3$, we set

$$\boldsymbol{e}_{x'}(\boldsymbol{\varphi}) := \sum_{\alpha,\beta=2}^{3} \frac{1}{2}\left(\frac{\partial \varphi_\alpha}{\partial x_\beta} + \frac{\partial \varphi_\beta}{\partial x_\alpha}\right) \boldsymbol{e}_\alpha \otimes \boldsymbol{e}_\beta.$$

The symbol $\boldsymbol{D}\boldsymbol{\varphi}$ represents the distributional gradient of $\boldsymbol{\varphi}$ and $\boldsymbol{E}\boldsymbol{\varphi} := \frac{1}{2}\left(\boldsymbol{D}\boldsymbol{\varphi} + \boldsymbol{D}\boldsymbol{\varphi}^T\right)$ the symmetric distributional gradient of $\boldsymbol{\varphi}$. The space of functions with bounded deformation on $\Omega$ is defined by

$$BD(\Omega) := \left\{\boldsymbol{\varphi} \in L^1(\Omega;\mathbb{R}^3) : \ \boldsymbol{E}\boldsymbol{\varphi} \in \mathcal{M}(\Omega;\mathbb{S}^3)\right\}, \tag{2.6}$$

where $\mathcal{M}(\Omega;\mathbb{S}^3)$ stands for the space of $\mathbb{S}^3$-valued Radon measures on $\Omega$ with bounded total variation. For any $x_1 \in (0, L)$, we set

$$\Sigma_{x_1} := \{x_1\} \times \Omega'. \tag{2.7}$$

The symbol $\frac{\lambda}{\theta}$ represents the Radon-Nikodým density of a (finite) vector valued Radon measure $\lambda$ on $\Omega$ with respect to a positive Radon measure $\theta$ on $\Omega$.

## 3. SETTING OF THE PROBLEM AND RESULTS

Let $\Omega := (0, L) \times \Omega'$ be a open bounded cylindrical Lipschitz domain of $\mathbb{R}^3$. We are interested in the asymptotic analysis of the solution $\boldsymbol{u}_\varepsilon$ to

$$(\mathcal{P}_\varepsilon)\!: \begin{cases} -\,\mathbf{div}(\boldsymbol{\sigma}_\varepsilon(\boldsymbol{u}_\varepsilon)) = \boldsymbol{f} \quad \text{in } \Omega, \\ \boldsymbol{\sigma}_\varepsilon(\boldsymbol{u}_\varepsilon) = \lambda_\varepsilon(x_1)\mathrm{tr}(\boldsymbol{e}(\boldsymbol{u}_\varepsilon))\boldsymbol{I} + 2\mu_\varepsilon(x_1)\boldsymbol{e}(\boldsymbol{u}_\varepsilon), \quad \boldsymbol{e}(\boldsymbol{u}_\varepsilon) = \dfrac{1}{2}(\boldsymbol{\nabla}\boldsymbol{u}_\varepsilon + \boldsymbol{\nabla}^T\boldsymbol{u}_\varepsilon), \\ \boldsymbol{u}_\varepsilon \in H^1_0(\Omega;\mathbb{R}^3), \quad \boldsymbol{f} \in L^\infty(\Omega,\mathbb{R}^3), \end{cases} \tag{3.1}$$

when the Lamé coefficients $\lambda_\varepsilon$, $\mu_\varepsilon$ only depend on one variable (say $x_1$) and $\mu_\varepsilon, \mu_\varepsilon^{-1}$ belong to $L^\infty(0,L)$ and are bounded in $L^1(0,L)$. More precisely, setting

$$\nu_\varepsilon := \mu_\varepsilon^{-1}\mathcal{L}^1_{\lfloor[0,L]}; \qquad m_\varepsilon := \mu_\varepsilon\mathcal{L}^1_{\lfloor[0,L]}, \tag{3.2}$$

we make the following hypotheses:

$$\begin{aligned} &\lambda_\varepsilon = l\mu_\varepsilon \quad (l \geq 0), \qquad \sup_{\varepsilon>0}\Big(||\mu_\varepsilon||_{L^1(0,L)} + ||\mu_\varepsilon^{-1}||_{L^1(0,L)}\Big) < \infty, \\ &m_\varepsilon \overset{\star}{\rightharpoonup} m, \qquad \nu_\varepsilon \overset{\star}{\rightharpoonup} \nu \quad \text{weakly* in } \ \mathcal{M}([0,L]). \end{aligned} \tag{3.3}$$

We also suppose that (see Remark 3)

$$m(\{t\})\nu(\{t\}) = 0 \ \forall t \in [0,L], \quad m(\{0\}) = m(\{L\}) = \nu(\{0\}) = \nu(\{L\}) = 0. \tag{3.4}$$

Under these assumptions, we prove that $\boldsymbol{u}_\varepsilon$ weakly* converges in $BD(\Omega)$ to an element $\boldsymbol{u}$ of the space

$$BD_0^{\nu,m}(\Omega) := \left\{ \boldsymbol{\varphi} \in BD(\Omega) \left| \begin{array}{l} \boldsymbol{E\varphi} \ll \nu\otimes\mathcal{L}^2, \ \frac{\boldsymbol{E\varphi}}{\nu\otimes\mathcal{L}^2} \in L^2_{\nu\otimes\mathcal{L}^2}(\Omega;\mathbb{S}^3) \\ \varphi_\alpha^\star \in L^2_m(0,L;H^1_0(\Omega')) \quad \alpha\in\{2,3\} \\ \boldsymbol{\varphi} = 0 \ \text{ on } \ \partial\Omega \end{array} \right. \right\}, \tag{3.5}$$

which, endowed with the norm

$$||\boldsymbol{\varphi}||_{BD_0^{\nu,m}(\Omega)} := \left(\int_\Omega |\tfrac{\boldsymbol{E\varphi}}{\nu\otimes\mathcal{L}^2}|^2 \ d\nu\otimes\mathcal{L}^2\right)^{\frac{1}{2}} + \left(\int_\Omega |\boldsymbol{e}_{x'}(\boldsymbol{\varphi}^\star)|^2 dm\otimes\mathcal{L}^2\right)^{\frac{1}{2}}, \tag{3.6}$$

turns out to be a Hilbert space. We prove that $\boldsymbol{u}$ satisfies the variational problem

$$(\mathcal{P}^{eff}): \begin{cases} a(\boldsymbol{u},\boldsymbol{\varphi}) = \displaystyle\int_\Omega \boldsymbol{f}\cdot\boldsymbol{\varphi} \qquad \forall\boldsymbol{\varphi}\in BD_0^{\nu,m}(\Omega), \\ \boldsymbol{u}\in BD_0^{\nu,m}(\Omega), \end{cases} \tag{3.7}$$

where $a(\cdot,\cdot)$ is the non-negative symmetric bilinear form on $BD_0^{\nu,m}(\Omega)$ defined by

$$a(\boldsymbol{u},\boldsymbol{\varphi}) := \int_\Omega \boldsymbol{a}^\perp \tfrac{\boldsymbol{Eu}}{\nu\otimes\mathcal{L}^2} : \tfrac{\boldsymbol{E\varphi}}{\nu\otimes\mathcal{L}^2} d\nu\otimes\mathcal{L}^2 + \int_\Omega \boldsymbol{a}^\parallel \boldsymbol{e}_{x'}(\boldsymbol{u}^\star) : \boldsymbol{e}_{x'}(\boldsymbol{\varphi}^\star) dm\otimes\mathcal{L}^2, \tag{3.8}$$

in terms of the fourth order tensors $\boldsymbol{a}^\perp$ and $\boldsymbol{a}^\parallel$ given by

$$\begin{aligned} \boldsymbol{a}^\perp\boldsymbol{\Xi} &:= \begin{pmatrix} l\,\mathrm{tr}\,\boldsymbol{\Xi} + 2\Xi_{11} & 2\Xi_{12} & 2\Xi_{13} \\ 2\Xi_{12} & \frac{l^2}{l+2}\,\mathrm{tr}\,\boldsymbol{\Xi} + \frac{2l}{l+2}\Xi_{11} & 0 \\ 2\Xi_{13} & 0 & \frac{l^2}{l+2}\,\mathrm{tr}\,\boldsymbol{\Xi} + \frac{2l}{l+2}\Xi_{11} \end{pmatrix}, \\ \boldsymbol{a}^\parallel\boldsymbol{\Gamma} &:= \tfrac{2l}{l+2}\sum_{\beta=2}^3 \Gamma_{\beta\beta}\sum_{\alpha=2}^3 \boldsymbol{e}_\alpha\otimes\boldsymbol{e}_\alpha + 2\sum_{\alpha,\beta=2}^3 \Gamma_{\alpha\beta}\boldsymbol{e}_\alpha\otimes\boldsymbol{e}_\beta. \end{aligned} \tag{3.9}$$

We prove that $a(.,.)$ is continuous and coercive on $BD_0^{\nu,m}(\Omega)$, therefore (3.7) has a unique solution.

**Theorem 1.** *The space $BD_0^{\nu,m}(\Omega)$ defined by* (3.5)*, endowed with the norm* (3.6)*, is a Hilbert space. Under the assumptions* (3.3) *and* (3.4)*, the symmetric bilinear form $a(\cdot,\cdot)$ defined by* (3.8) *is coercive and continuous on $BD_0^{\nu,m}(\Omega)$. The solution to (3.1) weakly* converges in $BD(\Omega)$ to the unique solution to (3.7).*

**Remark 1.** *The problem* (3.7) *is equivalent to*

$$\min_{\boldsymbol{u}\in BD_0^{\nu,m}(\Omega)} F(\boldsymbol{u}) - \int_\Omega \boldsymbol{f}\cdot\boldsymbol{u}dx \tag{3.10}$$

*where the functional $F$ is defined on $BD_0^{\nu,m}(\Omega)$ by*

$$F(\boldsymbol{u}) := \int_\Omega f\left(\tfrac{\boldsymbol{E}\boldsymbol{u}}{\nu\otimes\mathcal{L}^2}\right) d\nu\otimes\mathcal{L}^2 + \int_\Omega g(\boldsymbol{e}_{x'}(\boldsymbol{u}^\star))dm\otimes\mathcal{L}^2, \tag{3.11}$$

*in terms of $f, g$ given by*

$$f(\Xi) := \tfrac{1}{2}\boldsymbol{a}^\perp\Xi : \Xi, \qquad g(\boldsymbol{\Gamma})) := \tfrac{1}{2}\boldsymbol{a}^\parallel\boldsymbol{\Gamma} : \boldsymbol{\Gamma}. \tag{3.12}$$

**Remark 2.** *The symmetric distributional derivative $\boldsymbol{E}\boldsymbol{\varphi}$ of any $\boldsymbol{\varphi}\in BD(\Omega)$ can be decomposed into an absolutely continuous part $\boldsymbol{E}^a\boldsymbol{\varphi}$ with respect to $\mathcal{L}^3$, a jump part $\boldsymbol{E}^j\boldsymbol{\varphi}$ and a Cantor part $\boldsymbol{E}^c\boldsymbol{\varphi}$. The Cantor part $\boldsymbol{E}^c\boldsymbol{\varphi}$ vanishes on any Borel set which is $\sigma$-finite with respect to $\mathcal{H}^2$. The density $\boldsymbol{e}(\boldsymbol{\varphi})$ of $\boldsymbol{E}\boldsymbol{\varphi}$ with respect to $\mathcal{L}^3$ is the approximate symmetric differential of $\boldsymbol{\varphi}$ (see* [2, Theorem 4.3] *for more details). When $\boldsymbol{E}\boldsymbol{\varphi}\ll\mathcal{L}^3$, $\boldsymbol{e}(\boldsymbol{\varphi})$ is the weak symmetric gradient of $\boldsymbol{\varphi}$. The jump part takes the form $\boldsymbol{E}^j\boldsymbol{\varphi} = \boldsymbol{E}\boldsymbol{\varphi}_{\lfloor J_{\boldsymbol{\varphi}}}$, where the "jump set" $J_{\boldsymbol{\varphi}}$ is a countably $\mathcal{H}^2$-rectifiable subset of $\Omega$ (i.e. there exists countably many Lipschitz functions $f_i : \mathbb{R}^2 \to \Omega$ such that $\mathcal{H}^2\left(J_{\boldsymbol{\varphi}} \setminus \bigcup_{i=0}^{+\infty} f_i(\mathbb{R}^2)\right) = 0$, see* [3, Definition 2.57]*). For any countably $\mathcal{H}^2$-rectifiable Borel set $M\subset\Omega$, the following holds (see* [27, Chapter II], [2, p.209 (3.2)]*)*

$$\boldsymbol{E}\boldsymbol{\varphi}\lfloor_M = (\boldsymbol{\varphi}_M^+ - \boldsymbol{\varphi}_M^-)\odot\boldsymbol{n}_M\mathcal{H}^2_{\lfloor M}, \tag{3.13}$$

*where $\boldsymbol{n}_M(x)$ is a unit normal to $M$ at $x$ and $\boldsymbol{\varphi}_M^\pm$ is deduced from* (2.2) *by substituting $B_r^\pm(x, \boldsymbol{n}_M) := \{y\in B_r(x), \pm(\boldsymbol{y}-\boldsymbol{x})\cdot\boldsymbol{n}_M(x) > 0\}$ for $B_r^\pm(x)$.*

*Due to their absolutely continuity with respect to $\nu\otimes\mathcal{L}^2$, the symmetric distributional gradient of the elements of $BD(\Omega)$ admit a specific decomposition. The measure $\nu$ (resp. $m$) can be split into an absolutely continuous part $\nu^a$ (resp. $m^a$) with respect to the Lebesgue measure, a singular part without atoms or Cantor part $\nu^c$ (resp. $m^c$), and a purely atomic part $\nu^{at}$:*

$$\begin{aligned} &\nu{=}\nu^a{+}\nu^c{+}\,\nu^{at},\ \nu^{at}{=}\sum_{t\in\mathcal{A}_\nu}\nu(\{t\})\delta_t,\ \nu^a{=}\tfrac{\nu}{\mathcal{L}^1}\mathcal{L}^1,\ \mathcal{A}_\nu{:=}\{t\in[0,L];\nu(\{t\})>0\},\\ &m{=}m^a{+}m^c{+}\sum_{t\in\mathcal{A}_m} m(\{t\})\delta_t,\ m^a = \tfrac{m}{\mathcal{L}^1}\mathcal{L}^1,\ \mathcal{A}_m{:=}\,\{t\in[0,L];m(\{t\}){>}\,0\}.\end{aligned} \tag{3.14}$$

*We have $\nu^a\otimes\mathcal{L}^2\ll\mathcal{L}^3$, it can be shown that $\nu^c\otimes\mathcal{L}^2$ vanishes on countably $\mathcal{H}^2$-rectifiable Borel sets, the measures $\nu^c\otimes\mathcal{L}^2$ and $\mathcal{L}^3$ are mutually singular and $\nu^{at}\otimes\mathcal{L}^2\ll\mathcal{H}^2_{\lfloor\Sigma_\nu}$, where*

$$\Sigma_\nu := \bigcup_{t\in\mathcal{A}_\nu}\Sigma_t, \quad \Sigma_m := \bigcup_{t\in\mathcal{A}_m}\Sigma_t, \quad \Sigma := \Sigma_\nu\cup\Sigma_m. \tag{3.15}$$

*Accordingly, the condition $\boldsymbol{E}(\boldsymbol{\varphi})\ll\nu\otimes\mathcal{L}^2$ satisfied by any element $\boldsymbol{\varphi}$ of $BD_0^{\nu,m}(\Omega)$ implies $\boldsymbol{E}^a\boldsymbol{\varphi}\ll\nu^a\otimes\mathcal{L}^2$, $\boldsymbol{E}^c\boldsymbol{\varphi}\ll\nu^c\otimes\mathcal{L}^2$, $\boldsymbol{E}^j\boldsymbol{\varphi}\ll\mathcal{H}^2_{\lfloor\Sigma_\nu}$. Taking* (3.13) *into account, we deduce*

$$\boldsymbol{E}\boldsymbol{\varphi} = \boldsymbol{e}(\boldsymbol{\varphi})\mathcal{L}^3 + \tfrac{\boldsymbol{E}\boldsymbol{\varphi}}{\nu^c\otimes\mathcal{L}^2}\nu^c \otimes \mathcal{L}^2 + \sum_{t\in\mathcal{A}_\nu} (\boldsymbol{\varphi}^+ - \boldsymbol{\varphi}^-) \odot \boldsymbol{e}_1 \mathcal{H}^2_{\lfloor\Sigma_t}. \tag{3.16}$$

*The substitution of* (3.16) *into* (3.8), (3.9) *leads to*

$$\begin{aligned} a(\boldsymbol{u},\boldsymbol{\varphi}) = & \int_\Omega \boldsymbol{a}\boldsymbol{e}(\boldsymbol{u}) : \boldsymbol{e}(\boldsymbol{\varphi}) dx + \sum_{t\in\mathcal{A}_\nu} \nu(\{t\})^{-1} \int_{\Sigma_t} (\boldsymbol{u}^+ - \boldsymbol{u}^-) \cdot \boldsymbol{A}(\boldsymbol{\varphi}^+ - \boldsymbol{\varphi}^-) d\mathcal{H}^2 \\ & + \sum_{t\in\mathcal{A}_m} m(\{t\}) \int_{\Sigma_t} \boldsymbol{a}^{\|} \boldsymbol{e}_{x'}(\boldsymbol{u}^\star) : \boldsymbol{e}_{x'}(\boldsymbol{\varphi}^\star) d\mathcal{H}^2 \\ & + \int_\Omega \boldsymbol{a}^{\perp} \tfrac{\boldsymbol{E}\boldsymbol{u}}{\nu^c\otimes\mathcal{L}^2} : \tfrac{\boldsymbol{E}\boldsymbol{\varphi}}{\nu^c\otimes\mathcal{L}^2} d\nu^c \otimes \mathcal{L}^2 + \int_\Omega \boldsymbol{a}^{\|} \boldsymbol{e}_{x'}(\boldsymbol{u}^\star) : \boldsymbol{e}_{x'}(\boldsymbol{\varphi}^\star) dm^c \otimes \mathcal{L}^2, \end{aligned} \tag{3.17}$$

*where the fourth order tensor* $\boldsymbol{a}$ *and the matrix* $\boldsymbol{A}$ *are given by*

$$\boldsymbol{a} = \left(\tfrac{\nu}{\mathcal{L}^1}\right)^{-1} \boldsymbol{a}^{\perp} + \tfrac{m}{\mathcal{L}^1}\boldsymbol{a}^{\|}, \qquad \boldsymbol{A} := \begin{pmatrix} l+2 & 0 & 0 \\ 0 & 1 & 0 \\ 0 & 0 & 1 \end{pmatrix}. \tag{3.18}$$

*Similarly, substituting* (3.16) *into* (3.11) *yields*

$$\begin{aligned} F(\boldsymbol{u}) := & \int_\Omega f(\boldsymbol{e}(\boldsymbol{u})) \left(\tfrac{\nu}{\mathcal{L}^1}\right)^{-1} + g(\boldsymbol{e}_{x'}(\boldsymbol{u})) \tfrac{m}{\mathcal{L}^1} dx \\ & + \sum_{t\in\mathcal{A}_\nu} \frac{\nu(\{t\})^{-1}}{2} \int_{\Sigma_t} (\boldsymbol{u}^+ - \boldsymbol{u}^-) \cdot \boldsymbol{A}(\boldsymbol{u}^+ - \boldsymbol{u}^-) d\mathcal{H}^2 + \sum_{t\in\mathcal{A}_m} \frac{m(\{t\})}{2} \int_{\Sigma_t} g(\boldsymbol{e}_{x'}(\boldsymbol{u}^\star)) d\mathcal{H}^2 \\ & + \int_\Omega f\left(\tfrac{\boldsymbol{E}^c\boldsymbol{u}}{\nu\otimes\mathcal{L}^2}\right) d\nu^c \otimes \mathcal{L}^2 + \int_\Omega g(\boldsymbol{e}_{x'}(\boldsymbol{u}^\star)) dm^c \otimes \mathcal{L}^2. \end{aligned}$$

*We can write the PDE system associated with* (3.7), (3.17) *provided the Cantor parts* $\nu^c$ *and* $m^c$ *vanish and the sets ot atoms* $\mathcal{A}_\nu$ *and* $\mathcal{A}_m$ *are finite:*

**Corollary 1.** *If* $\nu^c = m^c = 0$ *and* $\mathcal{A}_\nu$, $\mathcal{A}_m$ *are finite, the problem* (3.7) *is equivalent to*

$$\begin{cases} -\,\mathbf{div}\boldsymbol{a}\boldsymbol{e}(\boldsymbol{u}) = \boldsymbol{f} \quad \text{in} \ \ \Omega\setminus\Sigma, \quad \boldsymbol{u} \in BD_0^{\nu,m}(\Omega), & \\ \nu(\{t\})^{-1}\boldsymbol{A}(\boldsymbol{u}^+ - \boldsymbol{u}^-) = \boldsymbol{a}\boldsymbol{e}(\boldsymbol{u}^-)\boldsymbol{e}_1 = \boldsymbol{a}\boldsymbol{e}(\boldsymbol{u}^+)\boldsymbol{e}_1 & \text{on } \Sigma_t, \ \forall t\in\mathcal{A}_\nu, \\ \boldsymbol{a}\boldsymbol{e}(\boldsymbol{u}^-)\boldsymbol{e}_1 - \boldsymbol{a}\boldsymbol{e}(\boldsymbol{u}^+)\boldsymbol{e}_1 - m(\{t\})\mathbf{div}_{x'}\boldsymbol{a}^{\|}\boldsymbol{e}_{x'}(\boldsymbol{u}^\star) = 0 & \text{on } \Sigma_t, \ \forall t\in\mathcal{A}_m, \end{cases} \tag{3.19}$$

*where* $\Sigma$, $\boldsymbol{a}$, $\boldsymbol{A}$ *are given by* (3.15), (3.18).

*Proof.* Choosing $\boldsymbol{\varphi} \in \mathcal{D}(\Omega\setminus\Sigma)$ in (3.7), taking (3.17) into account, we get $\int_{\Omega\setminus\Sigma} \boldsymbol{\sigma}(\boldsymbol{u}) : \boldsymbol{e}(\boldsymbol{\varphi}) dx = \int_\Omega \boldsymbol{f}\cdot\boldsymbol{\varphi}$ and infer, by the arbitrary choice of $\boldsymbol{\varphi}$, that $-\mathbf{div}\boldsymbol{a}\boldsymbol{e}(\boldsymbol{u}) = \boldsymbol{f}$ in $\Omega\setminus\Sigma$. Choosing $\boldsymbol{\varphi} \in BD_0^{\nu,m}(\Omega)$ such that $\boldsymbol{\varphi} \in C^\infty(U)$ for every connected component $U$ of $\Omega\setminus\Sigma_\nu$, and integrating $\boldsymbol{a}\boldsymbol{e}(\boldsymbol{u}) : \boldsymbol{e}(\boldsymbol{\varphi})$ by parts over each connected component of $\Omega\setminus\Sigma$, taking the first line of (3.19) into account, we deduce

$$\sum_{t\in\mathcal{A}_m}\int_{\Sigma_t}\big(\boldsymbol{a}\boldsymbol{e}(\boldsymbol{u}^-)\boldsymbol{e}_1-\boldsymbol{a}\boldsymbol{e}(\boldsymbol{u}^+)\boldsymbol{e}_1\big)\cdot\boldsymbol{\varphi}+m(\{t\})\boldsymbol{a}^{\|}\boldsymbol{e}_{x'}(\boldsymbol{u}^\star):\boldsymbol{e}_{x'}(\boldsymbol{\varphi}^\star)d\mathcal{H}^2$$
$$+\sum_{t\in\mathcal{A}_\nu}\int_{\Sigma_t}\boldsymbol{a}\boldsymbol{e}(\boldsymbol{u}^-)\boldsymbol{e}_1\cdot\boldsymbol{\varphi}^--\boldsymbol{a}\boldsymbol{e}(\boldsymbol{u}^+)\boldsymbol{e}_1\cdot\boldsymbol{\varphi}^++(\boldsymbol{u}^+-\boldsymbol{u}^-)\cdot\nu(\{t\})^{-1}\boldsymbol{A}(\boldsymbol{\varphi}^+-\boldsymbol{\varphi}^-)d\mathcal{H}^2=0,$$

and obtain the transmission conditions stated in the second and third lines of (3.19). Conversely, any solution to (3.19) satisfies (3.7). □

**Remark 3.** *When $\nu$ and $m$ do not satisfy (3.4), the effective problem not only depends on the couple $(\nu, m)$, but also on the choice of the sequence $(\mu_\varepsilon)$ satisfying (3.3). By way of illustration, let us fix two sequences of positive reals $(r_\varepsilon^{(1)})$, $(r_\varepsilon^{(2)})$ converging to 0, set $r_\varepsilon := \max\left\{r_\varepsilon^{(1)}, r_\varepsilon^{(2)}\right\}$, and consider the sequence $(\mu_\varepsilon)$ defined by*

$$\mu_\varepsilon := \mathbb{1}_{(0,L)\setminus\left(\frac{L}{2}-\frac{r_\varepsilon}{2},\frac{L}{2}+\frac{r_\varepsilon}{2}\right)}+r_\varepsilon^{(1)}\mathbb{1}_{\left(\frac{L}{2}-\frac{r_\varepsilon^{(1)}}{2},\frac{L}{2}+\frac{r_\varepsilon^{(1)}}{2}\right)}+\frac{1}{r_\varepsilon^{(2)}}\mathbb{1}_{\left(\frac{L}{2}-\frac{r_\varepsilon^{(2)}}{2},\frac{L}{2}+\frac{r_\varepsilon^{(2)}}{2}\right)}.$$

*The convergences (3.3) are satisfied with $\nu = m = \delta_{\frac{L}{2}} + \mathcal{L}^1$. By adapting to the framework of elasticity the argument developed in* [7, Chapter 4] *in the context of the heat equation, one can prove the following results:*

- *If $r_\varepsilon^{(2)} \ll r_\varepsilon^{(1)}$, the effective problem takes the form*

  $$\inf\left\{F(\boldsymbol{\varphi})-\int_\Omega \boldsymbol{f}\cdot\boldsymbol{\varphi}dx,\quad \boldsymbol{\varphi}\in H^1(\Omega\setminus\Sigma_{L/2}),\ \boldsymbol{\varphi}=0 \text{ on } \partial\Omega\right\},$$

  *where, setting $\boldsymbol{\sigma}(\boldsymbol{\varphi}) := l\,\mathrm{tr}(\boldsymbol{e}(\boldsymbol{\varphi}))\boldsymbol{I}+2\boldsymbol{e}(\boldsymbol{\varphi})$, $\boldsymbol{\sigma}_{x'}(\boldsymbol{\varphi}') := l\,\mathrm{tr}(\boldsymbol{e}_{x'}(\boldsymbol{\varphi}'))\boldsymbol{I}+2\boldsymbol{e}_{x'}(\boldsymbol{\varphi}')$, $F$ is the non-local functional defined by (see (3.18))*

$$F(\boldsymbol{\varphi}) = \inf_{\boldsymbol{v}\in H_0^1(\Sigma_{L/2};\mathbb{R}^3)}\Phi(\boldsymbol{\varphi},\boldsymbol{v}),$$
$$\Phi(\boldsymbol{\varphi},\boldsymbol{v}),:=\tfrac{1}{2}\int_{\Omega\setminus\Sigma_{L/2}}\boldsymbol{\sigma}(\boldsymbol{\varphi}):\boldsymbol{e}(\boldsymbol{\varphi})dx+\tfrac{1}{2}\int_{\Sigma_{L/2}}\boldsymbol{\sigma}_{x'}(\boldsymbol{v}'):\boldsymbol{e}_{x'}(\boldsymbol{v}')d\mathcal{H}^2$$
$$+\tfrac{1}{4}\int_{\Sigma_{L/2}}(\boldsymbol{v}-\boldsymbol{\varphi}^-)\cdot\boldsymbol{A}(\boldsymbol{v}-\boldsymbol{\varphi}^-)+(\boldsymbol{v}-\boldsymbol{\varphi}^+)\cdot\boldsymbol{A}(\boldsymbol{v}-\boldsymbol{\varphi}^+)d\mathcal{H}^2.$$

- *If $r_\varepsilon^{(1)} \ll r_\varepsilon^{(2)}$, the effective problem is given by*

  $$\inf\left\{F(\boldsymbol{\varphi})-\int_\Omega \boldsymbol{f}\cdot\boldsymbol{\varphi}dx,\quad \boldsymbol{\varphi}\in H^1(\Omega\setminus\Sigma_{L/2}),\ \boldsymbol{\varphi}=0 \text{ on } \partial\Omega\right\},$$

  *where*

$$F(\boldsymbol{\varphi}) =\tfrac{1}{2}\int_{\Omega\setminus\Sigma_{L/2}}\boldsymbol{\sigma}(\boldsymbol{\varphi}):\boldsymbol{e}(\boldsymbol{\varphi})dx+\tfrac{1}{4}\int_{\Sigma_{L/2}}\boldsymbol{\sigma}_{x'}(\boldsymbol{\varphi}^-):\boldsymbol{e}_{x'}(\boldsymbol{\varphi}^-)d\mathcal{H}^2$$
$$+\tfrac{1}{4}\int_{\Sigma_{L/2}}\boldsymbol{\sigma}_{x'}(\boldsymbol{\varphi}^+):\boldsymbol{e}_{x'}(\boldsymbol{\varphi}^+)d\mathcal{H}^2+\tfrac{1}{2}\int_{\Sigma_{L/2}}(\boldsymbol{\varphi}^+-\boldsymbol{\varphi}^-)\cdot\boldsymbol{A}(\boldsymbol{\varphi}^+-\boldsymbol{\varphi}^-)d\mathcal{H}^2.$$

*Indeed, when (3.4) is not satisfied, there exists infinitely many different limit problems associated to some sequence $(\mu_\varepsilon)$ satisfying (3.3).*

**Remark 4.** *Our method applies to the study of second-order elliptic systems of partial differential equations of the type*

$$(\mathcal{P}_\varepsilon):\ -\mathbf{div}(\mu_\varepsilon \mathbf{C}\,\nabla \boldsymbol{u}_\varepsilon) = \boldsymbol{f} \quad \text{in } \Omega, \quad \boldsymbol{u}_\varepsilon \in H^1_0(\Omega;\mathbb{R}^n),\ \boldsymbol{f} \in L^\infty(\Omega;\mathbb{R}^n), \tag{3.20}$$

*where* $\Omega := (0,L)\times\Omega'$ *is a cylindrical domain in* $\mathbb{R}^d$ *and* $\mathbf{C}$ *is a second order tensor on* $\mathbb{R}^{n+d}$ *satisfying the following assumptions of symmetry and ellipticity:*

$$\begin{aligned} &\mathrm{C}_{ijpq} = \mathrm{C}_{pqij} \quad \forall((i,j),(p,q)) \in (\mathbb{R}^n\times\mathbb{R}^d)^2, \\ &\mathbf{C}\,\Xi : \Xi \geq c|\Xi|^2 \quad \forall \Xi \in \mathbb{R}^{n\times d} \qquad \text{for some} \quad c>0. \end{aligned} \tag{3.21}$$

*We suppose that*

$$\boldsymbol{T} := \sum_{i,p=1}^{n} \mathrm{C}_{i1p1} \boldsymbol{e}_i \otimes \boldsymbol{e}_p \qquad \text{is invertible.} \tag{3.22}$$

*We denote by* $BV(\Omega;\mathbb{R}^n)$ *the space of* $\mathbb{R}^n$*-valued functions on* $\Omega$ *with bounded variation, that is*

$$BV(\Omega;\mathbb{R}^n) := \left\{ \boldsymbol{\varphi} \in L^1(\Omega;\mathbb{R}^n):\ \boldsymbol{D\varphi} \in \mathcal{M}(\Omega;\mathbb{R}^{n+d}) \right\}. \tag{3.23}$$

*Under these assumptions, the solution to* (3.20) *weakly* converges in* $BV(\Omega;\mathbb{R}^n)$ *to the unique solution to the problem*

$$(\mathcal{P}^{eff}): \begin{cases} a(\boldsymbol{u},\boldsymbol{\varphi}) = \displaystyle\int_\Omega \boldsymbol{f}\cdot\boldsymbol{\varphi}, \qquad \forall\ \boldsymbol{\varphi} \in BV_0^{\nu,m}(\Omega), \\ \boldsymbol{u} \in BV_0^{\nu,m}(\Omega), \end{cases} \tag{3.24}$$

*where* $BV_0^{\nu,m}(\Omega)$ *is the Hilbert space defined by*

$$\begin{aligned} &BV_0^{\nu,m}(\Omega) := \left\{ \boldsymbol{\varphi} \in BV(\Omega;\mathbb{R}^n) \left| \begin{array}{l} \boldsymbol{D\varphi} \ll \nu\otimes\mathcal{L}^{d-1}, \quad \boldsymbol{\varphi} = 0 \quad \text{on} \quad \partial\Omega \\ \frac{\boldsymbol{D\varphi}}{\nu\otimes\mathcal{L}^{d-1}} \in L^2_{\nu\otimes\mathcal{L}^{d-1}}(\Omega;\mathbb{R}^n) \\ \boldsymbol{\varphi}^\star \in L^2_m(0,L;H^1_0(\Omega';\mathbb{R}^n)) \end{array} \right. \right\}, \\ &\|\boldsymbol{\varphi}\|_{BV_0^{\nu,m}(\Omega)} := \left( \int_\Omega \left| \tfrac{\boldsymbol{D\varphi}}{\nu\otimes\mathcal{L}^{d-1}} \right|^2 d\nu\otimes\mathcal{L}^{d-1} \right)^{\frac{1}{2}} + \left( \int_\Omega |\nabla_{x'}(\boldsymbol{\varphi}^\star)|^2 dm\otimes\mathcal{L}^{d-1} \right)^{\frac{1}{2}}, \end{aligned} \tag{3.25}$$

*and, setting*

$$\nabla_{x'}\boldsymbol{\varphi} := \sum_{i=1}^{n}\sum_{\alpha=2}^{d} \frac{\partial\varphi_i}{\partial x_\alpha} \boldsymbol{e}_i \otimes \boldsymbol{e}_\alpha, \tag{3.26}$$

$a$ *is the continuous coercive symmetric bilinear form on* $BV_0^{\nu,m}(\Omega)$ *given by*

$$a(\boldsymbol{u},\boldsymbol{\varphi}) := \int_\Omega \boldsymbol{a}^\perp \tfrac{\boldsymbol{Du}}{\nu\otimes\mathcal{L}^2} : \tfrac{\boldsymbol{D\varphi}}{\nu\otimes\mathcal{L}^2} d\nu\otimes\mathcal{L}^{d-1} + \int_\Omega \boldsymbol{a}^{\parallel} \nabla_{x'}(\boldsymbol{u}^\star) : \nabla_{x'}(\boldsymbol{\varphi}^\star) dm\otimes\mathcal{L}^{d-1}, \tag{3.27}$$

*where*

$$\begin{aligned} a^{\perp}_{ijkl} &:= \sum_{p,r=1}^{n} C_{ijp1}(\boldsymbol{T}^{-1})_{pr} C_{r1kl}, \\ a^{\parallel}_{ijkl} &:= \sum_{p,r=1}^{n} (C_{ijp1}(\boldsymbol{T}^{-1})_{pr} C_{r1kl} + C_{ijkl})(1-\delta_{j1})(1-\delta_{l1}). \end{aligned} \tag{3.28}$$

**Proposition 1.** *The normed space $BV_0^{\nu,m}(\Omega)$ defined by (3.25) is a Hilbert space. Under the assumptions (3.3), (3.21), (3.22), the symmetric bilinear form $a(\cdot,\cdot)$ defined by (3.27) is coercive and continuous on $BV_0^{\nu,m}(\Omega)$, and the sequence $(\boldsymbol{u}_\varepsilon)$ of the solutions to (3.20) weakly* converges in $BV(\Omega;\mathbb{R}^n)$ to the unique solution $\boldsymbol{u}$ to (3.24).*

*The proof of Proposition 1 is sketched in Section 6.3.*

**Remark 5.** *The particular case of the heat equation in a three-dimensional domain corresponds to the choice $(n,d)=(1,3)$ in (3.20). Setting $A_{jq} := \mathrm{C}_{1j1q}$, we deduce from Proposition 1 that under the assumption (3.3), if $\boldsymbol{A}$ is definite positive and $A_{11} \neq 0$ (see (3.22)), the solution $u_\varepsilon$ to*

$$(\mathcal{P}_\varepsilon): \ -\mathbf{div}(\mu_\varepsilon \boldsymbol{A} \boldsymbol{\nabla} u_\varepsilon) = f \quad \text{in } \Omega, \quad u_\varepsilon \in H_0^1(\Omega), \quad f \in L^\infty(\Omega), \tag{3.29}$$

*weakly converges in $BV(\Omega;\mathbb{R})$ to the unique solution to*

$$\min_{u \in BD_0^{\nu,m}(\Omega)} F(u) - \int_\Omega f u dx,$$

*where the functional $F$ is defined on $BV_0^{\nu,m}(\Omega)$ by*

$$F(u) := \tfrac{1}{2} \int_\Omega \boldsymbol{A}^{\perp} \tfrac{\boldsymbol{D}u}{\nu \otimes \mathcal{L}^2} \cdot \tfrac{\boldsymbol{D}u}{\nu \otimes \mathcal{L}^2} d\nu \otimes \mathcal{L}^2 + \tfrac{1}{2} \int_\Omega \boldsymbol{A}^{\parallel} \boldsymbol{\nabla}_{x'}(u^\star) \cdot \boldsymbol{\nabla}_{x'}(u^\star) dm \otimes \mathcal{L}^2,$$

*in terms of $\boldsymbol{A}^{\perp}, \boldsymbol{A}^{\parallel}$ given by*

$$A^{\perp}_{ij} := \tfrac{A_{i1}A_{1j}}{A_{11}}, \qquad A^{\parallel}_{ij} := (\tfrac{A_{i1}A_{1j}}{A_{11}} + A_{ij})(1-\delta_{i1})(1-\delta_{j1}).$$

*We we recover some of the results obtained by G. Bouchitté and C. Picard in [14]. However, the method employed in [14] only applies, in the linear case, to a diagonal conductivity matrices.*

## 4. TECHNICAL PRELIMINARIES AND A PRIORI ESTIMATES.

This section is dedicated, essentially, to the analysis of the leading-order asymptotic behaviour of the solution $(\boldsymbol{u}_\varepsilon)$ to (3.1) and its stress $\boldsymbol{\sigma}(\boldsymbol{u}_\varepsilon)$ in the limit $\varepsilon \to 0$. The following notion of convergence will take a crucial part in this study.

**Definition 1.** Let $\theta_\varepsilon, \theta$ be positive Radon measures on a compact set $K \subset \mathbb{R}^N$ and let $f_\varepsilon, f$ be Borel functions on $K$. We say that $(f_\varepsilon)$ weakly converges to $f$ with respect to the pair $(\theta_\varepsilon, \theta)$ if

$$\begin{aligned} &\sup_\varepsilon \int_K |f_\varepsilon|^2 d\theta_\varepsilon < \infty, \quad f \in L^2_\theta(K) \\ &\theta_\varepsilon \overset{\star}{\rightharpoonup} \theta \ \text{ and } f_\varepsilon \theta_\varepsilon \overset{\star}{\rightharpoonup} f\theta \quad \text{weakly* in } \mathcal{M}(K), \\ &(\text{notation: } f_\varepsilon \overset{\theta_\varepsilon,\theta}{\rightharpoonup} f). \end{aligned} \tag{4.1}$$

We say that $(f_\varepsilon)$ strongly converges to $f$ with respect to the pair $(\theta_\varepsilon, \theta)$ if

$$f_\varepsilon \xrightharpoonup{\theta_\varepsilon,\theta} f \quad \text{and} \quad \limsup_{\varepsilon\to 0} \int_K |f_\varepsilon|^2 d\theta_\varepsilon \le \int_K |f|^2 d\theta \quad (\text{notation: } f_\varepsilon \xrightarrow{\theta_\varepsilon,\theta} f). \tag{4.2}$$

We now present the main statement of the section. For notational simplicity, the measures $(\nu_\varepsilon \otimes \mathcal{L}^2)_{\lfloor\overline{\Omega}}$ and $(m_\varepsilon \otimes \mathcal{L}^2)_{\lfloor\overline{\Omega}}$ are denoted by $\nu_\varepsilon \otimes \mathcal{L}^2$ and $m_\varepsilon \otimes \mathcal{L}^2$. For simplicity, the extension by 0 to $\overline{\Omega}$ of the measure $\boldsymbol{Eu}$ is still denoted by $\boldsymbol{Eu}$.

**Proposition 2.** *Let $(\boldsymbol{u}_\varepsilon)$ be the sequence of solutions to (3.1). Then $\boldsymbol{u}_\varepsilon$ is bounded in $BD(\Omega)$ and*

$$\sup_{\varepsilon>0} \int_\Omega |\boldsymbol{u}'_\varepsilon|^2 dm_\varepsilon \otimes \mathcal{L}^2 + \int_\Omega |\boldsymbol{u}_\varepsilon| dx + \int_\Omega \mu_\varepsilon \left|\boldsymbol{e}(\boldsymbol{u}_\varepsilon)\right|^2 dx < \infty. \tag{4.3}$$

*Up to a subsequence, the following hold:*

$$\begin{aligned}
&\boldsymbol{u}_\varepsilon \overset{\star}{\rightharpoonup} \boldsymbol{u} \quad \textit{weakly* in } BD(\Omega), \quad \boldsymbol{Eu}_\varepsilon \overset{\star}{\rightharpoonup} \boldsymbol{Eu} \quad \textit{weakly* in } \mathcal{M}(\overline{\Omega}; \mathbb{S}^3),\\
&\mu_\varepsilon \boldsymbol{e}(\boldsymbol{u}_\varepsilon) \xrightharpoonup{\nu_\varepsilon\otimes\mathcal{L}^2,\nu\otimes\mathcal{L}^2} \frac{\boldsymbol{Eu}}{\nu\otimes\mathcal{L}^2}, \qquad \boldsymbol{\sigma}_\varepsilon(\boldsymbol{u}_\varepsilon) \xrightharpoonup{\nu_\varepsilon\otimes\mathcal{L}^2,\nu\otimes\mathcal{L}^2} \boldsymbol{\sigma}^\nu(\boldsymbol{u}),\\
&\boldsymbol{e}_{x'}(\boldsymbol{u}'_\varepsilon) \xrightharpoonup{m_\varepsilon\otimes\mathcal{L}^2,m\otimes\mathcal{L}^2} \boldsymbol{e}_{x'}((\boldsymbol{u}^\star)'), \quad \boldsymbol{u} \in BD_0^{\nu,m}(\Omega).
\end{aligned} \tag{4.4}$$

Before presenting the proof of Proposition 2, we introduce and prove some auxiliary results. The next lemma states some fundamental properties of convergence with respect to the pair $(\theta_\varepsilon, \theta)$, established in [17, Theorem 4.4.2] in a more general context (see also [9], [12], [13], [31, Section 2.1]).

**Lemma 1.** *Let $(\theta_\varepsilon)$ be a sequence of positive Radon measures on a compact set $K \subset \mathbb{R}^N$ weakly* converging in $\mathcal{M}(K)$ to some positive Radon measure $\theta$. Then,*
*(i) any sequence $(f_\varepsilon)$ of Borel functions on $K$ such that*

$$\sup_\varepsilon \int |f_\varepsilon|^2 d\theta_\varepsilon < \infty, \tag{4.5}$$

*has a weakly converging subsequence with respect to the pair $(\theta_\varepsilon, \theta)$.*
*(ii) If $f_\varepsilon \xrightharpoonup{\theta_\varepsilon,\theta} f$ (resp. $f_\varepsilon \xrightarrow{\theta_\varepsilon,\theta} f$), then*

$$\liminf_{\varepsilon\to 0} \int f_\varepsilon^2 d\theta_\varepsilon \ge \int f^2 d\theta \quad \left(\textit{resp.} \quad \lim_{\varepsilon\to 0} \int f_\varepsilon^2 d\theta_\varepsilon = \int f^2 d\theta\right). \tag{4.6}$$

*(iii) If $f_\varepsilon \xrightharpoonup{\theta_\varepsilon,\theta} f$ and $g_\varepsilon \xrightarrow{\theta_\varepsilon,\theta} g$, then*

$$\lim_{\varepsilon\to 0} \int f_\varepsilon g_\varepsilon d\theta_\varepsilon = \int f g d\theta.$$

As a first application of Lemma 1, we obtain some relations between the measures $\nu$, $m$, and $\mathcal{L}^1_{\lfloor[0,L]}$:

**Lemma 2.** *Under (3.3), the following holds*

$$\begin{aligned}
&\mathcal{L}^1_{\lfloor[0,L]} \ll \nu; \quad \tfrac{\mathcal{L}^1}{\nu} \in L^2_\nu([0,L]); \quad \mathcal{L}^1_{\lfloor[0,L]} \ll m; \quad \tfrac{\mathcal{L}^1}{m} \in L^2_m([0,L]);\\
&\int_{[0,L]} |\tfrac{\mathcal{L}^1}{\nu}|^2 d\nu \le m([0,L]); \quad \int_{[0,L]} |\tfrac{\mathcal{L}^1}{m}|^2 dm \le \nu([0,L]).
\end{aligned} \tag{4.7}$$

*Proof.* Noticing that, by (3.2) and (3.3), $\sup_\varepsilon \int_{[0,L]} |\mu_\varepsilon|^2 d\nu_\varepsilon = \sup_\varepsilon m_\varepsilon([0,L]) < \infty$ (resp. $\sup_\varepsilon \int_{[0,L]} |\mu_\varepsilon|^{-2} dm_\varepsilon = \sup_\varepsilon \nu_\varepsilon([0,L]) < \infty$), we deduce from Lemma 1 that the sequence $(\mu_\varepsilon)$ (resp. $(\mu_\varepsilon^{-1})$) has a converging subsequence with respect to the pair $(\nu_\varepsilon, \nu)$ (resp. $(m_\varepsilon, m)$), and

$$\begin{aligned} &\mu_\varepsilon \nu_\varepsilon \overset{\star}{\rightharpoonup} g\nu, \quad \mu_\varepsilon^{-1} m_\varepsilon \overset{\star}{\rightharpoonup} hm, \quad g \in L^2_\nu, \ h \in L^2_m, \\ &\liminf_{\varepsilon\to 0} \int |\mu_\varepsilon|^2 d\nu_\varepsilon \geq \int |g|^2 d\nu; \quad \liminf_{\varepsilon\to 0} \int |\mu_\varepsilon|^{-2} dm_\varepsilon \geq \int |h|^2 dm. \end{aligned} \tag{4.8}$$

On the other hand, by (3.2) and (3.3), the following holds:

$$\begin{aligned} &\mu_\varepsilon \nu_\varepsilon = \mu_\varepsilon^{-1} m_\varepsilon = \mathcal{L}^1_{\lfloor [0,L]}, \quad |\mu_\varepsilon|^2 \nu_\varepsilon = m_\varepsilon, \quad |\mu_\varepsilon|^{-2} m_\varepsilon = \nu_\varepsilon, \\ &\limsup_{\varepsilon\to 0} m_\varepsilon([0,L]) \leq m([0,L]), \quad \limsup_{\varepsilon\to 0} \nu_\varepsilon([0,L]) \leq \nu([0,L]). \end{aligned} \tag{4.9}$$

Assertion (4.7) follows from (4.8) and (4.9). ☐

The following statement, proved in [14, Lemma 3.1] (see also [16, Lemma 6.2] for a more general version), provides a sufficient condition for the product of a sequence strongly converging in $L^1(0,L)$ by a sequence of functions weakly* converging to a measure in $\mathcal{M}([0,L])$, to weakly* converge in $\mathcal{M}([0,L])$ to the product of the individual limits.

**Lemma 3.** *Let $(b_\varepsilon)$ be a bounded sequence in $L^1(0,L)$ that weakly* converges in $\mathcal{M}([0,L])$ to some Radon measure $\theta$ satisfying*

$$\theta(\{0\}) = \theta(\{L\}) = 0. \tag{4.10}$$

*Let $(w_\varepsilon)$ be a bounded sequence in $W^{1,1}(0,L)$ weakly* converging in $BV(0,L)$ to some $w$. Assume that*

$$\theta(\{t\}) Dw(\{t\}) = 0 \quad \forall t \in (0,L). \tag{4.11}$$

*Then*

$$\lim_{\varepsilon\to 0} \int_0^L \psi b_\varepsilon w_\varepsilon dx = \int_{(0,L)} \psi w^{(r)} d\theta = \int_{(0,L)} \psi w^{(l)} d\theta \qquad \forall \psi \in C([0,L]),$$

*where $w^{(r)}$ (resp. $w^{(l)}$) denotes the right-continuous (resp. left-continuous) representative of $w$.*

For any $\boldsymbol{\varphi} \in BD(\Omega)$, we denote by $\boldsymbol{\gamma}^\pm_{\Sigma_{x_1}}(\boldsymbol{\varphi})$ the trace of $\boldsymbol{\varphi}$ on both sides of $\Sigma_{x_1}$ (see (2.7)). In the next lemma, we show that the mapping $x \to \boldsymbol{\gamma}^\pm_{\Sigma_{x_1}}(\boldsymbol{\varphi})$ can be identified with $\boldsymbol{\varphi}^\pm$ defined by (2.2).

**Lemma 4.** *Let $\boldsymbol{\varphi} \in BD(\Omega)$ and let $\boldsymbol{\varphi}^\star$, $\boldsymbol{\varphi}^\pm$ be defined by (2.1), (2.2). Then*

$$\boldsymbol{\gamma}^\pm_{\Sigma_{x_1}}(\boldsymbol{\varphi})(x) = \boldsymbol{\varphi}^\pm(x) = \lim_{r\to 0} \fint_{B_r^\pm(x)} \boldsymbol{\varphi}(y) dy \quad \mathcal{H}^2\text{-a.e. } x \in \Sigma_{x_1}, \ \forall x_1 \in (0,L), \tag{4.12}$$

$$\boldsymbol{\varphi}^\star = \frac{1}{2}(\boldsymbol{\varphi}^+ + \boldsymbol{\varphi}^-) \quad \mathcal{H}^2\text{-a.e. on } \Sigma_{x_1}, \ \forall x_1 \in (0,L), \tag{4.13}$$

$$\boldsymbol{\varphi}^\star, \ \boldsymbol{\varphi}^\pm \in L^1_{\mathcal{H}^2}(\Sigma_{x_1}) \qquad \forall x_1 \in (0,L), \tag{4.14}$$

$$\boldsymbol{\varphi}^+ = \boldsymbol{\varphi}^- = \boldsymbol{\varphi}^\star = \lim_{r\to 0} \fint_{B_r^\pm(x)} \boldsymbol{\varphi}(y)dy \ \mathcal{H}^2\text{-}a.e. \ in \ \ \Sigma_{x_1} \quad if \ \ |\boldsymbol{E}\boldsymbol{\varphi}|(\Sigma_{x_1}) = 0, \tag{4.15}$$

$$\boldsymbol{E}\boldsymbol{\varphi} \ll \nu\otimes\mathcal{L}^2 \qquad \Longrightarrow \qquad \boldsymbol{\varphi}^+ = \boldsymbol{\varphi}^- = \boldsymbol{\varphi}^\star \quad m\otimes\mathcal{L}^2\text{-}a.e.. \tag{4.16}$$

*Proof.* By [19, p. 84, Trace Theorem; p. 91, Proposition 2.2] (see also [2, p. 209 (ii)-(iii)]) the traces of $\boldsymbol{\varphi}$ on both side of any $\mathcal{C}^1$ hypersurface $M$ contained in $\Omega$ are $\mathcal{H}^2$-a.e. equal to its one side Lebesgue limits on both sides of $M$. Applying this to $M = \Sigma_{x_1}$ for all $x_1 \in (0, L)$, we obtain (4.12). Assertion (4.12) ensures that the two limits in the first line of (2.2) exist and are finite for $\mathcal{H}^2-$a.e. $x \in \Sigma_{x_1}$, for all $x_1 \in (0, L)$. When they do, the limit in the first line of (2.1) also exists, and

$$\begin{aligned}\frac{1}{2}(\boldsymbol{\varphi}^+(x) + \boldsymbol{\varphi}^-(x)) &= \frac{1}{2}\left(\lim_{r\to 0}\fint_{B_r^+(x)} \boldsymbol{\varphi}(y)\ dy + \fint_{B_r^-(x)} \boldsymbol{\varphi}(y)\ dy\right)\\ &= \lim_{r\to 0}\fint_{B_r(x)} \boldsymbol{\varphi}(y)\ dy = \boldsymbol{\varphi}^\star(x),\end{aligned}$$

therefore (4.13) holds. Assertion (4.14) results from (4.12), (4.13) and the fact that the traces of $\boldsymbol{\varphi}$ on each side of $\Sigma_{x_1}$ belong to $L^1_{\mathcal{H}^2}(\Sigma_{x_1})$. Noticing that by (3.13) we have

$$\boldsymbol{E}\boldsymbol{\varphi}\lfloor_{\Sigma_{x_1}} = \left(\boldsymbol{\varphi}^+ - \boldsymbol{\varphi}^-\right) \odot \boldsymbol{e}_1 \mathcal{H}^2\lfloor_{\Sigma_{x_1}} \quad \forall x_1 \in (0, L),$$

we deduce from the elementary inequality

$$|\boldsymbol{a}| \leq \sqrt{2}|\boldsymbol{a}\odot\boldsymbol{n}| \quad \text{ if } \ ||\boldsymbol{n}|| = 1, \tag{4.17}$$

that $\boldsymbol{\varphi}^+ = \boldsymbol{\varphi}^-$ $\mathcal{H}^2$-a.e. in $\Sigma_{x_1}$ whenever $|\boldsymbol{E}\boldsymbol{\varphi}|(\Sigma_{x_1}) = 0$. Assertion (4.15) then follows from (4.12) and (4.13). We have $\nu\otimes\mathcal{L}^2(\Sigma_{x_1}) = \nu(\{x_1\})\mathcal{L}^2(\Omega')$, therefore $\nu\otimes\mathcal{L}^2(\Sigma_{x_1}) = 0$ if $x_1 \notin \mathcal{A}_\nu$ (see (3.14)). Accordingly, if $\boldsymbol{E}\boldsymbol{\varphi} \ll \nu\otimes\mathcal{L}^2$, then $|\boldsymbol{E}\boldsymbol{\varphi}|(\Sigma_{x_1}) = 0$ for all $x_1 \in (0, L)\setminus\mathcal{A}_\nu$. Assertion (4.16) then results from (4.15) and the fact that, by (3.4), $m(\mathcal{A}_\nu) = 0$. □

**Lemma 5.** *Let $\boldsymbol{\varphi} \in BD(\Omega)$ such that $\boldsymbol{\varphi} = 0$ on $\partial\Omega$, and let $\overline{\boldsymbol{\varphi}} \in L^1(0, L; \mathbb{R}^3)$ be the Borel function defined by*

$$\overline{\boldsymbol{\varphi}}(x_1) := \int_{\Sigma_{x_1}} \boldsymbol{\varphi}^\star d\mathcal{H}^2 \quad \forall x_1 \in (0, L). \tag{4.18}$$

*Then*

$$\begin{aligned}&\overline{\boldsymbol{\varphi}} \in BV(0,L;\mathbb{R}^3), \ \ ||\overline{\boldsymbol{\varphi}}||_{L^1(0,L;\mathbb{R}^3)} = ||\boldsymbol{\varphi}||_{L^1(\Omega)}, \ \ ||\overline{\boldsymbol{\varphi}}||_{BV(0,L;\mathbb{R}^3)} \leq \sqrt{2}||\boldsymbol{\varphi}||_{BD(\Omega)},\\ &D\overline{\boldsymbol{\varphi}} \ll |\boldsymbol{E}\boldsymbol{\varphi}|(.\times\Omega'), \quad |D\overline{\boldsymbol{\varphi}}|(B) \leq \sqrt{2}|\boldsymbol{E}\boldsymbol{\varphi}|(B\times\Omega') \quad \forall B\in\mathcal{B}((0, L)).\end{aligned} \tag{4.19}$$

*Moreover, the left (resp. right) limit $\overline{\boldsymbol{\varphi}}^{(l)}$ (resp. $\overline{\boldsymbol{\varphi}}^{(r)}$) of $\overline{\boldsymbol{\varphi}}$ at $x_1$ satisfies*

$$\begin{aligned}&\overline{\boldsymbol{\varphi}}^{(l)}(x_1) = \int_{\Sigma_{x_1}} \boldsymbol{\varphi}^- d\mathcal{H}^2 \quad \forall x_1 \in (0, L).\\ &\left(resp. \quad \overline{\boldsymbol{\varphi}}^{(r)}(x_1) = \int_{\Sigma_{x_1}} \boldsymbol{\varphi}^+ d\mathcal{H}^2 \quad \forall x_1 \in (0, L)\right).\end{aligned} \tag{4.20}$$

*Proof.* Let $\mathrm{eV}(\overline{\boldsymbol{\varphi}},(0,L))$ denote the essential variation of $\overline{\boldsymbol{\varphi}}$ on $(0,L)$, that is

$$\mathrm{eV}(\overline{\boldsymbol{\varphi}},(a,b)) := \inf_{\mathcal{L}^1(N)=0} \sup \left\{ \sum_{i=1}^{n} |\overline{\boldsymbol{\varphi}}(t_{i+1}) - \overline{\boldsymbol{\varphi}}(t_i)| \,, \middle| \begin{array}{l} t_1,\ldots,t_n \in (a,b)\setminus N \\ a < t_1 < \ldots < t_n < b \end{array} \right\}. \tag{4.21}$$

By [3, Proposition 3.6 and Theorem 3.27], the field $\overline{\boldsymbol{\varphi}}$ belongs to $BV(0,L;\mathbb{R}^3)$ if and only if $\mathrm{eV}(\overline{\boldsymbol{\varphi}},(0,L)) < \infty$ and in this case $\mathrm{eV}(\overline{\boldsymbol{\varphi}},(0,L)) = |\boldsymbol{D}\overline{\boldsymbol{\varphi}}|((0,L))$. Let $a,b$ be two real numbers such that $0 \le a < b \le L$, $D := \{t \in (0,L), |\boldsymbol{E}\boldsymbol{\varphi}|(\Sigma_t) > 0\}$ and let $t_1,\ldots,t_n \subset (a,b)\setminus D$ such that $0 < t_1 < \ldots < t_n < L$. By (4.17), (4.15), and Green's formula in $BD(\Omega_i)$, where $\Omega_i := (t_i,t_{i+1})\times\Omega'$, we have, since $\boldsymbol{\varphi} = 0$ on $\partial\Omega$,

$$\begin{aligned} |\overline{\boldsymbol{\varphi}}(t_{i+1}) - \overline{\boldsymbol{\varphi}}(t_i)| &= \left| \int_{\Sigma_{t_{i+1}}} \boldsymbol{\varphi}^- d\mathcal{H}^2 - \int_{\Sigma_{t_i}} \boldsymbol{\varphi}^+ d\mathcal{H}^2 \right| \\ &\le \sqrt{2} \left| \left( \int_{\Sigma_{t_{i+1}}} \boldsymbol{\varphi}^- d\mathcal{H}^2 - \int_{\Sigma_{t_i}} \boldsymbol{\varphi}^+ d\mathcal{H}^2 \right) \odot \boldsymbol{e}_1 \right| \\ &= \sqrt{2} \left| \int_{\partial\Omega_i} \boldsymbol{\gamma}_i(\boldsymbol{\varphi}) \odot \boldsymbol{n} d\mathcal{H}^2 \right| = \sqrt{2}\, |\boldsymbol{E}\boldsymbol{\varphi}\,(\Omega_i)| \le \sqrt{2}\, |\boldsymbol{E}\boldsymbol{\varphi}|\,(\Omega_i)\,, \end{aligned} \tag{4.22}$$

where $\boldsymbol{\gamma}_i(\boldsymbol{\varphi})$ denotes the trace on $\partial\Omega_i$ of the restriction of $\boldsymbol{\varphi}$ to $\Omega_i$, therefore

$$\sum_{i=1}^{n} |\overline{\boldsymbol{\varphi}}(t_{i+1}) - \overline{\boldsymbol{\varphi}}(t_i)| \le \sum_{i=1}^{n} \sqrt{2}\, |\boldsymbol{E}\boldsymbol{\varphi}|\,(\Omega_i) \le \sqrt{2}\, |\boldsymbol{E}\boldsymbol{\varphi}|\,((a,b)\times\Omega')\,.$$

By the arbitrary choice of $t_1,\ldots,t_n$, noticing that $D$ is at most countable countable thus $\mathcal{L}^1$-negligible, we infer

$$|\boldsymbol{D}\overline{\boldsymbol{\varphi}}|((a,b)) = \mathrm{eV}(\overline{\boldsymbol{\varphi}},(a,b)) \le \sqrt{2}\, |\boldsymbol{E}\boldsymbol{\varphi}|\,((a,b)\times\Omega')\,, \tag{4.23}$$

yielding, by the arbitrariness of $a,b$, the second line of (4.19). The first line easily follows. An argument analogous to (4.22) implies

$$\lim_{t\to x_1^{\pm}, t\notin D} \left| \overline{\boldsymbol{\varphi}}(t) - \int_{\Sigma_{x_1}} \boldsymbol{\varphi}^{\pm} d\mathcal{H}^2 \right| \le \lim_{t\to x_1^{\pm}, t\notin D} \sqrt{2}\, |\boldsymbol{E}\boldsymbol{\varphi}|\,((x_1,t)\times\Omega') = 0,$$

yielding (4.20). ☐

In the next proposition, we study the asymptotic behavior of a sequence $(\boldsymbol{\varphi}_\varepsilon)$ satisfying some suitable estimate (see (4.25)). This study will be applied to the solution to (3.1) and also to the sequence of test fields defined in Section 6 (see Proposition 6). These fields do not necessarily vanish on $\partial\Omega$. Accordingly, we introduce the normed space

$$\begin{aligned} &BD^{\nu,m}(\Omega) = \left\{ \boldsymbol{\varphi} \in BD(\Omega) \middle| \begin{array}{l} \boldsymbol{E}\boldsymbol{\varphi} \ll \nu\otimes\mathcal{L}^2,\ \frac{\boldsymbol{E}\boldsymbol{\varphi}}{\nu\otimes\mathcal{L}^2} \in L^2_{\nu\otimes\mathcal{L}^2}(\Omega;\mathbb{R}^3) \\ (\boldsymbol{\varphi}^\star)' \in L^2_m(0,L;H^1(\Omega';\mathbb{R}^3)) \end{array} \right\}, \\ &\|\boldsymbol{\varphi}\|_{BD^{\nu,m}(\Omega)} := \int_\Omega |\boldsymbol{\varphi}| dx + \left( \int_\Omega \left| \frac{\boldsymbol{E}\boldsymbol{\varphi}}{\nu\otimes\mathcal{L}^2} \right|^2 d\nu\otimes\mathcal{L}^2 \right)^{\frac{1}{2}} + \left( \int_\Omega |\boldsymbol{e}_{x'}(\boldsymbol{\varphi}^\star)|^2 dm\otimes\mathcal{L}^2 \right)^{\frac{1}{2}}. \end{aligned} \tag{4.24}$$

**Proposition 3.** *Let $(\boldsymbol{\varphi}_\varepsilon)$ be a sequence in $W^{1,1}(\Omega;\mathbb{R}^3)$ such that*

$$\sup_{\varepsilon>0}\int_\Omega |\boldsymbol{\varphi}_\varepsilon|dx+\int_\Omega \mu_\varepsilon\left|\boldsymbol{e}(\boldsymbol{\varphi}_\varepsilon)\right|^2dx<\infty. \tag{4.25}$$

*Then $(\boldsymbol{\varphi}_\varepsilon)$ is bounded in $BD(\Omega)$ and, up to a subsequence,*

$$\begin{aligned} &\boldsymbol{\varphi}_\varepsilon\to\boldsymbol{\varphi} && \textit{strongly in}\ \ L^p(\Omega;\mathbb{R}^3)\ \ \forall p\in\left[1,\tfrac{3}{2}\right), \\ &\boldsymbol{E}\boldsymbol{\varphi}_\varepsilon\overset{\star}{\rightharpoonup}\boldsymbol{E}\boldsymbol{\varphi} && \textit{weakly* in}\ \ \mathcal{M}(\overline{\Omega};\mathbb{S}^3), \end{aligned} \tag{4.26}$$

*for some $\boldsymbol{\varphi}\in BD(\Omega)$, where the measure $\boldsymbol{E}\boldsymbol{\varphi}$ is extended by $0$ to $\overline{\Omega}$. Moreover*

$$\boldsymbol{E}\boldsymbol{\varphi}\ll\nu\otimes\mathcal{L}^2,\quad \frac{\boldsymbol{E}\boldsymbol{\varphi}}{\nu\otimes\mathcal{L}^2}\in L^2_{\nu\otimes\mathcal{L}^2}(\Omega;\mathbb{S}^3),\quad \mu_\varepsilon\boldsymbol{e}(\boldsymbol{\varphi}_\varepsilon)\xrightharpoonup{\nu_\varepsilon\otimes\mathcal{L}^2,\nu\otimes\mathcal{L}^2}\frac{\boldsymbol{E}\boldsymbol{\varphi}}{\nu\otimes\mathcal{L}^2}. \tag{4.27}$$

*Assume in addition*

$$\sup_{\varepsilon>0}\int_\Omega|\boldsymbol{\varphi}'_\varepsilon|^2dm_\varepsilon\otimes\mathcal{L}^2<\infty, \tag{4.28}$$

*then*

$$\begin{aligned} &(\boldsymbol{\varphi}^\star)'\in L^2_m(0,L;H^1(\Omega';\mathbb{R}^3)), && \boldsymbol{\varphi}\in BD^{\nu,m}(\Omega), \\ &\boldsymbol{\varphi}'_\varepsilon\xrightharpoonup{m_\varepsilon\otimes\mathcal{L}^2,m\otimes\mathcal{L}^2}(\boldsymbol{\varphi}^\star)', && \boldsymbol{e}_{x'}(\boldsymbol{\varphi}'_\varepsilon)\xrightharpoonup{m_\varepsilon\otimes\mathcal{L}^2,m\otimes\mathcal{L}^2}\boldsymbol{e}_{x'}((\boldsymbol{\varphi}^\star)'). \end{aligned} \tag{4.29}$$

*Proof.* By (3.3) and(4.25), we have

$$\begin{aligned} \int_\Omega|\boldsymbol{\varphi}_\varepsilon|dx+\int_\Omega|\boldsymbol{e}(\boldsymbol{\varphi}_\varepsilon)|dx&\le\int_\Omega|\boldsymbol{\varphi}_\varepsilon|dx+\left(\int_\Omega\tfrac{1}{\mu_\varepsilon}dx\right)^{\frac{1}{2}}\left(\int_\Omega\mu_\varepsilon\left|\boldsymbol{e}(\boldsymbol{\varphi}_\varepsilon)\right|^2dx\right)^{\frac{1}{2}} \\ &\le C, \end{aligned} \tag{4.30}$$

hence $(\boldsymbol{\varphi}_\varepsilon)$ is bounded in $BD(\Omega)$ and weakly* converges, up to a subsequence, to some $\boldsymbol{\varphi}\in BD(\Omega)$. Taking the compactness of the injection of $BD(\Omega)$ into $L^p(\Omega;\mathbb{R}^3)$ for $p\in\left[1,\frac{3}{2}\right)$ into account (see [27, Theorem 2.4, p. 153]), we deduce

$$\begin{aligned} &\boldsymbol{\varphi}_\varepsilon\to\boldsymbol{\varphi} && \text{strongly in}\ \ L^p(\Omega;\mathbb{R}^3)\ \ \forall p\in\left[1,\tfrac{3}{2}\right), \\ &\boldsymbol{E}\boldsymbol{\varphi}_\varepsilon\overset{\star}{\rightharpoonup}\boldsymbol{E}\boldsymbol{\varphi} && \text{weakly* in}\ \ \mathcal{M}(\Omega;\mathbb{S}^3). \end{aligned} \tag{4.31}$$

On the other hand, assumptions (3.2) and (4.25) imply

$$\sup_{\varepsilon>0}\int_\Omega\left|\mu_\varepsilon\boldsymbol{e}(\boldsymbol{\varphi}_\varepsilon)\right|^2d\nu_\varepsilon\otimes\mathcal{L}^2<\infty, \tag{4.32}$$

thus, by Lemma 1, the sequence $\mu_\varepsilon\boldsymbol{e}(\boldsymbol{\varphi}_\varepsilon))$ weakly converges with respect to the pair $(\nu_\varepsilon\otimes\mathcal{L}^2,\nu\otimes\mathcal{L}^2)$, up to a subsequence, to some $\Xi\in L^2_{\nu\otimes\mathcal{L}^2}(\Omega;\mathbb{S}^3)$. Noticing that $\mu_\varepsilon\boldsymbol{e}(\boldsymbol{\varphi}_\varepsilon)\nu_\varepsilon\otimes\mathcal{L}^2=\boldsymbol{E}\boldsymbol{\varphi}_\varepsilon$, the definition (4.1) indicates that

$$\boldsymbol{E}\boldsymbol{\varphi}_\varepsilon\overset{\star}{\rightharpoonup}\Xi\nu\otimes\mathcal{L}^2\quad\text{weakly* in}\ \ \mathcal{M}(\overline{\Omega};\mathbb{S}^3). \tag{4.33}$$

Since $\nu\otimes\mathcal{L}^2(\partial\Omega)=0$, the convergences (4.31) and (4.33) imply that the extension by 0 of $\boldsymbol{E}\boldsymbol{\varphi}$ to $\overline{\Omega}$ is equal to $\Xi\nu\otimes\mathcal{L}^2$ and that $\Xi=\frac{\boldsymbol{E}\boldsymbol{\varphi}}{\nu\otimes\mathcal{L}^2}$. Assertions (4.26) and (4.27) are proved. To prove (4.29), we first notice that (4.32) implies $\sup_{\varepsilon>0}\int_\Omega\left|\boldsymbol{e}_{x'}(\boldsymbol{\varphi}'_\varepsilon)\right|^2dm_\varepsilon\otimes\mathcal{L}^2<+\infty$. Therefore, if (4.28) is satisfied, then by Lemma 1 and (4.1) the following convergences hold, up to a subsequence,

$$\begin{aligned} &\boldsymbol{\varphi}'_\varepsilon \overset{m_\varepsilon\otimes\mathcal{L}^2, m\otimes\mathcal{L}^2}{\xrightharpoonup{\qquad\qquad}} \boldsymbol{h}', && \mu_\varepsilon\boldsymbol{\varphi}'_\varepsilon \overset{\star}{\rightharpoonup} \boldsymbol{h}' m\otimes\mathcal{L}^2 \quad \text{weakly* in } \ \mathcal{M}(\overline{\Omega};\mathbb{R}^3), \\ &\boldsymbol{e}_{x'}(\boldsymbol{\varphi}'_\varepsilon) \overset{m_\varepsilon\otimes\mathcal{L}^2, m\otimes\mathcal{L}^2}{\xrightharpoonup{\qquad\qquad}} \boldsymbol{\Gamma}, && \mu_\varepsilon\boldsymbol{e}_{x'}(\boldsymbol{\varphi}'_\varepsilon) \overset{\star}{\rightharpoonup} \boldsymbol{\Gamma} m\otimes\mathcal{L}^2 \quad \text{weakly* in } \ \mathcal{M}(\overline{\Omega};\mathbb{S}^3), \end{aligned} \tag{4.34}$$

for some $\boldsymbol{h}' \in L^2_{m\otimes\mathcal{L}^2}(\Omega)$, $\boldsymbol{\Gamma} \in L^2_{m\otimes\mathcal{L}^2}(\Omega;\mathbb{S}^3)$. Assertion (4.29) is proved provided we show that

$$\boldsymbol{h}' = (\boldsymbol{\varphi}^\star)' \quad m\otimes\mathcal{L}^2\text{-a.e. in } \ \Omega, \tag{4.35}$$

$$(\boldsymbol{\varphi}^\star)' \in L^2_m(0,L;H^1(\Omega';\mathbb{R}^3)), \quad \boldsymbol{\Gamma} = \boldsymbol{e}_{x'}\left((\boldsymbol{\varphi}^\star)'\right) \quad m\otimes\mathcal{L}^2\text{-a.e. in } \ \Omega. \tag{4.36}$$

*Proof of* (4.35). Let us fix $\psi \in \mathcal{D}(\Omega)$. By (4.26), $(\psi\boldsymbol{\varphi}_\varepsilon)$ weakly* converges in $BD(\Omega)$ to $\psi\boldsymbol{\varphi}$, hence, by Lemma 5, the sequence $(\overline{\psi\boldsymbol{\varphi}_\varepsilon})$ defined by (4.18) weakly* converges in $BV(0,L;\mathbb{R}^3)$ to $\overline{\psi\boldsymbol{\varphi}}$. By (4.7), (4.19) and (4.27) we have,

$$|D\overline{\psi\boldsymbol{\varphi}}| \ll |\boldsymbol{E}(\psi\boldsymbol{\varphi})|(.\times\Omega') = |\psi\boldsymbol{E}(\boldsymbol{\varphi}) + \boldsymbol{\nabla}\psi\odot\boldsymbol{\varphi}\mathcal{L}^3|(.\times\Omega') \ll \nu\otimes\mathcal{L}^2,$$

therefore, by (3.4), the assumptions of Lemma 3 are satisfied by $(b_\varepsilon, w_\varepsilon) := (\mu_\varepsilon, \overline{\psi\boldsymbol{\varphi}_\varepsilon})$ and $(\theta, w) := (m, \overline{\psi\boldsymbol{\varphi}})$. Taking (4.16), (4.34) and (4.35) into account and applying Fubini's theorem, we deduce

$$\begin{aligned} \int_\Omega \psi\boldsymbol{h}' dm\otimes\mathcal{L}^2 &= \lim_{\varepsilon\to0}\int_\Omega \mu_\varepsilon\psi\boldsymbol{\varphi}'_\varepsilon dx = \lim_{\varepsilon\to0}\int_0^L \mu_\varepsilon\overline{\psi\boldsymbol{\varphi}'_\varepsilon}dx_1 = \int_{(0,L)} (\overline{\psi\boldsymbol{\varphi}'})^+ dm \\ &= \int_{(0,L)} \overline{\psi(\boldsymbol{\varphi}')^+} dm = \int_\Omega \psi(\boldsymbol{\varphi}')^+ dm\otimes\mathcal{L}^2 = \int_\Omega \psi(\boldsymbol{\varphi}')^\star dm\otimes\mathcal{L}^2. \end{aligned}$$

By the arbitrary choice of $\psi$, Assertion (4.35) is proved. □

*Proof of* (4.36). Let us fix $\boldsymbol{\Psi} \in \mathcal{D}(\Omega;\mathbb{S}^3)$. By (4.34) and (4.35), we have

$$\begin{aligned} \int_\Omega \boldsymbol{\Gamma} : \boldsymbol{\Psi}\, dm\otimes\mathcal{L}^2 &= \lim_{\varepsilon\to0}\int_\Omega \mu_\varepsilon\boldsymbol{e}(\boldsymbol{\varphi}_\varepsilon) : \boldsymbol{\Psi}dx = \lim_{\varepsilon\to0} -\int_\Omega \mu_\varepsilon\boldsymbol{\varphi}'_\varepsilon\cdot\mathbf{div}\boldsymbol{\Psi}dx \\ &= -\int_\Omega (\boldsymbol{\varphi}^\star)'\cdot\mathbf{div}\boldsymbol{\Psi}dm\otimes\mathcal{L}^2. \end{aligned} \tag{4.37}$$

Choosing a test field of the type $\boldsymbol{\Psi}(x) = \eta(x_1)\boldsymbol{\Upsilon}(x')$, with $\eta \in \mathcal{D}(0,L)$ and $\boldsymbol{\Upsilon} \in \mathcal{D}(\Omega';\mathbb{S}^3)$, and letting $\eta$ vary in $\mathcal{D}(0,L)$, we infer, noticing that $h'_1 = 0$,

$$\int_{\Omega'}\boldsymbol{\Gamma}(x_1,x') : \boldsymbol{\Upsilon}(x')dx' = -\int_{\Omega'}(\boldsymbol{\varphi}^\star)'(x_1,x')\cdot\mathbf{div}\boldsymbol{\Upsilon}(x')dx' \quad \forall\, x_1 \in (0,L)\setminus N_{\boldsymbol{\Upsilon}}, \tag{4.38}$$

for some $m$-negligible subset $N_{\boldsymbol{\Upsilon}}$ of $(0,L)$. Letting $\boldsymbol{\Upsilon}$ vary in a countable subset $\mathcal{C}$ of $\mathcal{D}(\Omega';\mathbb{S}^3)$ dense in $H^1_0(\Omega';\mathbb{S}^3)$, and denoting by $N_0$ some $m$-negligible subset of $(0,L)$ such that $\boldsymbol{\Gamma}(x_1,x') \in L^2(\Omega';\mathbb{S}^3)$ $\forall x_1 \in (0,L)\setminus N_0$, we obtain the following equalities in the sense of distributions in $\mathcal{D}'(\Omega';\mathbb{S}^3)$:

$$\boldsymbol{e}_{x'}\left((\boldsymbol{\varphi}^\star)'\right)(x_1,\cdot) = \boldsymbol{\Gamma}(x_1,\cdot) \qquad \forall\, x_1 \in (0,L)\setminus\bigcup_{\boldsymbol{\Upsilon}\in\mathcal{C}} N_0\cup N_{\boldsymbol{\Upsilon}}, \tag{4.39}$$

and deduce that $\boldsymbol{e}_{x'}\left((\boldsymbol{\varphi}^\star)'\right) \in L^2_m(0,L;L^2(\Omega';\mathbb{S}^3))$. This, along with (4.35) and the two-dimensional second Korn inequality in $H^1(\Omega';\mathbb{R}^2)$, implies that $(\boldsymbol{\varphi}^\star)' \in L^2_m(0,L;H^1(\Omega';\mathbb{S}^3))$. Assertion (4.36) is proved. □

We are now in a position to prove the main result of Section 4.

**Proof of Proposition 2.** By multiplying (3.1) by $\boldsymbol{u}_\varepsilon$ and by integrating it by parts over $\Omega$, we obtain $\int_\Omega \boldsymbol{\sigma}_\varepsilon(\boldsymbol{u}_\varepsilon) : \boldsymbol{e}(\boldsymbol{u}_\varepsilon) dx = \int_\Omega \boldsymbol{f} \cdot \boldsymbol{u}_\varepsilon dx$, and deduce

$$\int_\Omega \mu_\varepsilon |\boldsymbol{e}(\boldsymbol{u}_\varepsilon)|^2 dx \leq \int_\Omega \boldsymbol{\sigma}_\varepsilon(\boldsymbol{u}_\varepsilon) : \boldsymbol{e}(\boldsymbol{u}_\varepsilon) dx \leq C ||\boldsymbol{f}||_{L^\infty(\Omega;\mathbb{R}^3)} \int_\Omega |\boldsymbol{u}_\varepsilon| dx. \tag{4.40}$$

The assumptions (3.3), Poincaré and Cauchy-Schwarz inequalities, imply

$$\begin{aligned}\int_\Omega |u_{\varepsilon 1}| dx \leq C \int_\Omega \left|\frac{\partial u_{\varepsilon 1}}{\partial x_1}\right| dx &\leq C \left(\int_\Omega \frac{1}{\mu_\varepsilon} dx\right)^{\frac{1}{2}} \left(\int_\Omega \mu_\varepsilon \left|\frac{\partial u_{\varepsilon 1}}{\partial x_1}\right|^2 dx\right)^{\frac{1}{2}} \\ &\leq C \left(\int_\Omega \mu_\varepsilon |\boldsymbol{e}(\boldsymbol{u}_\varepsilon)|^2 dx\right)^{\frac{1}{2}}.\end{aligned} \tag{4.41}$$

By Fubini's Theorem, Poincaré's inequality in $W^{1,1}_0(\Omega';\mathbb{R}^2)$, Assertion (3.3), Cauchy-Schwarz and Jensen's inequalities, and Korn's inequality in $H^1_0(\Omega';\mathbb{R}^2)$, we have

$$\begin{aligned}\int_\Omega |\boldsymbol{u}'_\varepsilon| dx \leq C \int_\Omega |\boldsymbol{\nabla}_{x'} \boldsymbol{u}'_\varepsilon| dx &\leq C \left(\int_0^L \tfrac{1}{\mu_\varepsilon} dx_1\right)^{\frac{1}{2}} \left(\int_0^L \mu_\varepsilon \left(\int_{\Omega'} |\boldsymbol{\nabla}_{x'} \boldsymbol{u}'_\varepsilon| \, dx'\right)^2 dx_1\right)^{\frac{1}{2}} \\ &\leq C \left(\int_0^L \mu_\varepsilon \int_{\Omega'} |\boldsymbol{\nabla}_{x'} \boldsymbol{u}'_\varepsilon|^2 dx' dx_1\right)^{\frac{1}{2}} \leq C \left(\int_0^L \mu_\varepsilon \int_{\Omega'} \left|\boldsymbol{e}_{x'}(\boldsymbol{u}'_\varepsilon)\right|^2 dx' dx_1\right)^{\frac{1}{2}}.\end{aligned} \tag{4.42}$$

We deduce from (4.40), (4.41), (4.42) that $\int_\Omega |\boldsymbol{u}_\varepsilon| dx \leq C \left(\int_\Omega |\boldsymbol{u}_\varepsilon| dx\right)^{\frac{1}{2}}$, yielding

$$\int_\Omega |\boldsymbol{u}_\varepsilon| dx \leq C. \tag{4.43}$$

On the other hand, by Korn's inequality in $H^1_0(\Omega';\mathbb{R}^2)$, we have

$$\begin{aligned}\int_\Omega |\boldsymbol{u}'_\varepsilon|^2 dm_\varepsilon \otimes \mathcal{L}^2 &= \int_0^L \mu_\varepsilon \left(\int_{\Omega'} |\boldsymbol{u}'_\varepsilon|^2 dx'\right) dx_1 \\ &\leq C \int_0^L \mu_\varepsilon \left(\int_{\Omega'} |\boldsymbol{e}_{x'}(\boldsymbol{u}'_\varepsilon)|^2 dx'\right) dx_1 \leq C \int_\Omega \mu_\varepsilon |\boldsymbol{e}(\boldsymbol{u}_\varepsilon)|^2 dx.\end{aligned} \tag{4.44}$$

By (4.40), (4.43), and (4.44), the estimate (4.3) is proved. This means that $\boldsymbol{\varphi}_\varepsilon = \boldsymbol{u}_\varepsilon$ satisfies (4.25) and (4.28). Therefore, by Proposition 3, the convergences stated in (4.4) hold for some $\boldsymbol{u} \in BD^{\nu,m}(\Omega)$. The proof of Proposition 2 is achieved provided we show that

$$\boldsymbol{u} = 0 \quad \text{on} \quad \partial\Omega, \tag{4.45}$$

(recall that the trace is not weakly* continuous on $BD(\Omega)$) and

$$(\boldsymbol{u}^\star)' = 0 \quad m \otimes \mathcal{H}^1\text{-a.e. on } \partial\Omega' \times (0,L). \tag{4.46}$$

*Proof of* (4.45). Let us fix $\boldsymbol{\Psi} \in C^\infty(\overline{\Omega}; \mathbb{S}^3)$. By passing to the limit as $\varepsilon \to 0$ in the integration by parts formula $\int_\Omega \boldsymbol{e}(\boldsymbol{u}_\varepsilon) : \boldsymbol{\Psi} dx = -\int_\Omega \boldsymbol{u}_\varepsilon \cdot \mathbf{div}\boldsymbol{\Psi} dx$, taking the strong convergence of $\boldsymbol{u}_\varepsilon$ to $\boldsymbol{u}$ in $L^1(\Omega; \mathbb{R}^3)$ and the weak* convergence of $(\boldsymbol{e}(\boldsymbol{u}_\varepsilon))$ to $\boldsymbol{E}(\boldsymbol{u})$ in $\mathcal{M}(\overline{\Omega}; \mathbb{S}^3)$ into account, we obtain $\int_\Omega \boldsymbol{\Psi} : d\boldsymbol{E}\boldsymbol{u} = -\int_\Omega \boldsymbol{u} \cdot \mathbf{div}\boldsymbol{\Psi} dx$. We then deduce from the Green Formula in $BD(\Omega)$ (see [27, Theorem II-2.1])

$$\int_\Omega \boldsymbol{\Psi} : d\boldsymbol{E}\boldsymbol{u} = -\int_\Omega \boldsymbol{u} \cdot \mathbf{div}\boldsymbol{\Psi} dx + \int_{\partial\Omega} \boldsymbol{\Psi} : \boldsymbol{u} \odot \boldsymbol{n} d\mathcal{H}^2,$$

that $\int_{\partial\Omega} \boldsymbol{\Psi} : \boldsymbol{u} \odot \boldsymbol{n} d\mathcal{H}^2(x) = 0$. By the arbitrariness of $\boldsymbol{\Psi}$, taking (4.17) into account, Assertion (4.45) is proved. ☐

*Proof of* (4.46). Let us fix $\boldsymbol{\Psi} \in C^\infty(\overline{\Omega}; \mathbb{S}^3)$. Since $\boldsymbol{u}_\varepsilon = 0$ on $\partial\Omega$, (4.37) holds for such a $\boldsymbol{\Psi}$ when $\boldsymbol{\varphi}_\varepsilon = \boldsymbol{u}_\varepsilon$. We infer

$$\int_\Omega \boldsymbol{e}_{x'}(\boldsymbol{u}') : \boldsymbol{\Psi}\, dm \otimes \mathcal{L}^2 = -\int_{(0,L)} \left( \int_{\Omega'} (\boldsymbol{u}^\star)' \cdot \mathbf{div}\boldsymbol{\Psi} dx' \right) dm(x_1). \tag{4.47}$$

By (4.29) applied to $\boldsymbol{\varphi}_\varepsilon := \boldsymbol{u}_\varepsilon$, the field $(\boldsymbol{u}^\star)'$ belongs to $L^2_m(0, L; H^1(\Omega'; \mathbb{R}^3))$, hence there exists an $m$-negligible subset $N$ of $(0, L)$ such that $(\boldsymbol{u}^\star)'(x_1, .) \in H^1(\Omega'; \mathbb{R}^3)$ for all $x_1 \in (0, L) \setminus N$. By integration by parts, taking the symmetry of $\boldsymbol{\Psi}$ into account, we infer

$$\int_{\Omega'} (\boldsymbol{u}^\star)' \cdot \mathbf{div}\boldsymbol{\Psi} dx' = \int_{\partial\Omega'} (\boldsymbol{u}^\star)' \cdot \boldsymbol{\Psi}\boldsymbol{n} d\mathcal{H}^1(x') - \int_{\Omega'} \boldsymbol{e}_{x'}((\boldsymbol{u}^\star)') : \boldsymbol{\Psi} dx' \quad m\text{-a.e. } x_1. \tag{4.48}$$

It follows from (4.47) and (4.48) that $\int_{(0,L)\times\partial\Omega'} (\boldsymbol{u}^\star)' \cdot \boldsymbol{\Psi}\boldsymbol{n} dm \otimes \mathcal{H}^1 = 0$. By the arbitrary choice of $\boldsymbol{\Psi}$, Assertion (4.46) is proved. ☐

## 5. PARTIAL MOLLIFICATION IN $BD^{\nu,m}(\Omega)$

For any two Borel functions $f, g : \Omega \to \overline{\mathbb{R}}$, we denote by $f *_{x'} g$ the partial convolution of $g$ and $f$ with respect to the variable $x'$, defined by

$$f *_{x'} g(x) := \begin{cases} \displaystyle\int_{\mathbb{R}^2} \widetilde{f}(x_1, x' - y')\widetilde{g}(y') dy' & \text{if } \ \widetilde{f}(x_1, x' - .)\widetilde{g}(.) \in L^1(\mathbb{R}^2), \\ 0 & \text{otherwise}, \end{cases} \tag{5.1}$$

and by $f^\delta$ the "partial mollification" of $f$ with respect to $x'$ given by

$$f^\delta := f *_{x'} \eta_\delta, \tag{5.2}$$

where $\eta_\delta \in \mathcal{D}(\mathbb{R}^2)$ denotes the standard mollifier defined by

$$\eta(x') := \begin{cases} C \exp\left( \dfrac{1}{|x'|^2 - 1} \right) & \text{if } \quad |x'| < 1, \\ 0 & \text{otherwise}, \end{cases} \qquad \eta_\delta(x') := \frac{1}{\delta^2} \eta\left( \frac{x'}{\delta} \right),$$

the constant $C$ being chosen so that $\int_{\mathbb{R}^2} \eta dx' = 1$. Some basic properties are stated in the next lemma.

**Lemma 6.** *Let $f : \Omega \to \overline{\mathbb{R}}$ be a Borel function, $\theta$ a positive Radon measure on $[0, L]$, and $\delta > 0$. Then, the function $f^\delta$ is Borel measurable. If $f \in L^p_{\theta\otimes\mathcal{L}^2}(\Omega)$ ($p \in [1, +\infty)$), then*

$$\int_{\Omega'} |f^\delta(x_1, x')|^p dx' \leq \int_{\Omega'} |f(x_1, x')|^p dx' \qquad \forall x_1 \in (0, L), \tag{5.3}$$

$$f^\delta \in L^p_{\theta\otimes\mathcal{L}^2}(\Omega), \quad ||f^\delta||_{L^p_{\theta\otimes\mathcal{L}^2}(\Omega)} \leq ||f||_{L^p_{\theta\otimes\mathcal{L}^2}(\Omega)}, \tag{5.4}$$

$$\lim_{\delta\to 0} \int_\Omega |f - f^\delta|^p d\theta \otimes \mathcal{L}^2 = 0, \tag{5.5}$$

$$\begin{aligned} & f^\delta(x_1, .) \in C^\infty(\overline{\Omega}') \quad \forall x_1 \in (0, L), \\ & \tfrac{\partial^{n+m}}{\partial x_2^n x_3^m} f^\delta = f *_{x'} \tfrac{\partial^{n+m}}{\partial x_2^n x_3^m} \eta_\delta \in L^p_{\theta\otimes\mathcal{L}^2}(\Omega), \quad \forall n, m \in \mathbb{N} \\ & \left|\left| \tfrac{\partial^{n+m}}{\partial x_2^n x_3^m} f^\delta \right|\right|_{L^p_{\theta\otimes\mathcal{L}^2}} \leq \tfrac{C}{\delta^{n+m}} ||f||_{L^p_{\theta\otimes\mathcal{L}^2}} \qquad \forall n, m \in \mathbb{N}. \end{aligned} \tag{5.6}$$

*If $f \in L^p_{\theta\otimes\mathcal{L}^2}(\Omega)$ and $h \in L^{p'}_{\theta\otimes\mathcal{L}^2}(\Omega)$ ($\frac{1}{p} + \frac{1}{p'} = 1$), then*

$$\int_\Omega f^\delta h d\theta \otimes \mathcal{L}^2 = \int_\Omega f h^\delta d\theta \otimes \mathcal{L}^2. \tag{5.7}$$

*If $\psi \in C^1_c(\Omega)$, then $\psi^\delta \in C(\overline{\Omega})$ and*

$$\frac{\partial \left(\psi^\delta\right)}{\partial x_k} = \left(\frac{\partial \psi}{\partial x_k}\right)^\delta, \ \forall k \in \{1, 2, 3\}. \tag{5.8}$$

*Proof.* By Fubini's theorem, the mappings $h^\pm(x) := \int_{\mathbb{R}^2} (\widetilde{f}(x_1, x' - y')\eta_\delta(y'))^\pm dy'$ (where $l^+(x) := \sup\{l(x), 0\}$) are Borel measurables and so is the set $A := \{x \in \Omega, \int_{\mathbb{R}^2} \left|\widetilde{f}(x_1, x' - y')\eta_\delta(y')\right| dy' < +\infty\}$, therefore, $f *_{x'} \eta_\delta = (h^+ - h^-)\mathbb{1}_A$ is Borel measurable. Assertion (5.3) follows from the classical properties of convolution in $\mathbb{R}^2$ (notice that $\int_{\mathbb{R}^2} \eta_\delta dx' = 1$). Assertion (5.4) is a straightforward consequence of (5.3). We have

$$\int_\Omega |f - f^\delta|^p d\theta \otimes \mathcal{L}^2 = \int_{[0,L]} d\theta(x_1) \int_{\Omega'} |f - f^\delta|^p(x_1, x')dx'.$$

By (5.3), the following holds $\int_{\Omega'} |f - f^\delta|^p(., x')dx' \leq 2^{p-1} \int_{\Omega'} |f|^p(., x')dx' \in L^1_\theta$, and by the properties of mollification in $L^p(\Omega')$, for all $x_1$ such that $f(x_1, .) \in L^p(\Omega')$, thus for $\theta$-a.e. $x_1 \in [0, L]$, $\int_{\Omega'} |f - f^\delta|^p(x_1, x')dx'$ converges to 0. Assertion (5.5) then results from the dominated convergence theorem. Assertion (5.6) follows from well known properties of mollification. Assertion (5.7) is proved by applying Fubini's theorem several times. Assertion (5.8) is obtained by noticing that $\widetilde{\psi} \in C^1_c(\mathbb{R}^2)$ and by differentiating under the integral sign. □

The next proposition specifies some properties of partial mollification when applied to elements of $BD^{\nu,m}_0(\Omega)$. We set

$$\boldsymbol{\sigma}^\nu(\boldsymbol{\varphi}) := l \operatorname{tr}\left(\tfrac{\boldsymbol{E\varphi}}{\nu\otimes\mathcal{L}^2}\right)\boldsymbol{I} + 2\,\tfrac{\boldsymbol{E\varphi}}{\nu\otimes\mathcal{L}^2}. \tag{5.9}$$

**Proposition 4.** *Let* $\boldsymbol{v} \in BD_0^{\nu,m}(\Omega)$ *and* $\delta > 0$. *Then,*

$$\boldsymbol{v}^\delta \in BD(\Omega), \quad \boldsymbol{E}\boldsymbol{v}^\delta \ll \nu \otimes \mathcal{L}^2, \quad \tfrac{\boldsymbol{E}(\boldsymbol{v}^\delta)}{\nu\otimes\mathcal{L}^2} = \left(\tfrac{\boldsymbol{E}\boldsymbol{v}}{\nu\otimes\mathcal{L}^2}\right)^\delta, \tag{5.10}$$

$$\begin{aligned} (\boldsymbol{v}^\delta)^\pm &= (\boldsymbol{v}^\pm)^\delta \quad \mathcal{H}^2\text{-a.e. on } \Sigma_{x_1}, \qquad \forall x_1 \in (0,L),\\ (\boldsymbol{v}^\delta)^\star &= (\boldsymbol{v}^\star)^\delta \quad \mathcal{H}^2\text{-a.e. on } \Sigma_{x_1}, \qquad \forall x_1 \in (0,L), \end{aligned} \tag{5.11}$$

$$\left((\boldsymbol{v}^\delta)^\star\right)' \in L^2_m(0,L;H^1(\Omega';\mathbb{R}^3)), \quad \boldsymbol{e}_{x'}\left((\boldsymbol{v}^\delta)^\star\right) = \left(\boldsymbol{e}_{x'}(\boldsymbol{v}^\star)\right)^\delta, \tag{5.12}$$

$$\boldsymbol{v}^\delta \in BD^{\nu,m}(\Omega), \quad \lim_{\delta\to 0}\left|\left|\boldsymbol{v} - \boldsymbol{v}^\delta\right|\right|_{BD^{\nu,m}(\Omega)} = 0, \tag{5.13}$$

*and the following holds for all* $x \in \Omega$, $\alpha \in \{2,3\}$*:*

$$\begin{aligned} &\lim_{\kappa\to 0^+} (\boldsymbol{v}^\delta)^\mp(x_1 \pm \kappa, x') = (\boldsymbol{v}^\delta)^\pm(x),\\ &\lim_{\kappa\to 0^+} \tfrac{\partial}{\partial x_\alpha}(\boldsymbol{v}^\delta)^\mp(x_1 \pm \kappa, x') = \tfrac{\partial}{\partial x_\alpha}(\boldsymbol{v}^\delta)^\pm(x), \end{aligned} \tag{5.14}$$

$$\begin{aligned} \left(v_1^\delta\right)^+(x) &= \frac{1}{l+2}\int_{(0,x_1]} (\boldsymbol{\sigma}^\nu)_{11}(\boldsymbol{v}^\delta)(s_1,x')\ d\nu(s_1)\\ &\qquad\qquad - \sum_{\beta=2}^{3}\frac{l}{l+2}\int_0^{x_1}\frac{\partial v_\beta^\delta}{\partial x_\beta}(s_1,x')ds_1,\\ \left(v_\alpha^\delta\right)^+(x) &= \int_{(0,x_1]} (\boldsymbol{\sigma}^\nu)_{1\alpha}(\boldsymbol{v}^\delta)(s_1,x')\ d\nu(s_1) - \int_0^{x_1}\frac{\partial v_1^\delta}{\partial x_\alpha}(s_1,x')\ ds_1. \end{aligned} \tag{5.15}$$

*Proof.* By (5.4) we have $\boldsymbol{v}^\delta \in L^1(\Omega;\mathbb{R}^3)$ and $\int_\Omega |\boldsymbol{v}^\delta|dx \le \int_\Omega |\boldsymbol{v}|dx$. Let us fix $\boldsymbol{\Psi} \in \mathcal{D}(\Omega;\mathbb{S}^3)$. Then $\boldsymbol{\Psi}^\delta \in C^\infty(\overline{\Omega};\mathbb{S}^3)$, thus using (5.7), (5.8), Green's formula in $BD(\Omega)$, and the fact that $\boldsymbol{v} \in BD_0^{\nu,m}(\Omega)$, we obtain

$$\begin{aligned} \int_\Omega \boldsymbol{v}^\delta\cdot\mathbf{div}\boldsymbol{\Psi}\ dx &= \int_\Omega \boldsymbol{v}\cdot(\mathbf{div}\boldsymbol{\Psi})^\delta\ dx = \int_\Omega \boldsymbol{v}\cdot\mathbf{div}(\boldsymbol{\Psi}^\delta)\ dx = -\int_\Omega \boldsymbol{\Psi}^\delta : d\boldsymbol{E}\boldsymbol{v}\\ &= -\int_\Omega \boldsymbol{\Psi}^\delta : \tfrac{\boldsymbol{E}\boldsymbol{v}}{\nu\otimes\mathcal{L}^2}d\nu\otimes\mathcal{L}^2 = -\int_\Omega \boldsymbol{\Psi} : \left(\tfrac{\boldsymbol{E}\boldsymbol{v}}{\nu\otimes\mathcal{L}^2}\right)^\delta d\nu\otimes\mathcal{L}^2. \end{aligned}$$

By the arbitrary choice of $\boldsymbol{\Psi}$, the assertion (5.10) is proved. Similarly, applying Green's formula in $BD(\Omega)$ and using (5.7), (5.8), (5.10), we infer, for all $x_1 \in (0,L)$,

$$\begin{aligned} \int_{\Sigma_{x_1}}\boldsymbol{\Psi}:(\boldsymbol{v}^\delta)^{-}\odot\boldsymbol{e}_1dx &= \int_{\partial([0,x_1]\times\Omega')}\boldsymbol{\Psi}:\boldsymbol{v}^\delta\odot\boldsymbol{n}\ dx = \int_{[0,x_1]\times\Omega'}\boldsymbol{\Psi}:d\boldsymbol{E}\boldsymbol{v}^\delta + \int_{[0,x_1]\times\Omega'}\mathbf{div}\boldsymbol{\Psi}\cdot\boldsymbol{v}^\delta dx\\ &= \int_{[0,x_1]\times\Omega'}\boldsymbol{\Psi}:\left(\tfrac{\boldsymbol{E}\boldsymbol{v}}{\nu\otimes\mathcal{L}^2}\right)^\delta d\nu\otimes\mathcal{L}^2 + \int_{[0,x_1]\times\Omega'}(\mathbf{div}\boldsymbol{\Psi})^\delta\cdot\boldsymbol{v}dx\\ &= \int_{[0,x_1]\times\Omega'}\boldsymbol{\Psi}^\delta:\tfrac{\boldsymbol{E}\boldsymbol{v}}{\nu\otimes\mathcal{L}^2}d\nu\otimes\mathcal{L}^2 + \int_{[0,x_1]\times\Omega'}\mathbf{div}(\boldsymbol{\Psi}^\delta)\cdot\boldsymbol{v}dx\\ &= \int_{\Sigma_{x_1}}\boldsymbol{\Psi}^\delta:\boldsymbol{v}^-\odot\boldsymbol{e}_1dx = \int_{\Sigma_{x_1}}\boldsymbol{\Psi}:\left(\boldsymbol{v}^-\right)^\delta\odot\boldsymbol{e}_1dx. \end{aligned}$$

By the arbitrary nature of $\boldsymbol{\Psi}$, (arguing in the same manner for $\left(\boldsymbol{v}^\delta\right)^+$), the first line of (5.11) is proved. By (4.13) and the first line of (5.11), for all $x_1 \in (0,L)$ the following equalities hold $\mathcal{H}^2$-a.e. on $\Sigma_{x_1}$:

$$(\boldsymbol{v}^\star)^\delta = \tfrac{1}{2}\left(\boldsymbol{v}^+ + \boldsymbol{v}^-\right)^\delta = \tfrac{1}{2}\left(\left(\boldsymbol{v}^+\right)^\delta + \left(\boldsymbol{v}^-\right)^\delta\right) = \tfrac{1}{2}\left(\left(\boldsymbol{v}^\delta\right)^+ + \left(\boldsymbol{v}^\delta\right)^-\right) = \left(\boldsymbol{v}^\delta\right)^\star .$$

Assertion (5.11) is proved. By (3.5), (5.4) and (5.11), we have $\left(\left(\boldsymbol{v}^\delta\right)^\star\right)' \in L^2_m(0,L; L^2(\Omega';\mathbb{R}^3))$. Taking (5.7), (5.8), (5.11) into account and integrating by parts with respect to $x'$ in $L^2_m(0,L;H^1_0(\Omega';\mathbb{R}^3))$, we find

$$\begin{aligned}\int_\Omega\left(\left(\boldsymbol{v}^\delta\right)^\star\right)'\cdot\mathbf{div}\boldsymbol{\Psi} dm\otimes\mathcal{L}^2 &= \int_\Omega\left((\boldsymbol{v}^\star)'\right)^\delta\cdot\mathbf{div}\boldsymbol{\Psi} dm\otimes\mathcal{L}^2 = \int_\Omega(\boldsymbol{v}^\star)'\cdot\mathbf{div}\left(\boldsymbol{\Psi}^\delta\right) dm\otimes\mathcal{L}^2 \\ &= -\int_\Omega \boldsymbol{e}_{x'}\left(\boldsymbol{v}^\star\right):\boldsymbol{\Psi}^\delta dm\otimes\mathcal{L}^2 = -\int_\Omega\left(\boldsymbol{e}_{x'}\left(\boldsymbol{v}^\star\right)\right)^\delta : \boldsymbol{\Psi} dm\otimes\mathcal{L}^2,\end{aligned}$$

yielding (5.12). Assertion (5.13) is a consequence of (4.24), (5.10), (5.12), and (5.5) applied for $f \in \left\{\frac{\boldsymbol{Ev}}{\nu\otimes\mathcal{L}^2}, \boldsymbol{e}_{x'}(\boldsymbol{v}), \boldsymbol{v}\right\}$ and $\theta \in \{\nu, m\}$. Let us fix $x \in \Omega$: by (4.17), (5.11) and Green's formula, denoting by $\boldsymbol{\gamma}$ the trace application on $BD((x_1, x_1+\kappa)\times\Omega')$, we have

$$\begin{aligned}&\left|\left(\boldsymbol{v}^\delta\right)^-(x_1+\kappa, x') - \left(\boldsymbol{v}^\delta\right)^+(x)\right| \leq \sqrt{2}\left|\left(\left(\boldsymbol{v}^-\right)^\delta(x_1+\kappa, x') - \left(\boldsymbol{v}^+\right)^\delta(x)\right)\odot\boldsymbol{e}_1\right| \\ &= \sqrt{2}\left|\int_{\partial((x_1,x_1+\kappa)\times\Omega')}\eta_\delta(x'-y')\boldsymbol{\gamma}(\boldsymbol{v})(s_1,y')\odot\boldsymbol{n} d\mathcal{H}^2(s_1,y')\right| \\ &= \sqrt{2}\left|\int_{(x_1,x_1+\kappa)\times\Omega'}\eta_\delta(x'-y')d\boldsymbol{Ev}(s_1,y') + \int_{(x_1,x_1+\kappa)\times\Omega'}\boldsymbol{v}\odot\boldsymbol{\nabla}_{x'}\eta_\delta(x'-y')ds_1dy'\right| \\ &\leq C\left(\left|\boldsymbol{Ev}\right|\left((x_1,x_1+\kappa)\times\Omega'\right) + \int_{(x_1,x_1+\kappa)\times\Omega'}|\boldsymbol{v}|dx\right),\end{aligned}$$

therefore $\limsup_{\kappa\to0^+}\left|\left(\boldsymbol{v}^\delta\right)^-(x_1+\kappa,x') - \left(\boldsymbol{v}^\delta\right)^+(x)\right| = 0$. We likewise find that $\limsup_{\kappa\to0^+}\left|\left(\boldsymbol{v}^\delta\right)^+(x_1-\kappa,x') - \left(\boldsymbol{v}^\delta\right)^-(x)\right| = 0$. The second line of (5.14) is obtained by using the second line of (5.6) and by substituting the partial derivatives $\frac{\partial\eta_\delta}{\partial x_\alpha}$ for $\eta_\delta$ in the above computations. To prove (5.15), let us fix $(x_1, x') \in \Omega$, $\kappa > 0$: by (5.10) and Green's formula, we have

$$\begin{aligned}\int_{(0,x_1+\kappa)}\tfrac{E_{11}\boldsymbol{v}^\delta}{\nu\otimes\mathcal{L}^2}(s_1,x')d\nu(s_1) &= \int_{(0,x_1+\kappa)\times\Omega'}\tfrac{E_{11}\boldsymbol{v}}{\nu\otimes\mathcal{L}^2}(s_1,y')\eta_\delta(x'-y')d\nu\otimes\mathcal{L}^2(s_1,y') \\ &= \int_{(0,x_1+\kappa)\times\Omega'}\eta_\delta(x'-y')dE_{11}\boldsymbol{v}(s_1,y') \\ &= \int_{\Sigma_{x_1+\kappa}}\eta_\delta(x'-y')v_1^-(s_1,y')d\mathcal{H}^2(s_1,y') = \left(v_1^-\right)^\delta(x_1+\kappa,y').\end{aligned}$$

Likewise, the following holds for $\beta \in \{2,3\}$,

$$\begin{aligned}
&\int_{(0,x_1+\kappa)} \tfrac{E_{\beta\beta}\boldsymbol{v}^\delta}{\nu\otimes\mathcal{L}^2}(s_1,x')d\nu(s_1) = \int_{(0,x_1+\kappa)\times\Omega'} \eta_\delta(x'-y')dE_{\beta\beta}\boldsymbol{v}(s_1,y') \\
&= -\int_{(0,x_1+\kappa)\times\Omega'} \frac{\partial}{\partial y_\beta}\left(\eta_\delta(x'-y')\right) v_\beta(s_1,y')d\mathcal{L}^3(s_1,y') \\
&= \int_0^{x_1+\kappa} \Big( \frac{\partial}{\partial x_\beta} \int_{\Omega'} \eta_\delta(x'-y')v_\beta(s_1,y')dy' \Big) ds_1 = \int_0^{x_1+\kappa} \frac{\partial v_\beta^\delta}{\partial x_\beta}(s_1,x')ds_1.
\end{aligned}$$

Passing to the limit as $\kappa \to 0^+$, taking (5.11) into account, we infer

$$\begin{aligned}
&\int_{(0,x_1]} \tfrac{E_{11}\boldsymbol{v}^\delta}{\nu\otimes\mathcal{L}^2}(s_1,x')d\nu(s_1) = \left(v_1^\delta\right)^+(x_1,y'), \\
&\int_{(0,x_1]} \tfrac{E_{\beta\beta}\boldsymbol{v}^\delta}{\nu\otimes\mathcal{L}^2}(s_1,x')d\nu(s_1) = \int_0^{x_1} \frac{\partial v_\beta^\delta}{\partial x_\beta}(s_1,x')ds_1,
\end{aligned} \tag{5.16}$$

which, joined with (5.9), yields the first equation in (5.15). Similarly, we have

$$\begin{aligned}
&\int_{(0,x_1+\kappa)} 2\tfrac{E_{1\alpha}\boldsymbol{v}^\delta}{\nu\otimes\mathcal{L}^2}(s_1,x')d\nu(s_1) = \int_{(0,x_1+\kappa)\times\Omega'} 2\eta_\delta(x'-y')dE_{1\alpha}\boldsymbol{v}(s_1,y') \\
&= \int_{\Sigma_{x_1+\kappa}} \eta_\delta(x'-y')v_\alpha^-(s_1,y')d\mathcal{H}^2(s_1,y') + \int_{(0,x_1+\kappa)\times\Omega'} v_1(s_1,y')\frac{\partial\eta_\delta}{\partial x_\alpha}(x'-y')ds_1dy' \\
&= \left(v_\alpha^\delta\right)^-(x_1+\kappa,x') + \int_0^{x_1+\kappa} \frac{\partial v_1^\delta}{\partial x_\alpha}(s_1,x')ds_1,
\end{aligned} \tag{5.17}$$

yields by the same argument the second equation in (5.15). $\square$

**Proposition 5.** *For all $\boldsymbol{v} \in BD_0^{\nu,m}(\Omega)$ and $\delta > 0$, the following holds for some constant $C$ independent of $\delta$*

$$\begin{aligned}
&\int_\Omega \left|\tfrac{\boldsymbol{Ev}^\delta}{\nu\otimes\mathcal{L}^2}\right|^2 d\nu\otimes\mathcal{L}^2 \le \int_\Omega \left|\tfrac{\boldsymbol{Ev}}{\nu\otimes\mathcal{L}^2}\right|^2 d\nu\otimes\mathcal{L}^2 < \infty, \\
&\int_\Omega \left|\tfrac{\partial}{\partial x_\alpha}\tfrac{\boldsymbol{Ev}^\delta}{\nu\otimes\mathcal{L}^2}\right|^2 d\nu\otimes\mathcal{L}^2 \le \frac{C}{\delta}\int_\Omega \left|\tfrac{\boldsymbol{Ev}}{\nu\otimes\mathcal{L}^2}\right|^2 d\nu\otimes\mathcal{L}^2 < \infty,
\end{aligned} \tag{5.18}$$

$$\boldsymbol{v}^\delta,\ \frac{\partial\boldsymbol{v}^\delta}{\partial x_\alpha},\ \frac{\partial^2\boldsymbol{v}^\delta}{\partial x_\alpha\partial x_\beta} \in L^2(\Omega;\mathbb{R}^3), \qquad \forall\ a,\beta \in \{2,3\}. \tag{5.19}$$

*Proof.* Assertion (5.18) follows from (5.4) and (5.10). By Lemma 2, the Lebesgue measure on $\Omega$ is absolutely continuous with respect to $m\otimes\mathcal{L}^2$, thus, by (2.4) and (4.16),

$$\left(\boldsymbol{v}^\delta\right)^+ = \left(\boldsymbol{v}^\delta\right)^- = \left(\boldsymbol{v}^\delta\right)^\star = \boldsymbol{v}^\delta \quad \mathcal{L}^3\text{-a.e. in } \Omega. \tag{5.20}$$

By (5.16), (5.18), (5.20), Cauchy-Schwarz inequality and Fubini Theorem, we have

$$\begin{aligned}
\int_\Omega |v_1^\delta|^2dx = \int_\Omega |(v_1^\delta)^+|^2dx &= \int_\Omega \left|\int_{(0,x_1]} \tfrac{E_{11}\boldsymbol{v}^\delta}{\nu\otimes\mathcal{L}^2}(s_1,x')\ d\nu(s_1)\right|^2 dx \\
&\le C\int_\Omega |\tfrac{E_{11}\boldsymbol{v}^\delta}{\nu\otimes\mathcal{L}^2}|^2 d\nu\otimes\mathcal{L}^2 \le C\int_\Omega |\tfrac{E_{11}\boldsymbol{v}}{\nu\otimes\mathcal{L}^2}|^2 d\nu\otimes\mathcal{L}^2 < \infty,
\end{aligned}$$

yielding, by (5.4),

$$\int_\Omega \left|\frac{\partial v_1^\delta}{\partial x_\alpha}\right|^2 dx \leq \frac{C}{\delta}\int_\Omega \left|v_1^\delta\right|^2 dx \leq \frac{C}{\delta}\int_\Omega |\tfrac{E_{11}\boldsymbol{v}}{\nu\otimes\mathcal{L}^2}|^2 d\nu\otimes\mathcal{L}^2 < \infty.$$

We deduce from (5.10), (5.17), (5.20) and the last inequalities that, for $\alpha \in \{2,3\}$,

$$\begin{aligned}\int_\Omega |v_\alpha^\delta|^2 dx \leq C\int_\Omega \left|\int_{(0,x_1]} \tfrac{E_{1\alpha}\boldsymbol{v}^\delta}{\nu\otimes\mathcal{L}^2}(s_1,x')d\nu(s_1)\right|^2 dx + C\int_\Omega \left|\int_0^{x_1}\frac{\partial v_1^\delta}{\partial x_\alpha}(s_1,x')\ ds_1\right|^2 dx\\ \leq C\int_\Omega |\tfrac{E_{1\alpha}\boldsymbol{v}}{\nu\otimes\mathcal{L}^2}|^2 d\nu\otimes\mathcal{L}^2 + C\int_\Omega\left|\frac{\partial v_1^\delta}{\partial x_\alpha}\right|^2 dx \leq \frac{C}{\delta}\int_\Omega |\tfrac{\boldsymbol{E}\boldsymbol{v}}{\nu\otimes\mathcal{L}^2}|^2 d\nu\otimes\mathcal{L}^2 < \infty,\end{aligned}$$

and then from (5.4) that, for $\alpha, \beta \in \{2,3\}$,

$$\begin{aligned}\int_\Omega \left|\frac{\partial \boldsymbol{v}^\delta}{\partial x_\alpha}\right|^2 dx \leq \frac{C}{\delta}\int_\Omega |\boldsymbol{v}_\alpha^\delta|^2 dx \leq \frac{C}{\delta^2}\int_\Omega |\tfrac{\boldsymbol{E}\boldsymbol{v}}{\nu\otimes\mathcal{L}^2}|^2 d\nu\otimes\mathcal{L}^2 < \infty,\\ \int_\Omega \left|\frac{\partial^2 \boldsymbol{v}^\delta}{\partial x_\alpha\partial x_\beta}\right|^2 dx \leq \frac{C}{\delta}\int_\Omega \left|\frac{\partial \boldsymbol{v}^\delta}{\partial x_\alpha}\right|^2 dx \leq \frac{C}{\delta^3}\int_\Omega |\tfrac{\boldsymbol{E}\boldsymbol{v}}{\nu\otimes\mathcal{L}^2}|^2 d\nu\otimes\mathcal{L}^2 < \infty.\end{aligned}$$

Assertion (5.19) is proved. □

## 6. Proof of Theorem 1

The proof of Theorem 1 rests on the choice of an appropriate sequence of test fields $(\boldsymbol{\varphi}_\varepsilon)$, which will be constructed from an arbitrarily chosen partially mollified element of $BD_0^{\nu,m}(\Omega)$, that is a field $\boldsymbol{\varphi}$ of the type

$$\boldsymbol{\varphi} = \boldsymbol{v}^\delta, \quad \boldsymbol{v} \in BD_0^{\nu,m}(\Omega), \quad \delta > 0. \tag{6.1}$$

Let us briefly outline our approach. In the spirit of Tartar's method [29], we will multiply (3.1) by $\boldsymbol{\varphi}_\varepsilon$ and integrate by parts to obtain

$$\int_\Omega \boldsymbol{\sigma}_\varepsilon(\boldsymbol{u}_\varepsilon)\cdot\boldsymbol{e}(\boldsymbol{\varphi}_\varepsilon)\ dx = \int_\Omega \boldsymbol{f}\cdot\boldsymbol{\varphi}_\varepsilon dx. \tag{6.2}$$

By passing to the limit as $\varepsilon \to 0$ in accordance with the convergences established in propositions 2 and 6, we will find $a(\boldsymbol{u}, \boldsymbol{v}^\delta) = \int_\Omega \boldsymbol{f}\cdot\boldsymbol{v}^\delta dx$, where $a(\cdot,\cdot)$ is the symmetric bilinear form on $BD^{\nu,m}(\Omega)$ defined by (3.8). Then, sending $\delta$ to 0, we will infer from Proposition 4 that $a(\boldsymbol{u}, \boldsymbol{v}) = \int_\Omega \boldsymbol{f}\cdot\boldsymbol{v}dx$. From Proposition 2, we will deduce that $\boldsymbol{u}$ belongs to $BD_0^{\nu,m}(\Omega)$, hence is a solution to (3.7). Next, we will prove that $BD_0^{\nu,m}(\Omega)$ is a Hilbert space and $a(\cdot,\cdot)$ is coercive and continuous on it, hence the solution to (3.7) is unique and the convergences established in Proposition 2 for subsequences, hold for the complete sequences.

The sequence $(\boldsymbol{\varphi}_\varepsilon)$ will be deduced from a family of sequences $((\boldsymbol{\varphi}_\varepsilon^k)_\varepsilon)_{k\in\mathbb{N}}$ by a diagonalization argument. Given $k \in \mathbb{N}$, the construction of $(\boldsymbol{\varphi}_\varepsilon^k)_\varepsilon$ is based on the choice of an appropriate finite partition $(I_j^k)_{j\in\{1,\dots,n_k\}}$ of $(0, L]$ defined as follows: since the set of the atoms of the measures $\nu$ and $m$ is at most countable, we can fix a sequence $(A_k)_{k\in\mathbb{N}}$ of finite subsets of $[0, L]$ satisfying

$$\begin{cases} A_k = \left\{t_0^k, t_1^k, \dots, t_{n_k}^k\right\}, \quad A_k \subset A_{k+1} \quad \forall k \in \mathbb{N}, \\ 0 = t_0^k < t_1^k < t_2^k < \dots < t_{n_{k-1}}^k < t_{n_k}^k = L, \\ \nu\left(\left\{t_j^k\right\}\right) = m\left(\left\{t_j^k\right\}\right) = 0 \quad \forall k \in \mathbb{N}, \quad \forall j \in \{0, \dots, n_k\}, \\ \lim\limits_{k\to\infty} \sup\limits_{j\in\{1,\dots,n_k\}} \left|t_j^k - t_{j-1}^k\right| = 0. \end{cases} \tag{6.3}$$

Setting

$$I_j^k := \left(t_{j-1}^k, t_j^k\right] \quad \forall\, k \in \mathbb{N},\ \forall j \in \{1, \dots, n_k\}, \tag{6.4}$$

we introduce the function $\phi_\varepsilon^k : (0, L) \to \mathbb{R}$ defined by

$$\phi_\varepsilon^k(x_1) := \sum_{j=1}^{n_k} \frac{\nu_\varepsilon((t_{j-1}^k, x_1))}{\nu_\varepsilon(I_j^k)} \mathbb{1}_{I_j^k}(x_1). \tag{6.5}$$

Note that the restriction of $\phi_\varepsilon^k$ to each $I_j^k$ is absolutely continuous, and

$$\begin{gathered} \frac{d\phi_\varepsilon^k}{dx_1}(x_1) = \frac{\mu_\varepsilon^{-1}(x_1)}{\nu_\varepsilon(I_j^k)} \quad \text{in} \quad \overset{\circ}{I}{}_j^k; \quad 0 \le \phi_\varepsilon^k \le 1 \ \text{ in } \ (0, L), \\ \phi_\varepsilon^k((t_j^k)^-) = 1 \ \text{ and } \ \phi_\varepsilon^k((t_{j-1}^k)^+) = 0 \quad \forall j \in \{1, \dots, n_k\}. \end{gathered} \tag{6.6}$$

For all $j \in \{0, \dots, n_k\}$, $x \in I_j^k \times \Omega'$, $\alpha \in \{2, 3\}$, we set (see (5.9))

$$\begin{aligned} \varphi_{\varepsilon 1}^k(x) &:= \frac{\phi_\varepsilon^k(x_1)}{l+2} \int_{I_j^k} \sigma_{11}^\nu(\boldsymbol{\varphi})(s_1, x') d\nu(s_1) \\ &\qquad - \frac{l}{l+2} \sum_{\alpha=2}^{3} \int_{t_{j-1}^k}^{x_1} \frac{\partial \varphi_\alpha}{\partial x_\alpha}(s_1, x') ds_1 + \varphi_1^+(t_{j-1}^k, x'), \\ \varphi_{\varepsilon\alpha}^k(x) &:= \phi_\varepsilon^k(x_1) \int_{I_j^k} \sigma_{1\alpha}^\nu(\boldsymbol{\varphi})(s_1, x') d\nu(s_1) - \int_{t_{j-1}^k}^{x_1} \frac{\partial \varphi_1}{\partial x_\alpha}(s_1, x') ds_1 + \varphi_\alpha^+(t_{j-1}^k, x'). \end{aligned} \tag{6.7}$$

The sequence of test fields $(\boldsymbol{\varphi}_\varepsilon)$ is determined by the next proposition.

**Proposition 6.** *Let $\boldsymbol{v} \in BD_0^{\nu,m}(\Omega)$, $\delta > 0$, and $\boldsymbol{\varphi}$, $\boldsymbol{\varphi}_\varepsilon^k$ respectively given by (6.1), (6.7). There exists an increasing sequence $(k_\varepsilon)$ of positive integers converging to $\infty$ such that $\boldsymbol{\varphi}_\varepsilon$ defined by*

$$\boldsymbol{\varphi}_\varepsilon := \boldsymbol{\varphi}_\varepsilon^{k_\varepsilon}, \tag{6.8}$$

*strongly converges to $\boldsymbol{\varphi}$ in $L^1(\Omega; \mathbb{R}^3)$ and satisfies the assumptions (4.25) and (4.28) of Proposition 3. In particular, the convergences and relations (4.26), (4.27) and (4.29) are satisfied. In addition, the following strong convergences in the sense of (4.2) hold:*

$$\boldsymbol{\sigma}_\varepsilon(\boldsymbol{\varphi}_\varepsilon)\boldsymbol{e}_1 \xrightarrow{\nu_\varepsilon\otimes\mathcal{L}^2, \nu\otimes\mathcal{L}^2} \boldsymbol{\sigma}^\nu(\boldsymbol{\varphi})\boldsymbol{e}_1, \quad \boldsymbol{e}_{x'}(\boldsymbol{\varphi}_\varepsilon) \xrightarrow{m_\varepsilon\otimes\mathcal{L}^2, m\otimes\mathcal{L}^2} \boldsymbol{e}_{x'}((\boldsymbol{\varphi}^\star)'), \tag{6.9}$$

*where $\boldsymbol{\sigma}^\nu$ is given by (5.9).*

Proposition 6 will be proved in Section 6.1. The next step consists in passing to the limit as $\varepsilon \to 0$ in (6.2). Expressing in (6.2), for $\boldsymbol{g} \in \{\boldsymbol{u}_\varepsilon, \boldsymbol{\varphi}_\varepsilon\}$, the scalar fields $e_{11}(\boldsymbol{g})$, $\sigma_{\varepsilon 22}(\boldsymbol{g})$, $\sigma_{\varepsilon 33}(\boldsymbol{g})$ in terms of the components of $\boldsymbol{\sigma}_\varepsilon(\boldsymbol{g})\boldsymbol{e}_1$ and $\boldsymbol{e}_{x'}(\boldsymbol{g})$ (the details of this computation are situated at the end of the section), leads to the following equation:

$$\begin{aligned}
&\int_\Omega \tfrac{1}{l+2}\sigma_{\varepsilon 11}(\boldsymbol{u}_\varepsilon)\sigma_{\varepsilon 11}(\boldsymbol{\varphi}_\varepsilon) + \sum_{\alpha=2}^{3} \sigma_{\varepsilon 1\alpha}(\boldsymbol{u}_\varepsilon)\sigma_{\varepsilon 1\alpha}(\boldsymbol{\varphi}_\varepsilon)\ d\nu_\varepsilon \otimes \mathcal{L}^2\\
&+ \int_\Omega 4e_{23}(\boldsymbol{u}_\varepsilon)e_{23}(\boldsymbol{\varphi}_\varepsilon) + \tfrac{4(l+1)}{l+2}\sum_{\alpha=2}^{3} e_{\alpha\alpha}(\boldsymbol{u}_\varepsilon)e_{\alpha\alpha}(\boldsymbol{\varphi}_\varepsilon)\, dm_\varepsilon \otimes \mathcal{L}^2\\
&\quad + \int_\Omega \tfrac{2l}{l+2}\Big(e_{22}(\boldsymbol{u}_\varepsilon)e_{33}(\boldsymbol{\varphi}_\varepsilon) + e_{33}(\boldsymbol{u}_\varepsilon)e_{22}(\boldsymbol{\varphi}_\varepsilon)\Big)dm_\varepsilon \otimes \mathcal{L}^2 = \int_\Omega \boldsymbol{f}\cdot\boldsymbol{\varphi}_\varepsilon dx.
\end{aligned}\tag{6.10}$$

By (4.4), the next weak convergences in the sense of (4.1) hold

$$\boldsymbol{\sigma}_\varepsilon(\boldsymbol{u}_\varepsilon)\boldsymbol{e}_1 \xrightarrow{\nu_\varepsilon\otimes\mathcal{L}^2,\nu\otimes\mathcal{L}^2} \boldsymbol{\sigma}^\nu(\boldsymbol{u})\boldsymbol{e}_1, \quad \boldsymbol{e}_{x'}(\boldsymbol{u}'_\varepsilon) \xrightarrow{m_\varepsilon\otimes\mathcal{L}^2,m\otimes\mathcal{L}^2} \boldsymbol{e}_{x'}((\boldsymbol{u}^\star)'). \tag{6.11}$$

By passing to the limit as $\varepsilon \to 0$ in (6.10), by virtue of (6.9) , (6.11) and Lemma 1 (iii), we obtain

$$\begin{aligned}
&\int_\Omega \tfrac{1}{l+2}\sigma^\nu_{11}(\boldsymbol{u})\sigma^\nu_{11}(\boldsymbol{\varphi}) + \sum_{\alpha=2}^{3}\sigma^\nu_{1\alpha}(\boldsymbol{u})\sigma^\nu_{1\alpha}(\boldsymbol{\varphi})\ d\nu\otimes\mathcal{L}^2\\
&+ \int_\Omega 4\, e_{23}(\boldsymbol{u}^\star)e_{23}(\boldsymbol{\varphi}^\star) + \tfrac{4(l+1)}{l+2}\sum_{\alpha=2}^{3} e_{\alpha\alpha}(\boldsymbol{u}^\star)e_{\alpha\alpha}(\boldsymbol{\varphi}^\star)dm\otimes\mathcal{L}^2\\
&+ \int_\Omega \tfrac{2l}{l+2}\big(e_{22}(\boldsymbol{u}^\star)e_{33}(\boldsymbol{\varphi}^\star) + e_{33}(\boldsymbol{u}^\star)e_{22}(\boldsymbol{\varphi}^\star)\big)dm\otimes\mathcal{L}^2 = \int_\Omega \boldsymbol{f}\cdot\boldsymbol{\varphi}\ dx.
\end{aligned}\tag{6.12}$$

An elementary computation yields

$$\begin{aligned}
&\int_\Omega \tfrac{1}{l+2}\sigma^\nu_{11}(\boldsymbol{u})\sigma^\nu_{11}(\boldsymbol{\varphi}) + \sum_{\alpha=2}^{3}\sigma^\nu_{1\alpha}(\boldsymbol{u})\sigma^\nu_{1\alpha}(\boldsymbol{\varphi})d\nu\otimes\mathcal{L}^2 = \int_\Omega \boldsymbol{a}^\perp \tfrac{\boldsymbol{Eu}}{\nu\otimes\mathcal{L}^2} : \tfrac{\boldsymbol{E\varphi}}{\nu\otimes\mathcal{L}^2} d\nu\otimes\mathcal{L}^2,\\
&\int_\Omega 4\, e_{23}(\boldsymbol{u}^\star)e_{23}(\boldsymbol{\varphi}^\star) + \int_\Omega \tfrac{2l}{l+2}\big(e_{22}(\boldsymbol{u}^\star)e_{33}(\boldsymbol{\varphi}^\star) + e_{33}(\boldsymbol{u}^\star)e_{22}(\boldsymbol{\varphi}^\star)\big)dm\otimes\mathcal{L}^2\\
&\qquad + \tfrac{4(l+1)}{l+2}\sum_{\alpha=2}^{3} e_{\alpha\alpha}(\boldsymbol{u}^\star)e_{\alpha\alpha}(\boldsymbol{\varphi}^\star)dm\otimes\mathcal{L}^2 = \int_\Omega \boldsymbol{a}^\parallel \boldsymbol{e}_{x'}(\boldsymbol{u}^\star) : \boldsymbol{e}_{x'}(\boldsymbol{\varphi}^\star)\ dm\otimes\mathcal{L}^2,
\end{aligned}\tag{6.13}$$

where $\boldsymbol{a}^\perp$ and $\boldsymbol{a}^\parallel$ are given by (3.9). We infer from (6.12) and (6.13) that

$$a(\boldsymbol{u},\boldsymbol{\varphi}) = \int_\Omega \boldsymbol{f}\cdot\boldsymbol{\varphi}\ dx,$$

where $a(\cdot,\cdot)$ is the continuous symmetric bilinear form on $BD^{\nu,m}(\Omega)$ defined by (3.8). Substituting $\boldsymbol{v}^\delta$ for $\boldsymbol{\varphi}$ (see (6.1)) and letting $\delta$ converge to 0, we deduce from the strong convergence in $BD^{\nu,m}(\Omega)$ of $\boldsymbol{v}^\delta$ to $\boldsymbol{v}$ stated in (5.13) that

$$a(\boldsymbol{u},\boldsymbol{v}) = \int_\Omega \boldsymbol{f}\cdot\boldsymbol{v}\ dx \quad \forall \boldsymbol{v} \in BD^{\nu,m}_0(\Omega).$$

Since, by Proposition 2, the field $\boldsymbol{u}$ belongs to $BD_0^{\nu,m}(\Omega)$, we conclude that $\boldsymbol{u}$ is a solution to (3.7).

Let us prove that $BD_0^{\nu,m}(\Omega)$ is a Hilbert space. By the Poincaré inequality in $\{\boldsymbol{v} \in BD(\Omega),\ \boldsymbol{v} = 0 \ \text{ on } \ \partial\Omega\}$ (see [27, Remark 2.5 (ii) p. 156]), we have

$$\begin{aligned} \int_\Omega |\boldsymbol{v}| dx \leq C \int_\Omega d|\boldsymbol{E}\boldsymbol{v}| = C \int_\Omega \left|\tfrac{\boldsymbol{E}\boldsymbol{v}}{\nu\otimes\mathcal{L}^2}\right| d\nu \otimes \mathcal{L}^2 \\ \leq C \left( \int_\Omega \left|\tfrac{\boldsymbol{E}\boldsymbol{v}}{\nu\otimes\mathcal{L}^2}\right|^2 \, d\nu \otimes \mathcal{L}^2 \right)^{\frac{1}{2}} \leq C||\boldsymbol{v}||_{BD_0^{\nu,m}(\Omega)} \quad \forall\, \boldsymbol{v} \in BD_0^{\nu,m}(\Omega), \end{aligned} \tag{6.14}$$

hence the semi-norm $||.||_{BD_0^{\nu,m}(\Omega)}$ defined by (3.6) is a norm on $BD_0^{\nu,m}(\Omega)$. On the other hand, Fubini's Theorem and Korn's inequality in $H_0^1(\Omega';\mathbb{R}^2)$ imply

$$\begin{aligned} \int_\Omega |(\boldsymbol{v}')^\star|^2 dm \otimes \mathcal{L}^2 = \int_0^L dm(x_1) \int_{\Omega'} |(\boldsymbol{v}')^\star|^2 dx' \\ \leq C \int_0^L dm(x_1) \int_{\Omega'} |\boldsymbol{e}_{x'}(\boldsymbol{v}^\star)|^2 dx' \leq C||\boldsymbol{v}||^2_{BD_0^{\nu,m}(\Omega)} \quad \forall\, \boldsymbol{v} \in BD_0^{\nu,m}(\Omega). \end{aligned} \tag{6.15}$$

Let $(\boldsymbol{v}_n)$ be a Cauchy sequence in $BD_0^{\nu,m}(\Omega)$. By (6.14) and (6.15), the sequences $(\boldsymbol{v}_n)$, $((\boldsymbol{v}'_n)^\star)$, $(\frac{\boldsymbol{E}\boldsymbol{v}_n}{\nu\otimes\mathcal{L}^2})$ are Cauchy sequences in $BD(\Omega)$, $L^2_m(0,L;H^1_0(\Omega;\mathbb{R}^3))$, $L^2_{\nu\otimes\mathcal{L}^2}(\Omega;\mathbb{S}^3)$ respectively, hence the following convergences hold

$$\begin{aligned} &\boldsymbol{v}_n \to \boldsymbol{v} && \text{strongly in} && BD(\Omega), \\ &(\boldsymbol{v}'_n)^\star \to \boldsymbol{w}' && \text{strongly in} && L^2_m(0,L;H^1_0(\Omega';\mathbb{R}^3)), \\ &\tfrac{\boldsymbol{E}\boldsymbol{v}_n}{\nu\otimes\mathcal{L}^2} \to \boldsymbol{\Xi} && \text{strongly in} && L^2_{\nu\otimes\mathcal{L}^2}(\Omega;\mathbb{S}^3), \end{aligned} \tag{6.16}$$

for some $\boldsymbol{v}$, $\boldsymbol{w}'$, $\boldsymbol{\Xi}$. We prove below that

$$\boldsymbol{E}(\boldsymbol{v}) \ll \nu \otimes \mathcal{L}^2, \quad \boldsymbol{\Xi} = \tfrac{\boldsymbol{E}\boldsymbol{v}}{\nu\otimes\mathcal{L}^2}, \quad \boldsymbol{v} = 0 \ \text{ on } \ \partial\Omega, \tag{6.17}$$

$$\boldsymbol{w}' = (\boldsymbol{v}')^\star \quad m \otimes \mathcal{L}^2\text{-a.e.}. \tag{6.18}$$

It follows from (6.16)-(6.18) that $\boldsymbol{v} \in BD_0^{\nu,m}(\Omega)$ and $(\boldsymbol{v}_n)$ strongly converges to $\boldsymbol{v}$ in $BD_0^{\nu,m}(\Omega)$, hence $BD_0^{\nu,m}(\Omega)$ is a Hilbert space. The proof of Theorem 1 is achieved provided we establish that the form $a(\cdot,\cdot)$ is continous and coercive on $BD_0^{\nu,m}(\Omega)$. The continuity is straightforward. The coercivity of $a(\cdot,\cdot)$ results from Lemma 7 stated below. □

**Proof of (6.17).** As $\boldsymbol{v}_n = 0$ on $\partial\Omega$, by (6.16) and Green's formula we have, for $\boldsymbol{\Psi} \in C^1(\overline{\Omega};\mathbb{S}^3)$,

$$\begin{aligned} \int_\Omega \boldsymbol{v} \cdot \mathbf{div}\boldsymbol{\Psi} dx = \lim_{n\to\infty} \int_\Omega \boldsymbol{v}_n \cdot \mathbf{div}\boldsymbol{\Psi} dx = -\lim_{n\to\infty} \int_\Omega \boldsymbol{\Psi} d\boldsymbol{E}\boldsymbol{v}_n \\ = -\lim_{n\to\infty} \int_\Omega \tfrac{\boldsymbol{E}\boldsymbol{v}_n}{\nu\otimes\mathcal{L}^2} : \boldsymbol{\psi} d\nu \otimes \mathcal{L}^2 = -\int_\Omega \boldsymbol{\Xi} : \boldsymbol{\psi} d\nu \otimes \mathcal{L}^2. \end{aligned}$$

We deduce from Green's formula that

$$-\int_\Omega \boldsymbol{\Psi} : d\boldsymbol{E}(\boldsymbol{v}) + \int_{\partial\Omega} \boldsymbol{v} \odot \boldsymbol{n} : \boldsymbol{\Psi} d\mathcal{H}^2 = -\int_\Omega \boldsymbol{\Xi} : \boldsymbol{\psi} d\nu \otimes \mathcal{L}^2.$$

By the arbitrary choice of $\boldsymbol{\psi}$, we infer (6.17). □

**Proof of** (6.18)**.** By (6.16), $\lim_{n\to+\infty}\int_\Omega |(\boldsymbol{v}'_n)^\star - \boldsymbol{w}'|^2 dm\otimes\mathcal{L}^2 = 0$, hence there exists a $m$-negligible subset $N$ of $(0,L)$ such that

$$\lim_{n\to+\infty}\int_{\Sigma_{x_1}} |(\boldsymbol{v}'_n)^\star - \boldsymbol{w}'|^2 d\mathcal{H}^2 = 0 \qquad \forall x_1\in(0,L)\setminus N. \tag{6.19}$$

On the other hand, since $(\boldsymbol{v}_n)$ strongly converges to $\boldsymbol{v}$ in $BD(\Omega)$, the traces $\boldsymbol{\gamma}^{\pm}_{\Sigma_{x_1}}(\boldsymbol{v}_n)$ on both side of $\Sigma_{x_1}$ strongly converges to $\boldsymbol{\gamma}^{\pm}_{\Sigma_{x_1}}(\boldsymbol{v})$ in $L^1_{\mathcal{H}^2}(\Sigma_{x_1})$ for all $x_1\in(0,L)$. By (4.12), (4.16), and (6.17), $\boldsymbol{v}^\star(x_1,.) = \boldsymbol{\gamma}^{+}_{\Sigma_{x_1}}(\boldsymbol{v}) = \boldsymbol{\gamma}^{-}_{\Sigma_{x_1}}(\boldsymbol{v})$ $\mathcal{H}^2$-a.e. on $\Sigma_{x_1}$ for $m$-a.e. $x_1\in(0,L)$. Accordingly, there exists a $m$-negligible subset $N_1$ of $(0,L)$ such that

$$\lim_{n\to+\infty}\int_{\Sigma_{x_1}} |(\boldsymbol{v}_n)^\star - \boldsymbol{v}^\star| d\mathcal{H}^2 = 0 \qquad \forall x_1\in(0,L)\setminus N_1. \tag{6.20}$$

Let us fix $x_1\in(0,L)\setminus(N\cup N_1)$. By (6.19) there exists a subsequence of $(\boldsymbol{v}'_n)^\star$ converging $\mathcal{H}^2$-a.e. on $\Sigma_{x_1}$ to $\boldsymbol{w}'$. By (6.20), there exists a further subsequence converging $\mathcal{H}^2$-a.e. on $\Sigma_{x_1}$ to $(\boldsymbol{v}')^\star$. Hence $\boldsymbol{w}' = (\boldsymbol{v}')^\star$ $\mathcal{H}^2$-a.e. on $\Sigma_{x_1}$ for $m$-a.e. $x_1\in(0,L)$. Setting $A:=\{x\in\Omega,\ \boldsymbol{w}'(x)\neq(\boldsymbol{v}')^\star(x)\}$, $A_{x_1}:=A\cap\Sigma_{x_1}$, we infer that $\mathcal{H}^2(A_{x_1})=0$ for all $x_1\in(0,L)\setminus(N\cup N_1)$. It then follows from Fubini's theorem that $m\otimes\mathcal{L}^2(A)=\int_{(0,L)}\mathcal{H}^2(A_{x_1})dm(x_1)=0$. □

**Lemma 7.** *For all* $\boldsymbol{v}\in BD^{\nu,m}_0(\Omega)$, $\alpha,\beta\in\{2,3\}$, *we have*

$$\int_\Omega \left|\frac{E_{\alpha\beta}\boldsymbol{v}}{\nu\otimes\mathcal{L}^2}\right|^2 \, d\nu\otimes\mathcal{L}^2 \leq \int_\Omega \left|e_{\alpha\beta}((\boldsymbol{v}^\star)')\right|^2 \, dm\otimes\mathcal{L}^2. \tag{6.21}$$

*Proof.* Let $\boldsymbol{v}\in BD^{\nu,m}_0(\Omega)$, $\delta>0$, and $\boldsymbol{\varphi}_\varepsilon$ defined by (6.1), (6.8). By Proposition 6, the convergence (4.27) holds, hence by Lemma 1 (ii), we have for $\alpha,\beta\in\{2,3\}$,

$$\int_\Omega \left|\frac{E_{\alpha\beta}\boldsymbol{\varphi}}{\nu\otimes\mathcal{L}^2}\right|^2 \, d\nu\otimes\mathcal{L}^2 \leq \liminf_{\varepsilon\to0}\int_\Omega \mu_\varepsilon \left|\boldsymbol{e}_{\alpha\beta}(\boldsymbol{\varphi}_\varepsilon)\right|^2 \, dx.$$

As, on the other hand, by (4.2) and (6.9), the following holds

$$\lim_{\varepsilon\to0}\int_\Omega \mu_\varepsilon \left|\boldsymbol{e}_{\alpha\beta}(\boldsymbol{\varphi}_\varepsilon)\right|^2 \, dx = \int_\Omega \left|\boldsymbol{e}_{\alpha\beta}((\boldsymbol{\varphi}^\star)')\right|^2 \, dm\otimes\mathcal{L}^2,$$

we deduce that

$$\int_\Omega \left|\frac{E_{\alpha\beta}\boldsymbol{\varphi}}{\nu\otimes\mathcal{L}^2}\right|^2 \, d\nu\otimes\mathcal{L}^2 \leq \int_\Omega \left|\boldsymbol{e}_{\alpha\beta}((\boldsymbol{\varphi}^\star)')\right|^2 \, dm\otimes\mathcal{L}^2.$$

Substituting $\boldsymbol{v}^\delta$ for $\boldsymbol{\varphi}$ and passing to the limit as $\delta\to0$, taking (4.24), (5.13) into account, we obtain (6.21). □

*Justification of* (6.10). We fix $\boldsymbol{e},\widetilde{\boldsymbol{e}}\in\mathbb{S}^3$ and set $\boldsymbol{\sigma}:=l(\operatorname{tr}\boldsymbol{e})\boldsymbol{I}+2\boldsymbol{e}$, $\widetilde{\boldsymbol{\sigma}}:=l(\operatorname{tr}\widetilde{\boldsymbol{e}})\boldsymbol{I}+2\widetilde{\boldsymbol{e}}$. We have

$$\boldsymbol{\sigma}:\widetilde{\boldsymbol{e}}=\sum_{i=1}^{3}\sigma_{ii}\widetilde{e}_{ii}+\sigma_{12}\widetilde{\sigma}_{12}+\sigma_{13}\widetilde{\sigma}_{13}+4e_{23}\widetilde{e}_{23}. \tag{6.22}$$

Noticing that

$$
\begin{aligned}
&e_{11} = \tfrac{1}{l+2}(\sigma_{11} - le_{22} - le_{33}), \quad \widetilde{e}_{11} = \tfrac{1}{l+2}(\widetilde{\sigma}_{11} - l\widetilde{e}_{22} - l\widetilde{e}_{33}),\\
&\sigma_{22} = le_{11} + (l+2)e_{22} + le_{33} = \tfrac{l}{l+2}(\sigma_{11} - le_{22} - le_{33}) + (l+2)e_{22} + le_{33},\\
&\sigma_{33} = le_{11} + le_{22} + (l+2)e_{33} = \tfrac{l}{l+2}(\sigma_{11} - le_{22} - le_{33}) + le_{22} + (l+2)e_{33},
\end{aligned}
$$

we obtain, by substitution,

$$
\begin{aligned}
\sum_{i=1}^{3}\sigma_{ii}\widetilde{e}_{ii} = {}& \sigma_{11}\tfrac{1}{l+2}(\widetilde{\sigma}_{11} - l\widetilde{e}_{22} - l\widetilde{e}_{33}) + \Big(\tfrac{l}{l+2}(\sigma_{11} - le_{22} - le_{33}) + (l+2)e_{22} + le_{33}\Big)\widetilde{e}_{22}\\
&+ \Big(\tfrac{l}{l+2}(\sigma_{11} - le_{22} - le_{33}) + le_{22} + (l+2)e_{33}\Big)\widetilde{e}_{33}\\
= {}& \tfrac{1}{l+2}\sigma_{11}\widetilde{\sigma}_{11} + \tfrac{4(l+1)}{l+2}(e_{22}\widetilde{e}_{22} + e_{33}\widetilde{e}_{33}) + \tfrac{2l}{l+2}(e_{22}\widetilde{e}_{33} + e_{33}\widetilde{e}_{22}),
\end{aligned}
$$

yielding, by (6.22),

$$
\begin{aligned}
\boldsymbol{\sigma} : \widetilde{\boldsymbol{e}} = {}& \tfrac{1}{l+2}\sigma_{11}\widetilde{\sigma}_{11} + +2\sigma_{12}\widetilde{e}_{12} + 2\sigma_{13}\widetilde{e}_{13}\\
&+ 4e_{23}\widetilde{e}_{23} + \tfrac{4(l+1)}{l+2}(e_{22}\widetilde{e}_{22} + e_{33}\widetilde{e}_{33}) + \tfrac{2l}{l+2}(e_{22}\widetilde{e}_{33} + e_{33}\widetilde{e}_{22}).
\end{aligned}
$$

Substituting $\boldsymbol{e}(\boldsymbol{u}_\varepsilon)$, $\boldsymbol{e}(\boldsymbol{\varphi}_\varepsilon)$, $\frac{1}{\mu_\varepsilon}\boldsymbol{\sigma}_\varepsilon(\boldsymbol{u}_\varepsilon)$, $\frac{1}{\mu_\varepsilon}\boldsymbol{\sigma}_\varepsilon(\boldsymbol{\varphi}_\varepsilon)$, respectively, for $\boldsymbol{e}$, $\widetilde{\boldsymbol{e}}$, $\boldsymbol{\sigma}$, $\widetilde{\boldsymbol{\sigma}}$, we infer (6.10). □

6.1. **Proof of Proposition 6.** The proof of Proposition 6 lies in the asymptotic analysis of the family of sequences $\big((\boldsymbol{\varphi}_\varepsilon^k)_\varepsilon\big)_{k\in\mathbb{N}}$, the results of which are presented in the next proposition whose proof is located in Section 6.2.

**Proposition 7.** *Let $\boldsymbol{v} \in BD_0^{\nu,m}(\Omega)$, $\delta > 0$, $\boldsymbol{\sigma}^\nu$ defined by (5.9), and $\boldsymbol{\varphi}$, $\boldsymbol{\varphi}_\varepsilon^k$ respectively given by (6.1), (6.7). Then $\boldsymbol{\varphi}_\varepsilon^k$ belongs to $H^1(\Omega;\mathbb{R}^3)$ and satisfies*

$$\sup_{k\in\mathbb{N};\ \varepsilon>0}\int_\Omega |\boldsymbol{\varphi}_\varepsilon^k|^2 dm_\varepsilon \otimes \mathcal{L}^2 < \infty, \tag{6.23}$$

$$\lim_{k\to\infty}\sup_{\varepsilon>0}\int_\Omega |\boldsymbol{\varphi}_\varepsilon^k - \boldsymbol{\varphi}|\ dx = 0, \tag{6.24}$$

$$\limsup_{k\to\infty}\limsup_{\varepsilon\to 0}\int_\Omega \left|\boldsymbol{\sigma}_\varepsilon(\boldsymbol{\varphi}_\varepsilon^k)\boldsymbol{e}_1\right|^2 d\nu_\varepsilon \otimes \mathcal{L}^2 \le \int_\Omega |\boldsymbol{\sigma}^\nu(\boldsymbol{\varphi})\boldsymbol{e}_1|^2\, d\nu \otimes \mathcal{L}^2, \tag{6.25}$$

$$\limsup_{k\to\infty}\limsup_{\varepsilon\to 0}\int_\Omega \left|\boldsymbol{e}_{x'}((\boldsymbol{\varphi}_\varepsilon^k)')\right|^2 dm_\varepsilon \otimes \mathcal{L}^2 \le \int_\Omega |\boldsymbol{e}_{x'}((\boldsymbol{\varphi}^\star)')|^2\, dm \otimes \mathcal{L}^2. \tag{6.26}$$

Let us fix a decreasing sequence of positive reals $(\alpha_k)_{k\in\mathbb{N}}$ converging to 0. By Proposition 7, there exists of a decreasing sequence of positive reals $(\varepsilon_k)_{k\in\mathbb{N}}$ converging to 0 as $k \to \infty$ and such that, for all $\varepsilon < \varepsilon_k$,

$$
\begin{aligned}
&\int_\Omega |\boldsymbol{\varphi}_\varepsilon^k - \boldsymbol{\varphi}|\ dx \le \alpha_k,\\
&\int_\Omega \left|\boldsymbol{\sigma}_\varepsilon(\boldsymbol{\varphi}_\varepsilon^k)\boldsymbol{e}_1\right|^2 d\nu_\varepsilon \otimes \mathcal{L}^2 \le \int_\Omega |\boldsymbol{\sigma}^\nu(\boldsymbol{\varphi})\boldsymbol{e}_1|^2\, d\nu \otimes \mathcal{L}^2 + \alpha_k,\\
&\int_\Omega \left|\boldsymbol{e}_{x'}(\boldsymbol{\varphi}_\varepsilon^k)\right|^2 dm_\varepsilon \otimes \mathcal{L}^2 \le \int_\Omega |\boldsymbol{e}_{x'}(\boldsymbol{\varphi}^\star)|^2\, dm \otimes \mathcal{L}^2 + \alpha_k.
\end{aligned}
\tag{6.27}
$$

Let $k_\varepsilon$ be the unique integer such that $\varepsilon_{k_\varepsilon+1} \le \varepsilon < \varepsilon_{k_\varepsilon}$ (notice that $k_\varepsilon \to \infty$). We set

$$\boldsymbol{\varphi}_\varepsilon = \boldsymbol{\varphi}_\varepsilon^{k_\varepsilon}. \tag{6.28}$$

By (3.1), (3.2), (6.23), (6.27) and (6.28), the sequence $(\boldsymbol{\varphi}_\varepsilon)$ strongly converges to $\boldsymbol{\varphi}$ in $L^1(\Omega;\mathbb{R}^3)$ and satisfies the assumptions (4.25) and (4.28) of Proposition 3. Therefore, the convergences (4.27) and (4.29) hold. We deduce that

$$\boldsymbol{\sigma}_\varepsilon(\boldsymbol{\varphi}_\varepsilon)\boldsymbol{e}_1 \xrightarrow{\nu_\varepsilon\otimes\mathcal{L}^2,\nu\otimes\mathcal{L}^2} \boldsymbol{\sigma}^\nu(\boldsymbol{\varphi})\boldsymbol{e}_1, \qquad \boldsymbol{e}_{x'}((\boldsymbol{\varphi}_\varepsilon)') \xrightarrow{m_\varepsilon\otimes\mathcal{L}^2,m\otimes\mathcal{L}^2} \boldsymbol{e}_{x'}((\boldsymbol{\varphi}^\star)').$$

On the other hand, (6.27) and (6.28) imply (since $k_\varepsilon \to \infty$)

$$\begin{aligned}
&\limsup_{\varepsilon\to 0}\int_\Omega \left|\boldsymbol{\sigma}_\varepsilon(\boldsymbol{\varphi}_\varepsilon)\boldsymbol{e}_1\right|^2 d\nu_\varepsilon\otimes\mathcal{L}^2 \le \int_\Omega \left|\boldsymbol{\sigma}^\nu(\boldsymbol{\varphi})\boldsymbol{e}_1\right|^2 d\nu\otimes\mathcal{L}^2,\\
&\limsup_{\varepsilon\to 0}\int_\Omega \left|\boldsymbol{e}_{x'}\left((\boldsymbol{\varphi}_\varepsilon)'\right)\right|^2 dm_\varepsilon\otimes\mathcal{L}^2 \le \int_\Omega \left|\boldsymbol{e}_{x'}((\boldsymbol{\varphi}^\star)')\right|^2 dm\otimes\mathcal{L}^2,
\end{aligned}$$

yielding (6.9). Proposition 6 is proved provided we establish Proposition 7. ☐

6.2. **Proof of Proposition 7.** The field $\boldsymbol{\varphi}_\varepsilon^k$ belongs to $H^1(I_j^k\times\Omega';\mathbb{R}^3)$ for all $j\in\{1,\dots,n_k-1\}$, hence to prove that $\boldsymbol{\varphi}_\varepsilon^k\in H^1(\Omega;\mathbb{R}^3)$, it suffices to show that the traces of $\boldsymbol{\varphi}_\varepsilon^k$ coincide on the common boundaries of $I_j^k\times\Omega'$ and $I_{j+1}^k\times\Omega'$, that is

$$\boldsymbol{\gamma}^-_{\Sigma_{t_j^k}}(\boldsymbol{\varphi}_\varepsilon^k) = \boldsymbol{\gamma}^+_{\Sigma_{t_j^k}}(\boldsymbol{\varphi}_\varepsilon^k) \quad \forall j\in\{1,\dots,n_k-1\}. \tag{6.29}$$

By (5.15), (6.1), (6.4), the following holds

$$\varphi_1^+(t_j^k,x') - \varphi_1^+(t_{j-1}^k,x') = \tfrac{1}{l+2}\int_{I_j^k}(\boldsymbol{\sigma}^\nu)_{11}(\boldsymbol{\varphi})(s_1,x')d\nu(s_1) - \sum_{\alpha=2}^3 \tfrac{l}{l+2}\int_{I_j^k}\frac{\partial\varphi_\alpha}{\partial x_\alpha}(s_1,x')ds_1.$$

On the other hand, noticing that $\phi_\varepsilon^k((t_j^k)^+) = 0$ and $\phi_\varepsilon^k((t_j^k)^-) = 1$, we deduce from (6.7) that, for all $x = (t_j^k,x')\in\Sigma_{t_j^k}$, $(\boldsymbol{\gamma}^+_{\Sigma_{t_j^k}}(\boldsymbol{\varphi}_\varepsilon^k))_1(x) = \varphi_1^+(t_j^k,x')$ and

$$\begin{aligned}
(\boldsymbol{\gamma}^-_{\Sigma_{t_j^k}}(\boldsymbol{\varphi}_\varepsilon^k))_1(x) = \tfrac{1}{l+2}&\int_{I_j^k}(\boldsymbol{\sigma}^\nu)_{11}(\boldsymbol{\varphi})(s_1,x')\,d\nu(s_1)\\
&- \sum_{\alpha=2}^3\tfrac{l}{l+2}\int_{t_{j-1}^k}^{t_j^k}\frac{\partial\varphi_\alpha}{\partial x_\alpha}(s_1,x')ds_1 + \varphi_1^+(t_{j-1}^k,x').
\end{aligned}$$

The last equations imply $(\boldsymbol{\gamma}^+_{\Sigma_{t_j^k}}(\boldsymbol{\varphi}_\varepsilon^k))_1 = (\boldsymbol{\gamma}^-_{\Sigma_{t_j^k}}(\boldsymbol{\varphi}_\varepsilon^k))_1$. Similarly, by (5.15) and (6.4) we have, for $\alpha\in\{2,3\}$,

$$\varphi_\alpha^+(t_j^k,x') - \varphi_\alpha^+(t_{j-1}^k,x') = \int_{I_j^k}(\boldsymbol{\sigma}^\nu)_{1\alpha}(\boldsymbol{\varphi})(s_1,x')\,d\nu(s_1) - \int_{I_j^k}\frac{\partial\varphi_1}{\partial x_\alpha}(s_1,x')\,ds_1,$$

and, by (6.7), $(\boldsymbol{\gamma}^+_{\Sigma_{t_j^k}}(\boldsymbol{\varphi}_\varepsilon^k))_\alpha(t_j^k,x') = \varphi_\alpha^+(t_j^k,x')$ and

$$(\boldsymbol{\gamma}^-_{\Sigma_{t_j^k}}(\boldsymbol{\varphi}_\varepsilon^k))_\alpha(t_j^k,x') = \int_{I_j^k}(\boldsymbol{\sigma}^\nu(\boldsymbol{\varphi}))_{1\alpha}(s_1,x')d\nu(s_1) - \int_{I_j^k}\frac{\partial\varphi_1}{\partial x_\alpha}(s_1,x')ds_1 + \varphi_\alpha^+(t_{j-1}^k,x'),$$

yielding $(\boldsymbol{\gamma}^{+}_{\Sigma_{t^k_j}}(\boldsymbol{\varphi}^k_\varepsilon))_\alpha = (\boldsymbol{\gamma}^{-}_{\Sigma_{t^k_j}}(\boldsymbol{\varphi}^k_\varepsilon))_\alpha$. Assertion (6.29) is proved.

In what follows, for all $x_1 \in (0, L)$, we denote by $j_{x_1}$ the unique integer satisfying

$$x_1 \in \left(t^k_{j_{x_1}-1}, t^k_{j_{x_1}}\right]. \tag{6.30}$$

The next lemma plays a crucial role in the proof of Proposition 7.

**Lemma 8.** *We have*

$$\lim_{\varepsilon\to 0} \nu_\varepsilon(I^k_j) = \nu(I^k_j) \text{ and } \lim_{\varepsilon\to 0} m_\varepsilon(I^k_j) = m(I^k_j) \quad \forall k\in\mathbb{N},\ \forall j\in\{1,\dots,n_k\}. \tag{6.31}$$

*For all $k \in \mathbb{N}$, the mapping $x_1 \in (0, L] \to \nu(I^k_{j_{x_1}})$ defined by (6.4), (6.30) is Borel measurable and satisfies, for all $p \in (0, \infty)$,*

$$\begin{aligned}
&\lim_{\varepsilon\to 0} \int \nu(I^k_{j_{x_1}}) dm_\varepsilon(x_1) = \int \nu(I^k_{j_{x_1}}) dm(x_1),\\
&\lim_{k\to\infty} \int_0^L \nu(I^k_{j_{x_1}})^p \ d\mathcal{L}^1(x_1) = 0, \quad \lim_{k\to\infty} \int_{[0,L]} \nu(I^k_{j_{x_1}})^p \ dm(x_1) = 0.
\end{aligned} \tag{6.32}$$

*Proof.* Since $\nu(\partial I^k_j) = m(\partial I^k_j) = 0$ for all $k \in \mathbb{N}$, $j \in \{1, \dots, n_k\}$ (see (6.3)), the convergences (6.31) result from (3.3). By (6.3) and (6.30), we have

$$\nu(I^k_{j_{x_1}}) = \sum_{j=1}^{n_k} \nu\left(I^k_j\right) \mathbb{1}_{I^k_j}(x_1), \tag{6.33}$$

hence the mapping $x_1 \in (0, L] \to \nu(I^k_{j_{x_1}})$ is Borel-measurable and, by (6.31),

$$\begin{aligned}
\lim_{\varepsilon\to 0} \int \nu(I^k_{j_{x_1}}) dm_\varepsilon(x_1) &= \lim_{\varepsilon\to 0} \sum_{j=1}^{n_k} \nu(I^k_j) m_\varepsilon(I^k_j) = \sum_{j=1}^{n_k} \nu(I^k_j) m(I^k_j)\\
&= \int \nu(I^k_{j_{x_1}}) dm(x_1).
\end{aligned}$$

The measure $\nu$ is bounded and by (6.3), for each fixed $x_1 \in (0, L]$, the sequence of sets $(I^k_{j_{x_1}})_{k\in\mathbb{N}}$ is decreasing and satisfies $\bigcap_{k\in\mathbb{N}} \downarrow I^k_{j_{x_1}} = \{x_1\}$, therefore $\lim_{k\to\infty} \nu(I^k_{j_{x_1}}) = \nu(\{x_1\})$. Applying the Dominated Convergence Theorem, noticing that, by (3.4), $\mathcal{L}^1(\mathcal{A}_\nu) = m(\mathcal{A}_\nu) = 0$ (see (3.14)), we infer

$$\begin{aligned}
&\lim_{k\to\infty} \int_0^L \nu(I^k_{j_{x_1}})^p \ d\mathcal{L}^1(x_1) = \int_{\mathcal{A}_\nu} \nu(\{x_1\})^p d\mathcal{L}^1(x_1) = 0,\\
&\lim_{k\to\infty} \int_{[0,L]} \nu(I^k_{j_{x_1}})^p \ dm(x_1) = \int_{\mathcal{A}_\nu} \nu(\{x_1\})^p dm(x_1) = 0.
\end{aligned}$$

□

**Proof of (6.23).** By (5.9), (5.15), (5.19), (6.1), we have, forall $x_1 \in (0, L)$,

$$\int_{\Omega'} \left|\boldsymbol{\varphi}^+(t^k_{j_{x_1}-1}, x')\right|^2 \ dx' \le C \int_\Omega |\boldsymbol{\sigma}^\nu(\boldsymbol{\varphi})|^2 \ d\nu\otimes\mathcal{L}^2 + C \int_\Omega \left|\frac{\partial\boldsymbol{\varphi}}{\partial x_\alpha}\right|^2 \ dx \le C,$$

therefore, by (6.6), (6.7), and (6.30),

$$
\begin{aligned}
&\sup_{x_1\in(0,L)}\int_{\Omega'}|\boldsymbol{\varphi}^k_\varepsilon|^2(x_1,x')dx'\\
&\qquad\le C\left(\int_\Omega|\boldsymbol{\sigma}^\nu(\boldsymbol{\varphi})|^2d\nu\otimes\mathcal{L}^2+\int_\Omega|\frac{\partial\boldsymbol{\varphi}}{\partial x_\alpha}|^2dx+\int_{\Omega'}|\boldsymbol{\varphi}^+(t^k_{j_{x_1}-1},x')|^2dx'\right)\le C.
\end{aligned}
$$

By integrating over $(0,L)$ with respect to $m_\varepsilon$, we obtain (6.23). ☐

**Proof of** (6.24)**.** By (5.15), (5.19), (6.1), the following estimate holds for $x_1\in I^k_j$ (or equivalently for $j=j_{x_1}$):

$$
\begin{aligned}
\int_{\Omega'}|\boldsymbol{\varphi}^+(x_1,x')-\boldsymbol{\varphi}^+(t_j,x')|dx'&\le C\int_{I^k_j\times\Omega'}|\boldsymbol{\sigma}^\nu(\boldsymbol{\varphi})|d\nu\otimes\mathcal{L}^2+C\sum_{\alpha=2}^3\int_{I^k_j\times\Omega'}|\frac{\partial\boldsymbol{\varphi}}{\partial x_\alpha}|d\mathcal{L}^3\\
&\le C\nu(I^k_j)^{\frac12}||\boldsymbol{\sigma}^\nu(\boldsymbol{\varphi})||^{\frac12}_{L^2_{\nu\otimes\mathcal{L}^2}}+C\left(\sup_{j\in\{1,\dots,n_k\}}\mathcal{L}^1(I^k_j)\right)^{\frac12}\sum_{\alpha=2}^3||\frac{\partial\boldsymbol{\varphi}}{\partial x_\alpha}||^{\frac12}_{L^2(\Omega)}\\
&\le C\nu(I^k_j)^{\frac12}+C\left(\sup_{j\in\{1,\dots,n_k\}}\mathcal{L}^1(I^k_j)\right)^{\frac12}.
\end{aligned}
\tag{6.34}
$$

By integration over $(0,L)$ with respect to $\mathcal{L}^1$, taking (6.3), (6.30), (6.32) into account, we infer

$$
\lim_{k\to\infty}\int_\Omega|\boldsymbol{\varphi}^+_\varepsilon(x_1,x')-\boldsymbol{\varphi}^+_\varepsilon(t_{j_{x_1}},x')|dx=0.\tag{6.35}
$$

By the same argument, we deduce from (6.6), (6.7) that

$$
\lim_{k\to\infty}\int_\Omega|\boldsymbol{\varphi}^k_\varepsilon(x_1,x')-\boldsymbol{\varphi}^+_\varepsilon(t_{j_{x_1}},x')|dx=0.\tag{6.36}
$$

Assertion (6.24) results from (6.35) and (6.36). ☐

**Proof of** (6.25)**.** Taking (3.1), (5.9), (6.6) and (6.7) into account, an elementary computation yields, for all $j\in\{1,\dots,n_k\}$ and for $\mathcal{L}^3$-a.e. $x\in I^k_j\times\Omega'$,

$$
\begin{aligned}
\boldsymbol{\sigma}_\varepsilon(\boldsymbol{\varphi}^k_\varepsilon)(x)\boldsymbol{e}_1&=\mu_\varepsilon\left(l\operatorname{tr}(\boldsymbol{e}(\boldsymbol{\varphi}^k_\varepsilon))\boldsymbol{I}+2\boldsymbol{e}(\boldsymbol{\varphi}^k_\varepsilon)\right)\boldsymbol{e}_1\\
&=\frac{1}{\nu_\varepsilon(I^k_j)}\int_{I^k_j}\boldsymbol{\sigma}^\nu(\boldsymbol{\varphi})(s_1,x')\boldsymbol{e}_1d\nu(s_1)+\boldsymbol{r}^k_\varepsilon(x),
\end{aligned}
\tag{6.37}
$$

where for $\alpha\in\{2,3\}$,

$$\begin{aligned}
\frac{r^k_{\varepsilon 1}}{\mu_\varepsilon}(x) &:= l\sum_{\alpha=2}^{3}\Big(\frac{\partial\varphi^+_\alpha}{\partial x_\alpha}(t^k_{j-1},x') - \frac{\partial\varphi^+_\alpha}{\partial x_\alpha}(x_1,x')\Big)\\
&\quad + 2l\phi^k_\varepsilon(x_1)\sum_{\alpha=2}^{3}\int_{I^k_j}\frac{\partial(\boldsymbol{\sigma}^\nu(\boldsymbol{\varphi}))_{1\alpha}}{\partial x_\alpha}(s_1,x')d\nu(s_1) - l\sum_{\alpha=2}^{3}\int_{t^k_{j-1}}^{x_1}\frac{\partial^2\varphi_1}{\partial x_\alpha^2}(s_1,x')ds_1,\\
\frac{r^k_{\varepsilon\alpha}}{\mu_\varepsilon}(x) &:= \frac{1}{l+2}\phi^k_\varepsilon(x_1)\int_{I^k_j}\frac{\partial(\boldsymbol{\sigma}^\nu(\boldsymbol{\varphi}))_{11}}{\partial x_\alpha}(s_1,x')\ d\nu(s_1)\\
&\quad - \frac{l}{l+2}\sum_{\beta=2}^{3}\int_{t^k_{j-1}}^{x_1}\frac{\partial^2\varphi_\beta}{\partial x_\beta\partial x_\alpha}(s_1,x')ds_1 + \frac{\partial\varphi^+_1}{\partial x_\alpha}(t^k_{j-1},x') - \frac{\partial\varphi_1}{\partial x_\alpha}(x_1,x').
\end{aligned} \tag{6.38}$$

We prove below that

$$\limsup_{k\to\infty}\limsup_{\varepsilon\to 0}\int_\Omega |\boldsymbol{r}^k_\varepsilon|^2 d\nu_\varepsilon\otimes\mathcal{L}^2 = 0. \tag{6.39}$$

By (3.2), (6.4) and (6.37), we have

$$\begin{aligned}
&\int_\Omega \Big|\boldsymbol{\sigma}_\varepsilon(\boldsymbol{\varphi}^k_\varepsilon)\boldsymbol{e}_1 - \boldsymbol{r}^k_\varepsilon\Big|^2 d\nu_\varepsilon\otimes\mathcal{L}^2\\
&\qquad = \sum_{j=1}^{n_k}\frac{\int_{I^k_j}\mu_\varepsilon^{-1}(x_1)dx_1}{(\nu_\varepsilon(I^k_j))^2}\int_{\Omega'}\bigg|\int_{I^k_j}\boldsymbol{\sigma}^\nu(\boldsymbol{\varphi})\boldsymbol{e}_1(s_1,x')d\nu(s_1)\bigg|^2 dx'\\
&\qquad \leq \sum_{j=1}^{n_k}\frac{\nu(I^k_j)}{\nu_\varepsilon(I^k_j)}\int_{I^k_j\times\Omega'}\big|\boldsymbol{\sigma}^\nu(\boldsymbol{\varphi})\boldsymbol{e}_1\big|^2\ d\nu\otimes\mathcal{L}^2.
\end{aligned} \tag{6.40}$$

Assertion (6.25) follows from (6.31), (6.39), (6.40). □

*Proof of* (6.39). A computation analogous to (6.34) yields for $x_1\in I^k_j$, taking (5.19) into account,

$$\int_{\Omega'}\bigg|\frac{\partial\boldsymbol{\varphi}^+}{\partial x_\alpha}(x_1,x') - \frac{\partial\boldsymbol{\varphi}^+}{\partial x_\alpha}(t_j,x')\bigg|^2 dx' \leq C\nu(I^k_j) + C\sup_{j\in\{1,\dots,n_k\}}\mathcal{L}^1(I^k_j). \tag{6.41}$$

Similarly, by (5.4),

$$\int_{\Omega'}\bigg|\int_{I^k_j}\frac{\partial\boldsymbol{\sigma}^\nu}{\partial x_\alpha}(s_1,x')d\nu(s_1)\bigg|^2 dx' \leq C\nu(I^k_j)\bigg|\bigg|\frac{\partial\boldsymbol{\sigma}^\nu}{\partial x_\alpha}\bigg|\bigg|^2_{L^2_{\nu\otimes\mathcal{L}^2}(\Omega)} \leq C\nu(I^k_j), \tag{6.42}$$

$$\begin{aligned}
\int_{\Omega'}\bigg|\int_{t^k_{j-1}}^{x_1}\frac{\partial^2\varphi_\beta}{\partial x_\beta\partial x_\alpha}(s_1,x')ds_1\bigg|^2 dx' &\leq C\sup_{j\in\{1,\dots,n_k\}}\mathcal{L}^1(I^k_j)\bigg|\bigg|\frac{\partial^2\varphi_\beta}{\partial x_\beta\partial x_\alpha}\bigg|\bigg|^2_{L^2(\Omega)}\\
&\leq C\sup_{j\in\{1,\dots,n_k\}}\mathcal{L}^1(I^k_j).
\end{aligned} \tag{6.43}$$

Collecting (6.6), (6.38), (6.41), (6.42), (6.43), noticing that $\mu_\varepsilon^2\nu_\varepsilon = m_\varepsilon$, we infer

$$\int_\Omega |\boldsymbol{r}^k_\varepsilon|^2 d\nu_\varepsilon\otimes\mathcal{L}^2 \leq C\int \nu(I^k_{j_{x_1}})dm_\varepsilon(x_1) + C\sup_{j\in\{1,\dots,n_k\}}\mathcal{L}^1(I^k_j)m_\varepsilon((0,L)). \tag{6.44}$$

Assertion (6.39) results from (6.3), (6.32), (6.44). □

**Proof of** (6.26)**.** By (6.7) we have, for $x_1 \in I_j^k$,

$$\begin{aligned} &\boldsymbol{e}_{x'}(\boldsymbol{\varphi}_\varepsilon^k)(x) = \boldsymbol{e}_{x'}(\boldsymbol{\varphi}^+)(t_{j-1}^k, x') + \boldsymbol{R}_\varepsilon^k(x), \\ &\boldsymbol{R}_\varepsilon^k(x) := \phi_\varepsilon^k(x_1) \int_{I_j^k} \boldsymbol{e}_{x'}\left(\boldsymbol{\sigma}^\nu(\boldsymbol{\varphi})\boldsymbol{e}_1\right)(s_1, x') d\nu(s_1) \\ &\qquad\qquad - \sum_{\alpha,\beta=2}^{3} \int_{t_{j-1}^k}^{x_1} \tfrac{\partial^2 \varphi_1}{\partial x_\alpha \partial x_\alpha}(s_1, x') ds_1 \boldsymbol{e}_\alpha \odot \boldsymbol{e}_\beta. \end{aligned} \tag{6.45}$$

We deduce from (6.6), (6.42), (6.43), (6.45), that $\int_\Omega \left|\boldsymbol{R}_\varepsilon^k\right|^2(x) dm_\varepsilon$ is bounded from above by the left-hand side of (6.44), hence, by (6.3), (6.32),

$$\lim_{k\to\infty} \sup_{\varepsilon>0} \int_\Omega \left|\boldsymbol{R}_\varepsilon^k\right|^2 dm_\varepsilon \otimes \mathcal{L}^2 = 0. \tag{6.46}$$

By (3.2) and (6.4) we have

$$\int_\Omega \left|\boldsymbol{e}_{x'}(\boldsymbol{\varphi}^+)\right|^2 (t_{j_{x_1}-1}^k, x')\, dm_\varepsilon \otimes \mathcal{L}^2 = \sum_{j=1}^{n_k} m_\varepsilon(I_j^k) \int_{\Omega'} \left|\boldsymbol{e}_{x'}(\boldsymbol{\varphi}^+)\right|^2 (t_{j-1}^k, x')\, dx',$$

yielding, by (6.31),

$$\lim_{\varepsilon\to 0} \int_\Omega \left|\boldsymbol{e}_{x'}(\boldsymbol{\varphi}^+)\right|^2 (t_{j_{x_1}-1}^k, x') dm_\varepsilon \otimes \mathcal{L}^2 = \int_\Omega \left|\boldsymbol{e}_{x'}(\boldsymbol{\varphi}^+)\right|^2 (t_{j_{x_1}-1}^k, x') dm \otimes \mathcal{L}^2. \tag{6.47}$$

By (6.3) and (6.30), for all $x_1 \in (0, L)$, the sequence $(t_{j_{x_1}-1}^k)_{k\in\mathbb{N}}$ converges to $x_1$ from below as $k \to \infty$. Therefore, by (5.14), for each $x \in \Omega$ the following holds

$$\lim_{k\to\infty} \left|\boldsymbol{e}_{x'}(\boldsymbol{\varphi}^+)\right|^2 (t_{j_{x_1}-1}^k, x') = \left|\boldsymbol{e}_{x'}(\boldsymbol{\varphi}^-)\right|^2 (x). \tag{6.48}$$

On the other hand, by (5.15), $\left|\boldsymbol{e}_{x'}(\boldsymbol{\varphi}^+)\right|^2 (t_{j_{x_1}-1}^k, x') \leq g(x)$, where

$$g(x) := \int_{(0,L)} \left|\boldsymbol{e}_{x'}(\boldsymbol{\sigma}^\nu(\boldsymbol{\varphi})\boldsymbol{e}_1)\right|^2 (s_1, x') d\nu(s_1) + \sum_{\alpha,\beta=2}^{3} \int_0^L \left|\frac{\partial^2 \varphi_1}{\partial x_\alpha \partial x_\beta}\right|^2 (s_1, x') ds_1.$$

We deduce from (5.18) and (5.19) that $g \in L^1_{m\otimes\mathcal{L}^2}(\Omega)$, and then from (6.47), (6.48) and the Dominated Convergence Theorem, that

$$\lim_{k\to\infty} \int_\Omega \left|\boldsymbol{e}_{x'}(\boldsymbol{\varphi}^+)\right|^2 (t_{j_{x_1}-1}^k, x')\, dm \otimes \mathcal{L}^2 = \int_\Omega \left|\boldsymbol{e}_{x'}(\boldsymbol{\varphi}^-)\right|^2 dm \otimes \mathcal{L}^2. \tag{6.49}$$

By (3.4) and (3.16) we have $|\boldsymbol{E}\boldsymbol{\varphi}|(\Sigma_{x_1}) = 0$ for $m$-a.e. $x_1 \in (0, L)$, therefore Assertion (4.15) implies that $\boldsymbol{e}_{x'}(\boldsymbol{\varphi}^-) = \boldsymbol{e}_{x'}(\boldsymbol{\varphi}^\star)$ $m \otimes \mathcal{L}^2$-a.e.. Collecting (6.45), (6.46), (6.47), (6.49), and the last equation, the assertion (6.26) is proved. □

6.3. **Sketch proof of Proposition 1.** Repeating the argument of the proof of Proposition 2, we establish the apriori estimates

$$\sup_{\varepsilon>0} \int_\Omega |\boldsymbol{u}_\varepsilon|^2 dm_\varepsilon \otimes \mathcal{L}^2 + \int_\Omega |\boldsymbol{u}_\varepsilon| dx + \int_\Omega \mu_\varepsilon \left|\boldsymbol{\nabla}\boldsymbol{u}_\varepsilon\right|^2 dx < \infty,$$

and deduce, up to a subsequence, the following convergences (analogous to (4.4))

$$\begin{aligned} &\boldsymbol{u}_\varepsilon \overset{\star}{\rightharpoonup} \boldsymbol{u} \quad \text{weakly* in } BV(\Omega;\mathbb{R}^n) \text{ for some } \boldsymbol{u}\in BV_0^{\nu,m}(\Omega),\\ &\mu_\varepsilon(\mathbf{C}\boldsymbol{\nabla}\boldsymbol{u}_\varepsilon)\boldsymbol{e}_1 \xrightarrow{\nu_\varepsilon\otimes\mathcal{L}^2,\nu\otimes\mathcal{L}^2} (\mathbf{C}\tfrac{\boldsymbol{D}\boldsymbol{u}}{\nu\otimes\mathcal{L}^{d-1}})\boldsymbol{e}_1, \quad \boldsymbol{\nabla}_{x'}\boldsymbol{u}_\varepsilon \xrightharpoonup{m_\varepsilon\otimes\mathcal{L}^2,m\otimes\mathcal{L}^2} \boldsymbol{\nabla}_{x'}\boldsymbol{u}^\star, \end{aligned} \tag{6.50}$$

where $BV_0^{\nu,m}(\Omega)$ and $\boldsymbol{\nabla}_{x'}\boldsymbol{v}$ are defined by (3.25) and (3.26). Fixing $\boldsymbol{v}\in BV_0^{\nu,m}(\Omega)$, $\delta>0$, $k\in\mathbb{N}^*$, we set $\boldsymbol{\varphi}=\boldsymbol{v}^\delta$ and

$$\begin{aligned} \boldsymbol{\varphi}_\varepsilon^k(x) := &\left(\int_{I^k_{j_{x_1}}} (\boldsymbol{T}^{-1}\mathbf{C}\,\tfrac{\boldsymbol{D}\boldsymbol{\varphi}}{\nu\otimes\mathcal{L}^{d-1}})\boldsymbol{e}_1(s_1,x')\;d\nu(s_1)\right)\phi_\varepsilon^k(x_1)\\ &\qquad - \int_{t^k_{j_{x_1}-1}}^{x_1} (\boldsymbol{T}^{-1}\mathbf{C}\,\boldsymbol{\nabla}_{x'}\boldsymbol{\varphi})\,\boldsymbol{e}_1(s_1,x')ds_1 + \boldsymbol{\varphi}^+(t^k_{j_{x_1}-1},x'). \end{aligned}$$

Mimicking propositions 6 and 7, we exhibit a sequence $\boldsymbol{\varphi}_\varepsilon (=\boldsymbol{\varphi}_\varepsilon^{k_\varepsilon})$ satisfying

$$\begin{aligned} &\lim_{\varepsilon\to 0}\int_\Omega |\boldsymbol{\varphi}_\varepsilon-\boldsymbol{\varphi}|\;dx = 0,\\ &\mu_\varepsilon(\mathbf{C}\,\boldsymbol{\nabla}\boldsymbol{\varphi}_\varepsilon)\boldsymbol{e}_1 \xrightarrow{\nu_\varepsilon\otimes\mathcal{L}^2,\nu\otimes\mathcal{L}^2} (\mathbf{C}\tfrac{\boldsymbol{D}\boldsymbol{\varphi}}{\nu\otimes\mathcal{L}^{d-1}})\boldsymbol{e}_1, \qquad \boldsymbol{\nabla}_{x'}\boldsymbol{\varphi}_\varepsilon \xrightarrow{m_\varepsilon\otimes\mathcal{L}^2,m\otimes\mathcal{L}^2} \boldsymbol{\nabla}_{x'}\boldsymbol{\varphi}^\star. \end{aligned} \tag{6.51}$$

Multiplying (3.20) by $\boldsymbol{\varphi}_\varepsilon$, integrating by parts, and applying the formula

$$\begin{aligned} \mathbf{C}\boldsymbol{\nabla}\boldsymbol{u}_\varepsilon : \boldsymbol{\nabla}\boldsymbol{\varphi}_\varepsilon = &(\boldsymbol{T}^{-1}\mathbf{C}\,\boldsymbol{\nabla}\boldsymbol{u}_\varepsilon)\boldsymbol{e}_1\cdot(\mathbf{C}\boldsymbol{\nabla}\boldsymbol{\varphi}_\varepsilon)\boldsymbol{e}_1 - (\boldsymbol{T}^{-1}\mathbf{C}\,\boldsymbol{\nabla}_{x'}\boldsymbol{u}_\varepsilon)\,\boldsymbol{e}_1\cdot(\mathbf{C}\boldsymbol{\nabla}_{x'}\boldsymbol{\varphi}_\varepsilon)\,\boldsymbol{e}_1\\ &+ \mathbf{C}\,\boldsymbol{\nabla}_{x'}\boldsymbol{u}_\varepsilon : \boldsymbol{\nabla}_{x'}\boldsymbol{\varphi}_\varepsilon, \end{aligned} \tag{6.52}$$

proved below, we obtain

$$\begin{aligned} \int_\Omega \boldsymbol{f}\cdot\boldsymbol{\varphi}_\varepsilon dx = &\int_\Omega \mu_\varepsilon(\boldsymbol{T}^{-1}\mathbf{C}\,\boldsymbol{\nabla}\boldsymbol{u}_\varepsilon)\boldsymbol{e}_1\cdot\mu_\varepsilon(\mathbf{C}\,\boldsymbol{\nabla}\boldsymbol{\varphi}_\varepsilon)\boldsymbol{e}_1\;d\nu_\varepsilon\otimes\mathcal{L}^2\\ &+\int_\Omega -(\boldsymbol{T}^{-1}\mathbf{C}\,\boldsymbol{\nabla}_{x'}\boldsymbol{u}_\varepsilon)\boldsymbol{e}_1\cdot(\mathbf{C}\boldsymbol{\nabla}_{x'}\boldsymbol{\varphi}_\varepsilon)\boldsymbol{e}_1 + \mathbf{C}\,\boldsymbol{\nabla}_{x'}\boldsymbol{u}_\varepsilon\cdot\boldsymbol{\nabla}_{x'}\boldsymbol{\varphi}_\varepsilon\;dm_\varepsilon\otimes\mathcal{L}^2. \end{aligned}$$

Passing to the limit as $\varepsilon\to 0$ in accordance with (6.50) and (6.51), we find

$$a(\boldsymbol{u},\boldsymbol{\varphi}) = \int_\Omega \boldsymbol{u}\cdot\boldsymbol{\varphi}\;dx,$$

where

$$\begin{aligned} a(\boldsymbol{u},\boldsymbol{\varphi}) := &\int_\Omega (\boldsymbol{T}^{-1}\mathbf{C}\,\tfrac{\boldsymbol{D}\boldsymbol{u}}{\nu\otimes\mathcal{L}^{d-1}})\boldsymbol{e}_1\cdot(\mathbf{C}\,\tfrac{\boldsymbol{D}\boldsymbol{\varphi})}{\nu\otimes\mathcal{L}^{d-1}})\boldsymbol{e}_1\;d\nu\otimes\mathcal{L}^{d-1}\\ &-\int_\Omega (\boldsymbol{T}^{-1}\mathbf{C}\,\boldsymbol{\nabla}_{x'}\boldsymbol{u}^\star)\boldsymbol{e}_1\cdot(\mathbf{C}\boldsymbol{\nabla}_{x'}\boldsymbol{\varphi})^\star)\boldsymbol{e}_1 + \mathbf{C}\,\boldsymbol{\nabla}_{x'}\boldsymbol{u}^\star : \boldsymbol{\nabla}_{x'}\boldsymbol{\varphi})^\star\;dm\otimes\mathcal{L}^{d-1}. \end{aligned}$$

An elementary computation shows that $a(\cdot,\cdot)$ is also given by (3.27). The rest of the proof is similar to that of Theorem 1.

**Proof of (6.52).** Noticing that $\boldsymbol{T}$ defined by (3.22) satisfies

$$(\boldsymbol{T}\boldsymbol{\nabla}\boldsymbol{v})\boldsymbol{e}_1 = (\mathbf{C}\boldsymbol{\nabla}\boldsymbol{v})\,\boldsymbol{e}_1 - (\mathbf{C}\boldsymbol{\nabla}_{x'}\boldsymbol{v})\,\boldsymbol{e}_1,$$

and taking the invertibility of $\boldsymbol{T}$ and the symmetry of $\boldsymbol{T}^{-1}$ and $\mathbf{C}$ into account, we obtain

$$\begin{aligned}
\mathbf{C}\nabla\boldsymbol{u}:\nabla\boldsymbol{v} &= (\mathbf{C}\nabla\boldsymbol{u})\boldsymbol{e}_1\cdot(\nabla\boldsymbol{v})\boldsymbol{e}_1 + \mathbf{C}\nabla\boldsymbol{u}:\nabla_{x'}\boldsymbol{v} = (\mathbf{C}\nabla\boldsymbol{u})\boldsymbol{e}_1\cdot(\nabla\boldsymbol{v})\boldsymbol{e}_1 + \nabla\boldsymbol{u}:\mathbf{C}\nabla_{x'}\boldsymbol{v}\\
&= (\mathbf{C}\nabla\boldsymbol{u})\boldsymbol{e}_1\cdot(\nabla\boldsymbol{v})\boldsymbol{e}_1 + (\nabla\boldsymbol{u})\boldsymbol{e}_1\cdot(\mathbf{C}\nabla_{x'}\boldsymbol{v})\boldsymbol{e}_1 + \nabla_{x'}\boldsymbol{u}:\mathbf{C}\nabla_{x'}\boldsymbol{v}\\
&= (\mathbf{C}\nabla\boldsymbol{u})\boldsymbol{e}_1\cdot\boldsymbol{T}^{-1}((\mathbf{C}\nabla\boldsymbol{v})\boldsymbol{e}_1 - (\mathbf{C}\nabla_{x'}\boldsymbol{v})\boldsymbol{e}_1)\\
&\qquad + \boldsymbol{T}^{-1}((\mathbf{C}\nabla\boldsymbol{u})\boldsymbol{e}_1 - (\mathbf{C}\nabla_{x'}\boldsymbol{u})\boldsymbol{e}_1)\cdot(\mathbf{C}\nabla_{x'}\boldsymbol{v})\boldsymbol{e}_1 + \nabla_{x'}\boldsymbol{u}:\mathbf{C}\nabla_{x'}\boldsymbol{v}\\
&= (\boldsymbol{T}^{-1}\mathbf{C}\nabla\boldsymbol{u})\boldsymbol{e}_1\cdot(\mathbf{C}\nabla\boldsymbol{v})\boldsymbol{e}_1 - (\boldsymbol{T}^{-1}\mathbf{C}\nabla_{x'}\boldsymbol{u})\boldsymbol{e}_1\cdot(\mathbf{C}\nabla_{x'}\boldsymbol{v})\boldsymbol{e}_1 + \nabla_{x'}\boldsymbol{u}:\mathbf{C}\nabla_{x'}\boldsymbol{v}.
\end{aligned}$$

□

## Acknowledgements

The work of Michel Bellieud and Shane Cooper was financed by the French National Research Agency (ANR)(Project "Blanc", ANR-13-BS03-0009-03). The work of Shane Cooper was also financed by the Engineering and Physical Sciences Research Council (EPSRC)(Project "Operator asymptotics, a new approach to length-scale interactions in metamaterials", grant EP/M017281/1).

## References

[1] Avila, A., Griso, G., Miara, B., Rohan, E.*Multiscale modeling of elastic waves: theoretical justification and numerical simulation of band gaps* Multiscale Model. Simul. 7(1) (2008), 1-21.

[2] L. Ambrosio, A. Coscia, G. Dal Maso, *Fine properties of functions with bounded deformation,* Arch. Rational Mech. Anal. **139** (1997) 201–238.

[3] L. Ambrosio, N. Fusco, D. Pallara, *Functions of bounded variations and free discontinuity problems.* Oxford Mathematical Monographs. The Clarendon Press, Oxford University Press, New York, 2000.

[4] G. Allaire, *Homogenization and two-scale convergence,* SIAM J. Math. Anal., 23 (1992), pp. 1482–1518.

[5] M. Bellieud, *Torsion effects in elastic composites with high contrast.* SIAM J. Math. Anal. 41(6) (2010), pp. 2514–2553.

[6] M. Bellieud, A notion of capacity related to linear elasticity. Applications to homogenization. Arch. Ration. Mech. Anal., vol. 203, no1, (2012), pp. 137–187.

[7] M. Bellieud, *Homogénéisation de problèmes elliptiques avec effets non locaux* Phd thesis, University of Toulon, (1997).

[8] M. Bellieud, *Homogenization of stratified elastic media with high contrast.* Preprint available at:http://arxiv.org/abs/1510.02243.

[9] M. Bellieud, G. Bouchitté, *Homogenization of elliptic problems in a fiber reinforced structure. Non local effects.* Ann. Scuola Norm. Sup. Cl. Sci. IV 26 (1998), pp. 407-436.

[10] M. Bellieud, G. Bouchitté, *Homogenization of a soft elastic material reinforced by fibers,* Asymptot. Anal., 32, No. 2 ( 2002), pp. 153–183.

[11] M. Bellieud, I. Gruais, *Homogenization of an elastic material reinforced by very stiff or heavy fibers. Non local effects. Memory effects,* J. Math. Pures Appl., 84 (2005), pp. 55–96.

[12] G. Bouchitté, *Représentation intégrale de fonctionnelles convexes sur un espace de mesures,* Ann. Univ. Ferrara Sez. VII (N.S.) 33 (1987) pp. 113–156.

[13] G. Bouchitté, G. Buttazzo,I. Fragalà, *Convergence of Sobolev spaces on varying manifolds,* J. Geom. Anal. 11, n$^0$ 3 (2001), pp. 399–422.

[14] G. Bouchitté, C. Picard, *Singular perturbations and homogenization in stratified media,* Applicable Analysis, Vol. 61 (1996), pp. 307-341.

[15] V. N. Fenchenko,. E. Ya. Khruslov,*1980. Asymptotic behaviour or the solutions of differential equations with strongly oscillating and degenerating coefficient matrix.* Dokl. Akad. Nauk Ukrain. SSR Ser. A 4 (1980), pp. 26-?30.

[16] B. Gustafsson, B. Heron, J. Mossino, $\Gamma$-*convergence of stratified media with measure-valued limits,* Asymptot. Anal., 22 (2000), pp. 261–302.

[17] J. E. Hutchinson, *Second Fundamental Form for Varifolds and the Existence of Surfaces Minimising Curvature*, Indiana Univ. Math. J. 35, n$^0$ 1, (1986), pp. 45–71.

[18] Kamotski, I., Smyshlyaev, V. *Two-scale homogenization for a class of partially degenerating PDE systems.* Preprint available at: http://arxiv.org/abs/1309.4579.

[19] R. Kohn *New estimates for deformations in terms of their strains*, PhD Thesis, Princeton University, 1979.

[20] G. Milton, J. Willis, *On modifications of Newton's second law and linear continuum elastodynamics* Proc. R. Soc. A 2007 463, pp. 855–880.

[21] F. Murat, *Compacité par compensation,* (French) Ann. Scuola Norm. Sup. Pisa Cl. Sci. (4) 5 (1978), no. 3, pp. 489–507.

[22] F. Murat, L. Tartar, *Calcul des variations et homogénéisation, In: Homogenization methods: theory and applications in physics,* pp. 319–370. Bréau-sans-Nappe, 1983, Eyrolles, Paris, 1985.

[23] G. Nguetseng, *A general convergence result for a functional related to the theory of homogenization,* SIAM J. Math. Anal., 20 (1989), pp. 608–623.

[24] O. A. Oleinik, A. S. Shamaev, G. A. Yosifian, *Mathematical problems in elasticity and homogenization,* Stud. Math. Appl., North Holland (1992).

[25] G.V. Sandrakov, *Homogenization of elasticity equations with contrasting coefficients* (Russian), Mat. Sbornik, 190 (12) (1999), p.p. 37–92; English translation in Sbornik Math., 190 (12) (1999), p.p. 1749–1806., Zh. Vychisl. Mat. i Mat. Fiz., 44:10 (2004), p.p. 1829–1844; English transl. Comput. Math. Math. Phys., 44:10 (2004), pp. 1741–1756.

[26] V. Smyshlyaev, *Propagation and localization of elastic waves in highly anisotropic periodic composites via two-scale homogenization* Mechanics of Materials 41 (2009), pp. 434–447.

[27] R. Temam, *Problèmes mathématiques en plasticité* , Gauthier-Villars, Paris (1983).

[28] L. Tartar, *Remarks on homogenization,* Homogenization and Effective Moduli of Materials and Media, J.L. Eriksen, D. Kinderlehrer, R. Kohn and J.L. Lions, eds, Springer, 1986, pp. 228–246.

[29] L. Tartar, *Memory effects and Homogenization,* Arch. Rat. Mech. Anal. vol. III, no 2, pp. 121–133, 1990.

[30] L. Tartar, *Cours Peccot, Collège de France,* (1977), unpublished, partially written in F. Murat, L. Tartar, *"H-convergence"*, in *Topics in the Mathematical Modelling of Composite Materials,* ed. by L. Cherkaev, R. V. Kohn, Progress in Nonlinear Differential Equations and their Applications, 31, Birkaüser, Boston (1998), pp. 21–43.

[31] V. V. Zhikov, *On weighted Sobolev spaces,* (Russian) Mat. Sb. 189 (1998), no. 8, pp. 27–58; translation in Sb. Math. 189 (1998), no. 7-8, pp. 1139–1170

[32] V. V. Zhikov, *On an extension of the method of two-scale convergence and its applications.* Sbornik Math, 191 (2000), pp. 973-1014.

[33] V. V. Zhikov,. S. E. Pastukhova, *On gaps in the spectrum of the operator of elasticity theory on a high contrast periodic structure.* J. Math. Sci. (N. Y.) (2013), **188**(3), 227–240.

LMGC (Laboratoire de Mécanique et de Génie Civil de Montpellier) UMR-CNRS 5508, Université Montpellier II, Case courier 048, Place Eugène Bataillon, 34095 Montpellier Cedex 5, France
*E-mail address*: `michel.bellieud@univ-montp2.fr`

Department of Mathematical Sciences, University of Bath, Claverton Down, Bath, BA2 7AY, UK
*E-mail address*, Corresponding author: `s.a.l.cooper@bath.ac.uk`